



Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies

Data Source Book 1971-2006

Bojan Todosijević
Kees Aarts
Harry van der Kaap



Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies

Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies

Data Source Book 1971-2006

Bojan Todosijević

Kees Aarts

Harry van der Kaap



2010 DANS

© Some rights reserved.

Usage and distribution of this work is defined in the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-Share Alike 3.0 Netherlands License. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/deed.nl>.

DANS – Data Archiving and Networked Services

P.O. Box 93067

2509 AB The Hague – The Netherlands

T + 31 70 3494450

F + 31 70 3494451

info@dans.knaw.nl

www.dans.knaw.nl

Persistent Identifier of the dataset: urn:nbn:nl:ui:13-egw-iq9

Studynumber of the dataset: P1816

ISBN 978-94-90531-04-1

Typesetting and design: Ellen Bouma, Alkmaar

Printing: Bejo druk & print, Alkmaar

Photo cover: Nationale Beeldbank/Harm van der Geest



This publication is made from FSC-certified paper with number CU-COC-804134-N.

Acknowledgement of assistance and bibliographic citation

All manuscripts utilizing the data in this codebook and CD-ROM, or downloaded from Internet, should identify the original collectors of the data. All users are urged to include some adaptation of the following statement in their publication:

The data utilized in this publication were originally collected for the Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies series. The original source studies documentation reports details about the authors and funding details for each individual study.

The construction and documentation of the cumulative 1971-2006 data file have been made possible by the NWO grant 480-04-009.

The original collectors of the data do not bear any responsibility for the analyses or interpretations published here.

The data are distributed by

- Data Archiving and Networked Services – DANS, The Hague, The Netherlands
- Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research – ICPSR Ann Arbor, MI, USA
- Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences – GESIS, Cologne, Germany

In order to provide the funding agencies with essential information about the use of the data that have been collected with their assistance, each user of the data is expected to send two copies of each completed and published manuscript to the distributor of the data:

DANS – Data Archiving and Networked Services
P.O. Box 93067
2509 AB The Hague
The Netherlands
info@dans.knaw.nl
www.dans.knaw.nl

Data collection description

Bojan Todosijević, University of Twente, The Netherlands

Kees Aarts, University of Twente, The Netherlands, and Dutch Foundation for Electoral Research (SKON)

Harry van der Kaap, University of Twente, The Netherlands

Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies Data Source Book, 1971-2006

Summary

The main purpose of this data collection was to provide directly accessible information on change and stability of electoral behavior and political orientation in the Netherlands.

This dataset is a compilation of common core variables included in the Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies of 1971, 1972, 1977, 1981, 1982, 1986, 1989, 1994, 1998, 2002, 2003, and 2006. However, several of the election studies consist of pre-election interviews as well as post-election interviews.

Hence, the criteria for selecting the waves and variables were:

1. The waves and variables included in the integrated dataset should be as representative as possible of the Dutch electorate,
2. If a variable was not available in the first wave of a study, it was taken from the second wave or the third wave,
3. All variables included at least four times in the 1971-2006 studies were included in the integrated dataset (albeit with some exceptions, documented below), and
4. If necessary, selected variables were recoded and converted to facilitate longitudinal analyses.

The major areas of study focus on national problems, political efficacy, perceived stand of the main political parties on important political issues, view of religion in society, satisfaction with government, social participation, voting behavior in recent elections, left-right self-rating, left-right rating of political parties, sense of civic competence, civic political participation, legitimacy of social protest and government reaction, political distrust, and political cynicism. Respondents' views on other salient political and social issues, such as abortion, nuclear energy, differences in income, and nuclear armaments, were also elicited.

UNIVERSE: Members of the Dutch electorate at the time of the 1971-2006 parliamentary elections.

Data File Structure: Rectangular

Cases: 22821

Variables: 429

Records per case: 1

EXTENT OF COLLECTION and DATA FORMAT: 1 data file (SPSS and XML format) + machine-readable documentation (pdf).

Contents

Acknowledgement of assistance and bibliographic citation	v
Data collection description	vii
1 General information and background	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Funding	2
1.3 Privacy protection measures	3
2 Study description	5
2.1 Data sets included	5
2.2 Selection of variables	5
2.3 Data processing and cleaning	6
2.3.1 Harmonization of variables across different studies	6
2.3.2 Sampling and weighting	7
3 Documentation of variables	9
3.1 Guide to the documentation of variables	9
3.2 Organization of the volume	11
3.2.1 Categorization of variables	11
3.3 List of variables	12
3.3.1 Administrative and contextual variables	12
3.3.2 Socio-demographic background variables	13
3.3.3 Survey variables	15
3.4 Documentation of variables in the integrated data file	18
3.4.1 Administrative variables	18
3.4.2 Contextual variables	20
3.4.3 Socio-demographic background variables	21
3.4.4 Survey variables	51
4 Appendix	215
4.1 Source election studies	215
4.2 Tables of source variables	216
4.2.1 Administrative and contextual variables	216
4.2.2 Socio-demographic background variables	217
4.2.3 Survey variables	219

4.3 Tables of variable recodes	227
4.3.1 Administrative and contextual variables	227
4.3.2 Socio-demographic background variables	229
4.3.3 Survey variables	241
4.4 National election statistics	260
4.4.1 Election results for the Dutch Lower House 1971-2006	261
4.4.2 Explanation of party acronyms	263

1 General information and background

1.1 Introduction

Parliamentary elections in the Netherlands take place at irregular intervals. The constitutional term of the Second Chamber is four years, but this part of parliament is dissolved whenever some insurmountable problem or conflict arises between government and parliament, or within the governing coalition.

In the last four decades parliamentary elections were held in 1967, 1971, 1972, 1977, 1981, 1982, 1986, 1989, 1994, 1998, 2002, 2003, and 2006. In each of these years large scale, nationwide electoral research projects have been realized under the auspices of an inter-university workgroup comprising the political science and political-sociology departments of Dutch universities. With the exception of the 1967 study, every data set is extensively documented and archived, and placed at other researchers' disposal through the DANS – Data Archiving and Networked Services (no restrictions; former Steinmetz Archive). Due to the problems with the 1967 study, we will use the data from 1971 onwards. This volume builds on the earlier version of the DPES cumulative file, which included studies conducted between 1971 and 1989¹.

The *Dutch National Election Studies* are partly set up as a panel study based on the same respondents and all studies use at least partly the same core questions. The election studies enable in-depth investigation of the backgrounds of party choice and changes thereof, taking into account structural background variables such as religion and social class, long term dispositions such as party identifications, basic value patterns, and ideological orientation, and short term factors such as political and societal issues, pertinent political leaders, and evaluations of recent past performance of 'politics'.

The 1971, 1981, and 1982 studies were conducted by the University of Amsterdam. In 1972 the Catholic University of Nijmegen was in charge of the study, and in 1977 and 2002/3 the University of Leiden. The 1986 study was conducted jointly by the University of Amsterdam and the University of Leiden. The 1989 and 1994 studies were conducted by the Dutch Central Bureau of Statistics (CBS). The 1998 and 2006 studies were coordinated from the University of Twente. Names of principal investigators, dates of fieldwork, and organizations that conducted fieldwork are listed in the Appendix 4.1.

¹ J.W.van Deth & A.R.Horstman (1993). Dutch parliamentary election studies data source book 1971-1989. Amsterdam: Steinmetz Archive/SWIDOC. The integrated data set is available from DANS (study number P1110).

By analyzing the data from the twelve available parliamentary election studies, electoral behavior and political orientations in the Netherlands can be studied in a systematic way from the early 1970s up to the national election of 2006. A large number of publications on Dutch politics rely on these data sets in one way or the other.

The main purpose of the *Dutch parliamentary election studies data source book 1971-2006* is to provide directly accessible information on change and stability of electoral behavior and political orientations in the Netherlands. Descriptive statistics (distributions, means, and standard deviations), and simple graphs (percentages and means) are presented for almost every variable that has been included in at least four of the included election studies. Furthermore, official statistics of national elections in the Netherlands are summarized in Appendix 4.4. It is hoped that the availability of this kind of information will stimulate a more dynamic and cross-cultural approach to the study of electoral behavior and political orientations.

The Codebook consists of several parts. In this first part general aspects of the 1971-2006 cumulative data set are described. The second part provides information about the included data sets, and some information about data processing. Part three, which is the largest part of this codebook, contains information about all variables in the 1971-2006 cumulative data set. The Appendix contains the following information: list of the source election studies, table of all source variables (with variable names from the individual source files), tables of recodes for variables that are not identically coded in different source files, and the information about the outcome of the relevant Dutch parliamentary elections.

Additional information about the DPES series can be found on <http://www.dpes.nl>.

1.2 Funding

The construction and documentation of the cumulative 1971-2006 data file have been conducted within the COMPSOC project. This was a collective endeavor, undertaken in cooperation by the Departments of Sociology and of Methodology of Tilburg University, the Department Political Science and Research Methods of the University of Twente, and the Departments of Sociology and Social Science Research Methods of Radboud University Nijmegen. Funding for the project was provided by the aforementioned institutions and the NWO grant 480-04-009.

1.3 Privacy protection measures

The source data files normally exclude certain variables from the public data files in order to protect the privacy of individual respondents. Examples are the exact age, municipality, zipcode and the regional newspaper a person is reading. The 1971-2006 cumulative data file includes variables that already have been publically released. Some of the excluded variables, however, were used during the construction of the cumulative data file.

The excluded variables are available for scientific purposes. Interested scholars should contact the original data collectors and consults the Codebooks of the source data files for further details.

2 Study description

2.1 Data sets included

This data source book brings together results from the Dutch parliamentary election studies of 1971, 1972, 1977, 1981, 1982, 1986, 1989, 1994, 1998, 2002, 2003, and 2006. This volume presents a collection of cross-sectional studies. Hence, DPES studies based on long-term panel design are not included here.

The following table (Table 2.11) lists the source data files.

Table 2.11 Source data files

Steinmetz /DANS study number	Election studies	Respondents
P1110	1971, 1972, 1977, 1981, 1982, 1986, 1989	13107
P1208	1994	1812
P1415	1998	2101
P1628	2002, 2003	3178
P1719	2006	2806

Note that variables from 1989 and earlier studies are taken from the 1971-1989 cumulative data file (P1110). Data for 2002 and 2003 election studies were published as a single data base. The 1971-2006 cumulative data file excludes the so-called non-response interviews from the 2006 source file (CATI and PAPI; 183 cases).

A number of demographic variables from 1994 study were taken from the 'Micro' data file, which is not publically accessible. Finally, there are a few variables that were not originally included in the 1971-1989 cumulative file, but which appeared in some of the post-1989 studies. These variables were extracted from the original data files (see Appendix, Table 4.11 for the list of the source election studies).

2.2 Selection of variables

No a priori theoretical considerations or approaches have been used in selecting the variables to be included in this overview. The starting point was 1971-1989 cumulative data file. Thus, the present data set contains variables included in that volume, plus a selection of variables that were added in subsequent studies. The general condition for variable inclusion was that it appears in at least in four election studies.

There are, however, certain exceptions to this rule. An example would be when a variable is part of a series of questions asked about different objects, and the selection of objects varies between the studies. For instance, left-right placements of a particular party might have been asked in fewer than 4 studies and still be included (e.g., V46_21 Left-right rating of ChristenUnie).

In addition, a certain number of variables from 1989 and earlier studies, which were not included in the 1971-1989 cumulative file were added to the new data set, if those variables were found in post-1989 studies. Examples would include items concerning the perception of poll results (V6_1, V6_2), or voting in municipal election (V26_1, V26_2).

Several election studies consist of pre-election interviews as well as post-election interviews. The following decision rules have been applied to select the variables:

1. The information included in the integrated data set and the source book should be as representative as possible for the Dutch electorate. The waves with the highest number of previously sampled respondents meet this criterion, and so the variables are initially taken from the first wave of each study;
2. In case a variable is not available in the first wave of a study, it is taken from the second wave, and eventually from a third wave.

The application of these criteria to the twelve parliamentary election studies under consideration resulted in a list of 429 variables and a total of 22821 respondents. An overview of these variables in the twelve data sets and the integrated file can be found in the next section.

2.3 Data processing and cleaning

Variable labels, value labels, and question texts are taken from the 1971-1989 cumulative file for the applicable variables. Documentation for the remaining variables is taken from the study where the relevant variable first appears. However, there are exceptions to this rule, so the users are advised to consult codebooks and questionnaires for the source data files for more details.

As a rule, the variables are taken as they are in the source files. There are, however, two basic kinds of transformations that were applied. One concerns adjusting the missing codes and skip-patterns in order to make them consistent across different studies. The second concerns transformations of the valid codes in order to facilitate longitudinal analyses. For details, see the next section.

2.3.1 Harmonization of variables across different studies

In order to facilitate longitudinal analyses, a number of the original variables had to be recoded. On the one side, the goal was to make the codes comparable across different studies, and on the other to secure the minimum of information loss caused by such transformations.

No problems arise when recoding is limited to the conversion of one code into another (for instance, the use of code 6 for “don’t know” instead of code 8 for the same response in the original study). However, a number of variables present more complicated problems. Two distinct types are encountered:

1. In some studies scales of different lengths are used for the same variables. For instance, sometimes a four-point scale is used while in another study a five-point scale is preferred. In a case like that, the table contains the original codes of each study. Obviously, users should be careful when more specific information than a simple trend is required;
2. The coding schemes for several variables appear to be too different to compute some common codes. Especially among background variables such as income and occupation, completely different coding schemes have been used. This results in codes which are virtually incommensurable. In these instances, the original codes are included in the tables.

Details about transformations are given in the form of notes accompanying presentation of each variable, and Appendix 4.3. Users are also urged to consult the original codebooks of the distinct election studies.

2.3.2 Sampling and weighting

Information about sampling frames, fieldwork, and response rates of the included election studies is not included in this volume. The reader is referred to the original codebooks and study descriptions for this kind of information. No weighting procedures are applied here to restore known population distributions. Relevant variables for weighting are documented in the section on demographic background data of this source book.

3 Documentation of variables

Documentation presented in this Codebook is prepared using the codebooks published in connection with the included data-sets. The following is the list of the most relevant codebooks.

- Van der Eijk, C., B. Niemöller and A. Th. J. Eggen (1981). *Dutch Parliamentary Election Study 1981*. (Steinmetz Archive Codebooks, P0350). Amsterdam: Department of Political science (FSW-A) of the University of Amsterdam.
- Van der Eijk, C., G. A. Irwin, and B. Niemoller (1988). *Dutch Parliamentary Election Study, 1986*. (Steinmetz Archive codebook, P0866). Amsterdam: Steinmetz Archive.
- Anker, H. and E.V. Oppenhuis (1993). *Dutch Parliamentary Election Study 1989*. (Steinmetz Archive Codebooks, P1000). Amsterdam: Steinmetz Archive/SWIDOC, Dutch Electoral Research Foundation (SKON).
- Horstman, R, and J. W. van Deth. (1993) *Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies Data Source Book, 1971-1989*. (Steinmetz Archive codebook P1110). Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Steinmetz Archive.
- Anker, H., and E.V. Oppenhuis. (1995) *Dutch Parliamentary Election Study, 1994*. (Steinmetz Archive codebook P1208). Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Dutch Electoral Research Foundation (SKON)/Netherlands Central Bureau of Statistics.
- Aarts, K., H. van der Kolk, and M. Kamp (1999). *Dutch Parliamentary Election Study, 1998*. (Steinmetz Archive codebook P1415). Amsterdam, the Netherlands: NIWI-Steinmetz Archive/Dutch Electoral Research Foundation (SKON).
- Irwin, G.A., J.J.M. van Holsteyn, and J.M. den Ridder (2005). *Dutch Parliamentary Election Study 2002-2003*. (Steinmetz Archive codebook P1628). Amsterdam: Rozenberg Publishers/NIWI-Steinmetz Archive/SKON.
- van der Kolk, H., K. Aarts, M. Rosema, and H. Schmeets. (in press). *Dutch Parliamentary Election Study 2006*. (DANS codebook P1719). Enschede: Dutch Electoral Research Foundation (SKON)/Data Archiving and Networked Services (DANS)/Statistics Netherlands (CBS).

3.1 Guide to the documentation of variables

All variables in this section are documented in the same format. Several types of information are distinguished. In the example each type is represented by means of a small printed number. Because none of the variables contained all types of information we

added empty cells and information not referring to this specific variable.

An example of the information (distribution table) appearing in this source book for a typical variable is presented below.

Topic	Interest and activities in campaign ¹				
Item	V4_1 ² Reads campaign news in newspaper ³				
Question	If there was news about the campaign in the newspaper, how often did you read such news? ⁴ Als er in de krant nieuws over de verkiezingscampagne stond, hoe vaak las u dat dan?				
Routing ⁵	Asked iv V3=1.				
	1982 ⁶	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 ⁷ (Nearly) always ⁸		23.79	26.3	15.6	9.8
2 Often		28.7	28.7	20.4	11.6
3 Now and then		22.0	26.8	31.7	17.4
4 Seldom or never		4.7	11.2	11.6	7.5
5 Does not read papers		3.5			2.0
95 Dropped ¹⁰	100.0				42.6
96 DK ¹¹			0.2		0.0
97 NA ¹²		17.5	6.9		1.8
99 INAP ¹³				20.9	7.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note:¹⁴ Variables from 1986 and 1989 studies come from the individual source data sets (variables var178 and var144 respectively). Category 5 was excluded from 2003 and 2006. The exact question wording and routing may differ across studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

¹ TOPIC. Specific topic to which the variable belongs.

² VARIABLE NAME. The variable name in this example is V4_1. A unique variable name has been assigned to each of the variables in the data file. The initial letters have the following meanings:

- A – Administrative variables
- C – Contextual variables
- D – Demographic background variables
- V – Survey variables

³ VARIABLE LABEL. Abbreviated description of the variable.

⁴ TRANSLATION OF ORIGINAL QUESTION. The original Dutch question text is documented just after the translation.

⁵ ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. Information about the variable, the construction of the variable and/or reference to the place where such information can be found. In this case, the reader is informed about the specific routing used.

⁶ YEAR. Election study year.

⁷ VALUE. Values of the variable. In this case, valid codes are from 1 to 5; codes that begin with 9 are Missing Data codes.

⁸ VALUE LABELS. Value used to store information in the data file.

⁹ PERCENTAGE. Percentage of answers falling in the category within a particular election study.

¹⁰ DROPPED. The number of respondents not approached with the question under consideration (due to panel attrition or to the fact that this question is not included in a study). Respondents

dropped for these reasons are to be discriminated from people providing invalid responses.

¹¹ DK (Don't know). All respondents who answered "don't know" received the code 96 (or that ends with digits 96).

¹² NA (No answer; Not ascertained). If a respondent refused to give an answer or if no answer was recorded by the interviewer code 97 (or code that ends with 97) was assigned. Please note that DPES 2006 uses category that combines DK and NA answers together ("98 DK/NA").

¹³ INAP (Inapplicable due to routing). Code 99 indicates inapplicable variable as a result of questionnaire routing. Note that there are inconsistencies between studies in the way this code is applied.

¹⁴ VARIABLE NOTES. These notes provide additional information about coding of the variable.

3.2 Organization of the volume

The order of the questions in the codebooks and the variables in the data sets is based on tradition and some general ideas about designing interviews. For the 1971-2006 integrated data, the initial ordering comes from the 1971-1989 cumulative data set, but the final grouping of variables was elaborated after adding variables from the other studies.

Thus, this source book tries to present information in a systematic fashion. Variables dealing with similar aspects of voting behavior and political orientations are grouped together and placed in a single chapter.

Variables are first divided into three general categories based on their nature and origin, and then variables within each category are grouped according to their more general theme (and more specific topic in case of survey variables).

The structure of the variable categorization is shown in the following section. Note that the lowest categorization level for survey variables, i.e., the topic, is not shown in this list.

3.2.1 Categorization of variables

ADMINISTRATIVE AND CONTEXTUAL VARIABLES

SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC BACKGROUND VARIABLES

- Demographic background of respondent
- Composition of household – presence of persons
- Occupation and Profession – Respondent
- Occupation and Profession – Respondent's father
- Occupation and Profession – Head of Household
- Education
- Social class
- Religion
- Income
- Other background characteristics of respondent

SURVEY VARIABLES

Political interest
 Voting and party identification
 Coalition preferences
 Faith in prospective prime ministers
 Sympathy score for political parties and politicians
 Probability of ever voting
 Satisfaction with politics
 Political issues
 Political orientations
 Political cynicism and efficacy
 Political socialization
 Religion in society
 Political information
 Social and political participation
 Other

3.3 List of variables

An overview of the variables selected is presented on the next pages. The table shows the variable name and label, together with the page number where the details of the variable are displayed. The list of Survey variables displays the variable categories rather than the individual variables. In this source book the variables are presented in the order they appear in the integrated dataset.

3.3.1 Administrative and contextual variables

ADMINISTRATIVE VARIABLES	18
A1 Study number (Year)	18
A2 Study respondent identification number.....	18
A3 Type of interview record.....	18
A4 Pre- and post-election wave participation	18
A5 Unique respondent number	19
A6 Data file version (date of release).....	19
A7 Source data file number.....	19
CONTEXTUAL VARIABLES	20
C1 Degree of urbanization	20
C2 Size of municipality.....	20
C3 Province.....	20
C4 Region	21
C5 Municipality.....	21

3.3.2 Socio-demographic background variables

DEMOGRAPHIC BACKGROUND OF RESPONDENT	21
D1 Sex of respondent.....	21
D2 Age of respondent.....	21
D3 Age category of respondent.....	22
D4a Respondent's date of birth – Day	22
D4b Respondent's date of birth – Month	23
D4c Respondent's date of birth – Year.....	23
D5 Electoral cohort of respondent	23
D6 Marital status of respondent.....	24
COMPOSITION OF HOUSEHOLD – PRESENCE OF PERSONS.....	24
D7 Number of household members	24
D8 Number of persons in household above 18.....	24
D9 Status of respondent in household	25
D10 Typology of household composition	25
OCCUPATION AND PROFESSION – RESPONDENT.....	25
D11 Respondent is <NO> housewife.....	25
D13 Current employment status of respondent	26
D14a Profession and function of respondent	26
D14b Occupation of respondent: EGP classification.....	27
D14c Occupation of respondent: ISCO(88) classification	28
D15 Sector in which respondent is currently employed	29
D16a Branch of industry respondent's job is in.....	30
D16b Occupation of respondent: SBI 1993 classification	31
D17a Number of persons employed by self-employed respondent.....	31
D17b Number of persons supervised by respondent	31
D18 Number of hours respondent works per week.....	32
D20 Previous profession and function of respondent.....	32
D21 Does respondent work part time?	33
OCCUPATION AND PROFESSION – RESPONDENT'S FATHER.....	33
D22 Employment status of father during adolescence.....	33
D23 Sector in which father was employed	33
D24 Father's profession and function during adolescence	34
D25a Branch of industry father's job was in during adolescence.....	35
D25b Father's occupation during adolescence: SBI 1993 classification.....	36
D25c Father's occupation during adolescence: ISCO(88) classification.....	37
D26 Number of persons supervised or employed by respondent's father .	38

OCCUPATION AND PROFESSION – HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	38
D27 Identity of Head of Household.....	38
D28a Employment status of Head of Household	38
D28b Sector in which Head of Household is currently employed	39
D30 Reason Head of Household does not work.....	39
D31 Profession and function of Head of Household	39
D32a Branch of industry Head of Household’s job is in.....	40
D32b Head of Household’s occupation: SBI 1993 classification	41
D32c Head of Household’s occupation: ISCO(88) classification.....	42
D33 Number of persons employed or supervised by Head of Household.....	43
D34 Number of hours Head of Household works per week.....	43
D36 Head of Household’s former profession and function.....	44
EDUCATION.....	46
D37 Highest education (completed) of respondent.....	44
D38 Education respondent started afterwards	45
D39 Highest education (completed) of father.....	45
D41 Highest education (completed) of Head of Household.....	46
D42 Education Head of Household started afterwards	46
SOCIAL CLASS	47
D43 Social class – self image.....	47
RELIGION.....	47
D44 Religious denomination of respondent	47
D45 Dutch Reformed denomination of respondent.....	48
D46 Calvinist denomination of respondent	48
D47 Respondent’s attendance of religious services	49
D48 Denomination in which respondent was raised	49
INCOME	50
D49 Net annual income of respondent’s household	50
OTHER BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS OF RESPONDENT.....	50
D50 Health insurance – Head of Household.....	50
D51 Is respondent houseowner?.....	51

3.3.3 Survey variables

POLITICAL INTEREST	51
Political interest and communication.....	51
Daily newspapers	53
Watching TV programs.....	56
Interest and activities in campaign.....	57
Activities in campaign.....	58
Perception of poll results	58
VOTING AND PARTY IDENTIFICATION.....	60
Party adherence	60
Convinced adherent	61
Features of PID.....	62
Party membership.....	63
Vote intention	65
Vote intention if obliged	66
Previous election voting behaviour Second Chamber	67
Current election voting behavior.....	67
Party choice – reasons.....	69
When decided.....	73
Preferential vote	73
Vote by proxy	74
Earlier voting – 2nd wave.....	75
Party previously voted	76
Considered not to vote	80
Alternative parties	80
Reasons for non-voting.....	85
When decided not to vote	87
Voting behaviour municipal elections	88
COALITION PREFERENCES.....	90
Coalition preference before elections	90
Preferred government coalition	93
FAITH IN PROSPECTIVE PRIME MINISTERS	94
Faith in prospective prime ministers.....	94
SYMPATHY SCORE FOR POLITICAL PARTIES AND POLITICIANS	98
Sympathy scores for political parties	98
Sympathy scores for politicians.....	106

PROBABILITY OF EVER VOTING	113
Probability of ever voting for various parties.....	113
SATISFACTION WITH POLITICS	119
Government policy satisfaction.....	119
Satisfaction with (Dutch) democracy	121
POLITICAL ISSUES	123
National problems.....	123
Political issues – euthanasia	128
Political issues – differences in incomes	132
Political issues – crime	136
Political issues – nuclear plants	140
Political issues – ethnic minorities	144
Political (position) issues – European unification.....	148
Political issues – asylum seekers.....	152
Political issues – abortion	156
POLITICAL ORIENTATIONS	158
Postmaterialism.....	158
Left-right rating	160
POLITICAL CYNICISM AND EFFICACY.....	172
Political cynicism.....	172
External political efficacy	174
Internal political efficacy.....	176
POLITICAL SOCIALIZATION.....	178
Political environment during adolescence	178
RELIGION IN SOCIETY.....	183
Confessional organizations	183
Confessional attitudes.....	185
POLITICAL INFORMATION.....	186
Political knowledge – photo questions.....	186
SOCIAL AND POLITICAL PARTICIPATION	191
Social participation & isolation	191
Social isolation.....	195
Acting against unjust bill.....	196
Political participation	196

Expected effect political participation	203
Legitimacy of social protest	210
OTHER.....	213
Time at which respondent voted.....	213

3.4 Documentation of variables in the integrated data file

3.4.1 Administrative variables

Topic	Administrative variables	
Item	A1 Study number (Year)	
	Frequency	Percent
1971	2495	10.93
1972	1526	6.69
1977	1856	8.13
1981	2305	10.10
1982	1541	6.75
1986	1630	7.14
1989	1754	7.69
1994	1812	7.94
1998	2101	9.21
2002	1907	8.36
2003	1271	5.57
2006	2623	11.49
Total	22821	100.00

Note: This variable uniquely identifies an election study within the cumulative dataset, and represents the corresponding election year.

Topic	Administrative variables	
Item	A2 Study respondent identification number	
A1 Study number (Year)	Minimum	Maximum
1971	1	3938
1972	4002	8999
1977	1	649521
1981	51	63201
1982	100021	125991
1986	11	29881
1989	7202401	8309681
1994	5345930101	35132620101
1998	10003	61503
2002	100005	692694
2003	700001	907457
2006	5	4492

Note: This variable identifies each survey respondent within an election study. While this variable uniquely identifies a respondent within an election study, it is not unique across the entire dataset.

Topic	Administrative variables												
Item	A3 Type of interview record												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 First wave only	20.6	32.1	22.7	21.4		16.7	14.1	15.7	13.7	17.5		8.4	15.6
2 First and second wave	79.4	67.9	77.3	8.3		73.9	85.9	84.3	86.3	15.0		91.6	58.6
3 First, second and third wave				70.3						67.5			12.7
4 One interview only					100.0						100.0		12.3
5 Combined interview						9.3							0.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The type of interview record indicates who participated in which interviews.

Adjustment of the codes across election studies is shown in the Codebook, Appendix 4.3.1.

Topic:	Administrative variables												
Item	A4 Pre- and post-election wave participation												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Pre-election wave	20.6	32.1	22.7	29.7		16.7	14.1	15.7	13.7	17.5		8.4	16.5
2 Pre- and post-election waves	79.4	67.9	77.3	70.3		73.9	85.9	84.3	86.3	82.5		91.6	70.5
3 Post-election wave					100.0	9.3					100.0		13.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: This variable indicates whether respondent participated in pre- or post-election survey wave. Adjustment of the codes across election studies is shown in the Codebook, Appendix 4.3.1.

Topic:	Administrative variables		
Item	A5 Unique respondent number		
A1 Study number (Year)	Minimum	Maximum	
1971	1971000000000001	197100000003938	
1972	197200000004002	197200000008999	
1977	197700000000001	1977000000649521	
1981	198100000000051	198100000063201	
1982	198200000100021	198200000125991	
1986	198600000000011	198600000029881	
1989	1989000007202401	1989000008309681	
1994	1994005345930101	1994035132620101	
1998	199800000010003	199800000061503	
2002	200200000100005	2002000000692694	
2003	200300000700001	200300000907457	
2006	200600000000005	200600000004492	

Note: This is a 16-digit string variable constructed specifically for the cumulative file. First 4 digits represent study year (as in A1), the remaining digits represent study respondent ID number (as in A2).

Topic	Administrative variables	
Item	A6 Data file version (date of release)	
<i>Dataset release date</i>	20091201	

Note: The version number corresponds to the date of the dataset's release.

Topic	Administrative variables												
Item	A7 Source data file number												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
P1110	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0						57.4
P1208								100.0					7.9
P1415									100.0				9.2
P1628										100.0	100.0		13.9
P1719												100.0	11.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: This variable identifies source data files for the included election studies. Note that studies from 1989 and before come from the NKO 1971-1989 cumulative data file.

3.4.2 Contextual variables

Topic Item	Contextual variables												
	C1 Degree of urbanization												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Very strongly urban	27.5	25.9	23.6	25.4	25.1	29.4	21.4	19.4	15.1	16.9	18.1	14.5	21.7
2 Strongly urban	15.6	16.4	14.8	15.4	16.0	15.2	19.6	20.8	25.9	26.0	22.9	26.4	19.8
3 Mildly urban	16.9	18.0	18.5	25.6	27.9	24.5	24.5	20.0	24.0	21.1	13.5	21.7	21.5
4 Hardly urban	16.4	16.7	20.2	23.0	21.2	21.3	21.7	22.6	17.8	22.0	25.8	22.6	20.8
5 Not urban	23.6	23.1	22.9	10.5	9.8	9.7	12.7	17.2	17.2	13.9	19.7	14.8	16.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes from the 1971-1989 data shown in the Codebook, Appendix 4.3.1.

Topic Item	Contextual variables												
	C2 Size of municipality												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 <=5000				5.5	4.4	2.9			1.0		1.6		1.2
2 5000-10000				11.1	10.2	9.0			9.5	6.7	5.4		4.2
3 10000-20000				18.1	18.4	18.8			17.9	23.5	21.2		9.2
4 20000-50000				25.6	25.8	22.6			31.8	27.2	30.0		12.8
5 50000-100000				12.8	14.3	16.1			16.0	16.2	16.4		7.2
6 =>100000				15.5	16.1	15.3			14.1	14.6	13.8		7.0
7 The Hague				2.9	3.6	3.7			1.6	2.9	2.9		1.3
8 Rotterdam				3.6	2.4	4.3			2.7	4.0	4.2		1.6
9 Amsterdam				4.9	4.8	7.3			5.3	4.9	4.4		2.5
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0				100.0	100.0				100.0	52.9
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Codes for 1998, 2002 and 2003 studies constructed on the basis of data about municipalities obtained from external sources.

Topic Item	Contextual variables												
	C3 Province												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Groningen	4.5	4.2	4.2	4.9	4.0	4.4			5.9	2.9	3.4		3.2
2 Friesland	4.5	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.0			4.4	4.1	4.7		3.2
3 Drenthe	3.2	2.9	3.8	3.3	2.8	3.5			1.0	3.3	6.5		2.3
4 Overijssel	7.1	7.7	7.5	6.8	6.2	7.1			10.8	8.4	13.1		5.9
5 Gelderland	11.8	12.5	12.4	13.2	12.2	11.0			8.0	12.2	7.6		8.3
6 Utrecht	6.3	5.9	5.9	6.0	7.3	6.3			6.7	9.1	9.0		5.0
7 Noord Holland	9.9	11.2	11.1	15.4	15.2	17.9			19.1	15.5	11.4		10.3
8 Zuid Holland	12.0	12.6	15.9	20.2	22.0	20.3			16.4	19.9	16.3		12.5
9 Zeeland	2.4	3.5	2.3	2.1	2.9	2.5			2.5	1.9	2.7		1.8
10 Noord Brabant	14.4	14.2	14.1	14.6	15.0	14.8			13.8	15.4	17.5		10.7
11 Limburg	7.9	8.5	8.2	8.9	7.3	7.2			9.4	6.2	5.9		5.7
12 Z-IJsselmeerpolders / Flevoland*	0.2			0.3	0.6	1.0			2.1	1.0	1.8		0.5
95 Dropped	15.9	12.6	10.2				100.0	100.0				100.0	30.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Flevoland was established as a Province on January 1, 1986.

For studies until 1989, variable constructed on the basis of var2269 PROVINCE from the 1971-1989 cumulative file. The original code "12 METROPOLITAN AREAS" was recoded into codes 7 and 8, corresponding to the major city in question (Amsterdam, Rotterdam, Den Haag).

For studies from 1998, 2002, and 2003, constructed on the basis of municipality, as coded in C5.

Topic	Contextual variables												
Item	C4 Region												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 North	12.2	11.3	12.2	12.5	11.4	12.0	12.2		11.3	11.2	16.1	11.7	11.1
2 East	19.0	20.2	19.9	20.3	19.0	19.1	20.0		20.8	21.7	25.6	22.2	19.0
3 West	44.1	42.3	43.2	41.6	44.5	44.4	45.2		44.7	45.4	36.3	43.3	39.9
4 South	24.7	26.1	24.7	25.6	25.1	24.5	22.6		23.2	21.7	21.9	22.8	22.0
95 Dropped								100.0					7.9
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: For 1971-1989 studies, the variable is coded using combined information available in original variables var 2270 REGION and var2269 PROVINCE.

Topic	Contextual variables												
Item	C5 Municipality												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
CBS codes													
1-1731	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0		72.9
Dropped							100.0	100.0				100.0	27.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The codes are official CBS (Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek) municipality codes.

Note that the CBS code for value 996 refers to "Openbaar Lichaam Z.I.J.P.", while in the data file it refers to "Zuidelijke IJsselmeerpolders", as in the source NKO files.

3.4.3 Socio-demographic background variables

Topic	Demographic background of respondent												
Item	D1 Sex of respondent												
Note	Ascertained by interviewer.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Male	59.8	48.6	47.6	46.6	47.0	46.9	50.9	49.0	48.6	49.2	45.1	48.8	49.4
2 Female	40.2	51.4	52.4	53.4	53.0	53.1	49.1	51.0	51.4	50.8	54.9	51.2	50.6
97 NA						0.1							0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	Demographic background of respondent												
Item	D2 Age of respondent												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
Valid codes: 17-99 years	99.9	100.0	99.7	99.6	100.0	100.0		100.0	99.95	100.0	100.0	100.0	92.2
995 Dropped							100.0						7.7
997 NA	0.1		0.3	0.4					0.05				0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: 006: Age calculated by subtracting year of birth from the year of survey.

Topic Item	Demographic background of respondent												
	D4b Respondent's date of birth – Month												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
01 January	8.3	9.5		9.0	8.2	8.7			8.1	7.7	8.0		5.5
02 February	9.1	6.9		8.3	8.0	8.5			8.0	6.9	6.8		5.1
03 March	8.5	9.8		8.3	8.7	10.1			8.9	8.8	9.0		5.8
04 April	9.0	8.7		9.0	8.1	8.4			8.2	8.8	9.1		5.6
05 May	9.3	9.0		8.3	8.8	8.6			9.1	9.8	8.4		5.8
06 June	7.5	8.7		7.7	8.2	8.8			7.2	8.4	8.6		5.2
07 July	7.9	7.9		8.5	9.1	7.9			7.9	8.4	9.0		5.4
08 August	8.1	7.1		8.5	7.8	8.4			9.8	8.8	7.7		5.4
09 September	8.4	9.2		8.3	7.9	8.3			8.0	9.0	9.9		5.5
10 October	7.4	8.0		7.8	8.5	6.6			8.0	8.6	7.6		5.1
11 November	7.1	6.9		7.5	7.3	8.6			8.5	7.9	7.2		4.9
12 December	8.7	8.3		7.9	7.9	6.9			8.2	6.9	8.7		5.1
95 Dropped			100.0				100.0	100.0				100.0	35.3
97 NA	0.9			0.9	1.4	0.2			0.1				0.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic Item	Demographic background of respondent												
	D4c Respondent's date of birth – Year												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
Valid codes: year 1879 to 1988	99.9	100.0	99.7	99.6	99.0	99.9		100.0	99.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	92.2
9995 Dropped							100.0						7.7
9997 NA	0.1		0.3	0.4	1.0	0.1			0.1				0.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic Item Note	Demographic background of respondent												
	D5 Electoral cohort of respondent												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1946 Cohort of 1946 and before	42.7	40.3	32.4	24.3	19.4	19.3		11.7	5.2	3.6	1.9	1.9	17.2
1948 Cohort of 1948	7.6	6.6	5.3	3.1	3.0	2.9		2.2	1.8	1.8	0.6	1.1	3.1
1952 Cohort of 1952	7.8	7.4	6.0	4.9	4.9	5.3		4.8	4.1	3.3	2.0	1.9	4.4
1956 Cohort of 1956	5.6	4.3	4.6	4.9	5.5	4.5		5.1	3.8	5.5	3.9	3.6	4.3
1959 Cohort of 1959	7.9	6.9	5.3	2.9	3.8	3.4		3.3	2.7	3.4	2.8	2.8	3.8
1963 Cohort of 1963	14.8	13.1	11.9	7.2	6.3	5.2		5.6	4.7	6.2	6.7	5.0	7.3
1967 Cohort of 1967	12.4	11.7	10.5	12.0	11.6	9.7		7.4	10.6	10.1	9.9	7.2	9.5
1971 Cohort of 1971	1.1	7.9	9.9	10.9	12.7	11.3		8.2	7.2	9.4	10.7	8.2	7.9
1972 Cohort of 1972		1.8	11.7	10.8	10.5	11.3		8.4	8.7	9.6	9.6	8.2	7.4
1977 Cohort of 1977			2.0	11.0	9.9	10.1		11.1	10.3	10.4	9.6	9.5	7.0
1981 Cohort of 1981				7.2	9.2	9.1		9.5	9.0	8.6	11.5	8.0	5.9
1982 Cohort of 1982					1.9	2.1		3.6	2.8	2.6	4.0	2.4	1.5
1986 Cohort of 1986						5.7		7.7	8.2	8.0	9.9	7.7	3.9
1989 Cohort of 1989								6.0	5.9	5.7	5.9	7.5	2.7
1994 Cohort of 1994								5.2	8.0	4.4	4.2	7.6	2.6
1998 Cohort of 1998									6.7	2.9	2.8	5.8	1.7
2002 Cohort of 2002										4.4	3.5	4.9	1.1
2003 Cohort of 2003											0.6	0.9	0.1
2006 Cohort of 2006												5.7	0.7
9995 Dropped							100.0						7.7
9997 NA	0.1		0.3	0.9	1.4			0.1	0.1				0.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The age at which citizen is entitled to vote has changed over time: Before 1945: 25 years, 1946-1963: 23 years 1867-1971: 21 years

Topic	Demographic background of respondent												
Item	D6 Marital status of respondent												
Question	Are you married or cohabitating, or unmarried, or have you been married previously? Bent u ongehuwd, gehuwd, gehuwd geweest of weduwe/weduwnaar?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Married or living together as married	78.8	76.4		69.2	66.6	61.7	59.1	55.2	60.4	66.5	62.3	59.6	60.0
2 Divorced				3.8			6.6	8.2	5.0	6.1	8.1	7.7	3.8
3 Widow(er)				9.0			8.8	8.6	5.9	6.3	6.5	5.5	4.3
4 Never married	14.3	15.5		18.0	21.7	22.9	25.4	27.9	28.7	21.1	23.1	27.3	20.5
5 Was married	6.8	8.0			11.6	15.1							3.1
95 Dropped			100.0										8.1
96 DK							0.1						0.0
97 NA	0.1	0.1		0.1		0.3	0.1		0.0				0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Studies from 1971, 1972, 1982, and 1986 do not differentiate between categories 'Divorced' and 'Widower'. Instead they use category '5 Was married'.

Topic	Composition of household – presence of persons												
Item	D7 Number of household members												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 One person	18.6	20.1	10.2	19.7	18.8	22.7		27.4	17.0	16.9	20.5	15.6	17.2
2 Two persons	22.2	24.8	27.4	31.3	29.9	32.8		34.3	35.6	37.5	34.1	35.8	29.0
3 Three persons	18.4	15.5	19.9	15.2	16.9	16.0		13.4	16.1	15.0	14.1	16.7	15.0
4 Four persons	20.3	21.8	22.5	23.3	22.2	19.4		17.6	20.5	19.6	19.4	21.7	19.2
5 Five persons	10.5	10.4	11.5	7.8	9.0	7.0		5.8	7.7	8.4	9.3	8.0	8.0
6 Six persons	5.2	3.9	5.0	1.7	2.5	1.3		1.2	2.2	1.7	2.3	2.3	2.5
7 Seven persons	2.0	1.9	1.9	0.7	0.6	0.4		0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4		0.8
8 Eight or more persons	2.5	1.5	1.6	0.3	0.2	0.4		0.1	0.5	0.3			0.6
95 95 Dropped							100.0						7.7
97 97 NA	0.1	0.2								0.2			0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	Composition of household – presence of persons												
Item	D8 Number of persons in household above 18												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 None												0.04	0.004
1 One person	20.7	22.9	11.1	21.0	20.6	24.8						17.4	12.0
2 Two persons	65.3	64.4	62.7	63.3	61.3	61.8						57.5	38.1
3 Three persons	10.3	9.4	16.1	10.6	13.0	8.8						14.3	7.3
4 Four persons	2.9	2.8	6.9	4.1	3.8	3.4						8.5	2.9
5 Five persons	0.6	0.3	2.1	0.8	1.0	0.9						1.8	0.7
6 Six persons	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.2						0.2	0.1
7 Seven persons			0.4	0.1	0.1								0.0
8 Eight or more persons			0.3	0.1	0.1								0.0
95 Dropped							100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		38.8
97 NA	0.1	0.3											0.0
98 DK/NA												0.3	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The source variable for 2006 (v412) originally recorded the number of persons in household below 18. The current version of the variable was constructed by subtracting v412 from the total number of persons in household (v411).

Topic Item	Composition of household – presence of persons												Total
	D9 Status of respondent in household												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	
1 Breadwinner / Hof HH				56.6	57.1	62.8		65.6	49.8				23.9
2 Partner (R is not main breadwinner)				36.5	35.8	32.1		28.7	30.7				13.5
3 Partner "on equal footing"									8.6				0.8
4 Child									8.0				0.7
5 Other / Someone else				6.9	7.1	5.0		5.7	2.5				2.2
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0				100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0	58.9
97 NA						0.1							0.0
99 INAP									0.4				0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic Item Note	Composition of household – presence of persons												Total
	D10 Typology of household composition												
	A typology is constructed combining information on age, marital status, and number and age of children in the household of the respondent.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	
1 Single person household			5.6	19.7	18.8	22.6	25.1	27.4	27.2	17.7	21.2	15.6	16.4
2 Couple or 2 or more persons, no children			38.7	40.9	38.4	42.4	37.2	30.7	32.5	35.2	31.5	33.2	29.7
3 Couple or Household with children			51.1	39.3	42.9	34.1	31.9	35.7	37.0	42.0	40.8	44.9	33.1
4 Single parent household							4.3	4.6	3.3	4.3	5.9	6.2	2.4
5 Other							1.4	1.7		0.7	0.6	0.2	0.3
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0											17.6
97 NA			4.6	0.1		0.9	0.2						0.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.2.

Topic Item	Occupation and Profession – Respondent												Total
	D11 Respondent is <NO> housewife												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	
1 Housewife, -man	30.5	40.3	38.0	51.1	57.3	32.1	23.0	32.1	12.7				25.9
2 No housewife, -man	69.5	59.7	62.0	48.9	42.7	67.9	77.0	67.8	87.3				48.6
95 Dropped										100.0	100.0	100.0	25.4
96 DK								0.2					0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note:

1994: Code 1 defined as answer "4 houseman, -wife" to Item "var181 Q38a-b Daily activities of respondent". The remaining answers coded as 2.

1998: Code 1 defined as female who answered "3 domestic word care for children" in "v0357 I/39anw Reason respondent never worked", or "4 domestic work" in "v0356 I/39ah Reason respondent does not work".

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Respondent												
Item	D13 Current employment status of respondent												
Note	Constructed on the basis of differently formulated questions.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Paid job (including part-time employment)	48.3	37.7	39.4	41.0	40.7	41.5	50.0	37.6	52.7	53.0	54.0	58.4	46.7
2 Used to work	10.8	12.3	12.9	11.8	14.1	21.8	19.3		33.8	37.2	36.2		16.5
3 Does not work/has never worked	1.3	3.3	5.0	5.2	6.2	7.6	1.8	44.6	5.9	2.7	2.7	35.1	10.9
4 Self-employed	9.4	6.0	4.7	5.7	4.7	6.4	5.9	6.1	7.6	7.1	7.2	6.4	6.5
6 Other	30.1	40.7	38.0	36.2	34.2	21.6	23.0	11.6				0.1	19.3
96 DK									0.1				0.0
97 NA			0.1	0.1	0.1	1.2	0.1						0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.2.

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Respondent												
Item	D14a Profession and function of respondent												
Note	Constructed on the basis of differently formulated questions.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Manager / Controller				3.3	3.3	4.4	22.0	20.1	29.2			31.4	10.5
2 Skilled nonmanual / Higher professional				0.7	0.7	0.7	11.5	11.4	7.0			15.9	4.4
3 Employee / semi-skilled nonmanual / manual supervisor				25.6	27.6	29.4	5.6	2.0	7.7			5.8	8.5
4 Self-employed								2.3	2.4				0.4
5 Farmer				1.5	0.7	1.0	0.7	1.2	1.0				0.5
6 Skilled manual				9.0	7.9	6.9	5.0	3.4	3.9			5.3	3.6
7 Semi-skilled or unskilled manual				6.1	4.9	5.3	9.2	6.7	8.1			0.5	3.4
8 Farm labor								0.6	0.5				0.1
9 Unclassified / uncodable	57.0	43.7	44.8				1.5						12.9
95 Dropped										100.0	100.0		13.9
96 DK								0.4					0.0
97 NA	0.7	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3		0.3				0.2
99 INAP	42.2	56.2	55.2	53.4	54.5	52.1	44.1	51.9	39.7			41.1	41.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.2 (the same table shows codes for D14a, D20, D24, D31, and D36).

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Respondent												
Item	D14b Occupation of respondent: EGP classification												
Note	Constructed on the basis of differently formulated questions.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Higher controller								7.5	10.2			13.2	3.0
2 Lower controller								12.7	19.0			18.2	4.9
3 Skilled nonmanual									7.0			15.9	2.5
4 Semi-skilled (Routine) nonmanual								11.4	5.1				1.4
5 Self-employed with employees								0.9	0.9				0.2
6 Self-employed without employees								1.4	1.5				0.3
7 Self-employed farmer								1.2	1.0				0.2
8 Manual supervisor								2.0	2.6			5.8	1.1
9 Skilled manual								3.4	3.9			5.3	1.2
10 Semi-skilled manual								6.7	8.1			0.5	1.3
11 Farm labor								0.6	0.5				0.1
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0		71.4
96 DK								0.4					0.0
97 NA									0.3				0.0
99 INAP								51.9	39.7			41.1	12.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Codes in 2006 are based on Harry Ganzeboom's Tools for deriving status measures from ISKO-88 and ISCO-68. Retrieved on June 3, 2008, from: <http://home.fsw.vu.nl/hbg.ganzeboom/pisa/index.htm>.

Topic Item Note	Occupation and Profession – Respondent												
	D14c Occupation of respondent: ISCO(88) classification												
	Constructed on the basis of differently formulated questions.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
01 Armed forces								0.6	0.3			0.4	0.1
10 Legislators, senior officials and managers									0.1				0.0
11 Legislators and senior officials								0.8	0.3			0.1	0.1
12 Corporate managers								3.8	4.1			3.7	1.1
13 General managers								1.2	3.2			3.6	0.8
20 Professionals								0.3	0.3				0.1
21 Physical, mathematical and engineering science profess.								4.0	3.1			3.2	1.0
22 Life science and health professionals								0.4	2.6			0.8	0.4
23 Teaching professionals								2.9	3.7			3.1	0.9
24 Other professionals								1.5	4.4			5.0	1.1
30 Technicians and associated professionals									0.0				0.0
31 Physical and engineering science associate profess.								0.4	2.3			2.5	0.5
32 Life science and health associate professionals								2.2	3.4			3.2	0.9
33 Teaching associate professionals									0.0				0.0
34 Other associate professional								6.5	6.0			5.8	1.7
41 Office clerks								5.6	4.7			5.5	1.5
42 Customer services clerks								1.4	0.8			1.6	0.4
51 Personal and protective service workers								0.6	4.6			4.4	1.0
52 Models, sales persons and demonstrators								0.8	2.7			2.8	0.6
61 Market-oriented skilled agricultural and fishery workers								1.8	0.5			0.5	0.2
62 Subsistence agricultural and fishery workers								0.4					0.0
70 Craft and related trade workers									0.2			0.0	0.0
71 Extraction and building trade workers								1.0	2.2			3.5	0.7
72 Metal, machinery and related trade workers								1.0	2.9			1.7	0.5
73 Precision, handicraft, printing and related trade workers								0.1	0.5			0.2	0.1
74 Other craft and related trades workers								2.4	0.4			0.3	0.3
80 Masters; brigadiers in plants and f.; skilled fact. w.								1.0					0.1
81 Stationary-plant and related operators								0.2	0.6			0.4	0.1
82 Machine operators and assemblers									1.5			1.0	0.3
83 Drivers and mobile-plant operators								2.1	1.6			2.2	0.6
90 Elementary occupations								1.9	0.1			0.2	0.2
91 Sales and services elementary occupations								2.6	2.0			1.6	0.6
92 Agricultural, fishery and related laborers								0.6	0.0				0.0
93 Laborers in mining, construction, manufact. and transp.									0.5			1.6	0.2
995 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0		71.4
996 DK								0.1					0.0
997 NA									0.3			0.7	0.1
999 INAP								51.9	39.7			40.4	12.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: 1998 codes are recoded from 4-digit into 2-digit ISCO codes. Conversion scheme for 1994 CBS codes into 2-digits ISCO is shown in Appendix 4.3.2.

Topic Item Note	Occupation and Profession – Respondent											
	D15 Sector in which respondent is currently employed											
	Constructed on the basis of differently formulated questions.											
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Public service	10.8	9.8	9.4	11.5	14.7	13.3	11.3	11.8	14.5	13.5		9.6
2 Employed - private employer		28.0	27.9	25.2	26.5	35.9		40.3	37.2	39.2		20.0
3 Self-employed	9.4	6.0	4.7	5.7	4.7	6.4	5.9	7.6	7.1	7.2		5.3
4 Cooperating in family business								0.0				0.0
5 Other	67.7	40.7	67.9	37.9	35.0	23.3	25.8	0.4	1.3	1.3		25.7
95 Dropped							100.0				100.0	19.4
97 NA		0.1	0.1	0.1	1.2	0.1		0.1				0.1
99 INAP	12.1	15.5	17.9	17.0	20.3	29.4	21.1	39.7	39.9	38.9		19.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original 1971-1989 codes shown in Appendix 4.3.2 (with variable D28b).

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Respondent												
Item	D16a Branch of industry respondent's job is in												
Question	In what branch of industry or commerce are you employed? Bij wat voor soort bedrijf of instelling bent u werkzaam?												
Routing	Asked if D13=1, 4, or 6.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Agriculture, forestry, and fishery	4.6	2.0	1.9	2.3	1.4	1.5		2.2					1.4
2 Coal-mining	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.3		0.1							0.1
3 Other mining of minerals [excluding oil and natural gas]	0.1	0.1		0.1	0.1	0.1							0.0
4 Food industry [livestock farm products]	1.1	1.4	0.5	0.7	0.1								0.3
5 Food industry [other products]	0.5	0.3	0.5	1.1	0.6	0.4		0.6					0.3
6 Beverage and tobacco industries	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3							0.1
7 Textile industry	1.2	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.1		0.2					0.3
8 Clothing industry	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.1								0.1
9 Leather and shoe industries	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1					0.1
10 Wood processing and furniture industries	0.8	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.2		0.1					0.2
11 Paper industry	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.2					0.1
12 Graphical industry and publishing firms	0.9	1.1	0.9	0.9	0.8	4.5		0.6					0.8
13 Petrochemical industry [including oil and gas product.]	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2		0.1					0.1
14 Chemical, rubber and synthetics-processing industries	1.4	1.0	1.1	0.9	0.6	0.9		0.3					0.5
15 Building materials, ceramics and glass-industries	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.4	0.3		0.2					0.3
16 Steel industry	0.6	0.2	0.4	0.7	0.4	0.2		0.2					0.2
17 Metal products and engineering industries	3.0	2.3	2.6	1.3	1.9	2.5		0.1					1.1
18 Electrical engineering industry	1.8	1.2	1.2	1.5	0.6	0.8		1.9					0.8
19 Production of means of transportation	1.0	0.5	0.7	0.8	0.3	0.8							0.4
20 Optical and other industries [wsw-workshops]	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.7	0.4	1.5							0.3
21 Public utilities	0.5	0.4	0.4	2.6	1.4	0.4		3.9					0.8
22 Construction and construction- engineering industry	6.8	5.0	4.5	2.9	3.3	2.9		3.7					2.5
23 Wholesale trade and retail trade	6.9	6.5	5.0	2.7	3.4	5.9		4.7					2.9
24 Hotels, pubs and restaurants	0.7	0.7	0.3	0.8	0.7	0.7		3.6					0.6
25 Repair of consumer goods	0.7	0.9	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.4		0.6					0.3
26 Navigation and aviation	0.8	0.4	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.7		0.3					0.3
27 Other transport and storage companies	2.0	1.7	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.6		2.5					0.9
28 Communication companies	1.0	0.7	0.5	0.1	0.8	1.4							0.4
29 Banking and insurance	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.9	1.2	1.8		0.8					0.8
30 Real estate	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1							0.1
31 Business-services	1.7	1.8	1.3	1.3	4.5	2.2		6.7					1.6
32 Medical and veterinary services	1.8	1.2	3.1	5.1	4.3	3.7		2.6					1.8
33 Culture and recreation	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.8	0.8	4.3		1.3					0.7
34 Service industries [non-business orientated]	10.7	8.7	10.6	11.2	13.6	6.9		1.2					5.2
35 Other/Not classified								9.7					0.8
95 Dropped							100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	42.3
96 DK								0.1					0.0
97 NA	1.8	0.7	1.7	0.9	0.7	1.2							0.6
99 INAP	42.2	56.2	55.2	53.4	54.5	52.1		51.9					29.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The exact question wording may differ across studies. Conversion of the original 1994 CBS codes into the 1971-1989 codes shown in Appendix 4.3.2. The same table shows codes for D16a, D25a, and D32a.

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Respondent												
Item	D16b Occupation of respondent: SBI 1993 classification												
Note	Constructed on the basis of differently formulated questions.												
Routing	Asked if D13=1, 4, or 6.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Agriculture and fishery	4.6	2.0	1.9	2.3	1.4	1.5		1.5				1.2	1.5
2 Industry	22.2	16.1	15.5	14.8	10.8	16.1		10.1				12.3	10.4
3 Commercial service	15.4	14.3	11.3	9.0	12.5	13.9		15.8				21.2	10.0
4 Non-commercial service	13.7	10.8	14.4	19.6	20.1	15.2		17.8				21.3	11.7
95 Dropped							100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0		30.8
96 DK								2.8					0.2
97 NA	1.8	0.7	1.7	0.9	0.7	1.2							0.6
99 INAP	42.2	56.2	55.2	53.4	54.5	52.1		51.9				44.0	34.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.2 (together for variables D16b and D25b).

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Respondent												
Item	D17a Number of persons employed by self-employed respondent												
Question	Do you employ or supervise any staff? And if so, how many people do you employ or supervise?												
Routing	Heeft u personeel in dienst? Hoeveel personeel heeft u in dienst?												
	Asked if D13=4.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 None	5.3	3.3	2.8	3.5	2.6	2.5		3.9	4.7	5.0	4.5		3.1
1 1 to 9	3.3	2.2	1.3	1.3	1.2	2.4		1.8	2.1	1.9	2.1		1.6
2 10 to 19	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2		0.2	0.4	0.1	0.2		0.2
3 20 or more	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1		0.2	0.3	0.1	0.3		0.2
95 Dropped	48.3	37.7					100.0					100.0	27.0
96 DK				0.0	0.2								0.0
97 NA		0.1	1.0	0.5	5.3	3.7							0.8
99 INAP	42.2	56.2	94.4	93.9	90.2	91.0		93.9	92.4	92.9	92.8		67.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: For studies from 1994, 2002, and 2003, category 0 "0 None" is defined as those respondents who are self-employed, and don't have any employees.

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Respondent												
Item	D17b Number of persons supervised by respondent												
Question	Do you employ or supervise any staff? And if so, how many people do you employ or supervise?												
Routing	Geeft u in uw beroep of functie leiding aan medewerkers? Aan hoeveel personen geeft u leiding?												
	Asked if D13=1 or 6.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 None			29.4	30.6	25.1	26.8		26.9	35.2	36.4	38.4		19.6
1 1 to 9			5.6	5.9	6.6	7.6		9.9	12.4	10.1	9.9		5.4
2 10 to 19			1.7	1.6	1.8	1.7		1.5	2.6	2.7	3.1		1.3
3 20 or more			2.5	2.5	2.3	2.8		2.6	2.4	3.7	2.5		1.7
95 Dropped	48.3	37.7					100.0					100.0	27.0
96 DK				0.0	0.2					0.1	0.1		0.0
97 NA		0.1	1.0	0.5	5.3	3.7			0.0	0.1			0.8
99 INAP	51.7	62.2	59.8	58.9	58.9	57.4		59.0	47.3	47.0	46.0		44.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: For studies from 1994, 2002, and 2003, category 0 "0 None" is defined as those respondents who are employed, and don't have any supervisees.

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Respondent												
Item	D18 Number of hours respondent works per week												
Question	How many hours do you work on average per week? Hoeveel uur werkt u gemiddeld per week, onbetaalde uren niet meegeteld?												
Routing	Varies between studies.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 0 Hours-Unemployed	12.1	15.5	17.9	17.0	20.3	29.4		44.6	39.7	39.9	38.9	35.1	25.7
1 1-12 hours - Less than 2 days per week	1.2			3.2	3.6	3.6		7.0	5.6	5.6	6.8	5.3	3.5
2 12-35 hours per week - 2-4 days per week	2.4	3.4	6.1	6.4	5.3	8.0		8.9	11.8	21.9	24.5	23.7	10.3
3 36 or more hours per week - Full time employed	53.8	40.2	38.5	36.5	35.7	35.6		39.2	42.5	31.7	29.3	35.8	35.8
95 Dropped							100.0						7.7
96 DK	0.3								0.2	0.9	0.6		0.2
97 NA		0.1	0.6	0.6	0.9	0.7			0.3				0.2
98 DK/NA												0.1	0.0
99 INAP	30.1	40.7	37.0	36.3	34.2	22.8		0.3					16.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: For studies from 1971-1986, and 2002-2006, category 0 "0 hours - Unemployed" constructed on the basis of responses to D13 (responses 2 and 3). For 2006 study, category 2 constructed on the basis of responses to the original v433 (If v433=2 D18=1). Note that in studies before 1989, most respondents categorized as "Housewives" were coded as "Other" in D13, and subsequently as "INAP" in D18. This inconsistency remains in the cumulative data-file.

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Respondent												
Item	D20 Previous profession and function of respondent												
Note	Constructed on the basis of differently formulated questions.												
Routing	Asked if D13=2, 3, or 6.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Manager / Controller				1.7	1.8	2.5	7.8	10.1	1.7				2.0
2 Skilled nonmanual / Higher professional					0.3	0.2	10.5	12.9					1.9
3 Employee / semi-skilled nonmanual / manual supervisor				16.0	20.4	22.0	3.2	1.6	0.5				5.0
4 Self-employed								1.4					0.1
5 Farmer				0.7	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.7					0.3
6 Skilled manual				7.6	7.3	5.8	2.6	4.0					2.2
7 Semi-skilled or unskilled manual				11.7	8.7	7.8	11.8	13.4					4.3
8 Farm labor								0.9					0.1
9 Unclassified / uncodable	10.7	12.5	13.2				1.3						3.2
95 Dropped										100.0	100.0	100.0	25.4
96 DK			0.1					0.4					0.0
97 NA	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.1	1.5	0.4		31.6				3.2
99 INAP	88.9	87.4	86.5	62.0	60.5	59.4	61.9	54.6	66.2				52.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.2 (the same table shows codes for D14a, D20, D24, D31, and D36). Inconsistencies in routing across studies remain unchanged.

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Respondent’s father												
Item	D24 Father’s profession and function during adolescence												
Note	Constructed on the basis of differently formulated questions.												
Routing	Asked if D22=1.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Manager / Controller				16.6	14.0	15.5		22.8	19.4				7.3
2 Skilled nonmanual / Higher professional				1.0	2.8	0.7		7.9	3.8				1.3
3 Employee / semi-skilled nonmanual / manual supervisor				23.5	26.7	29.0		6.1	6.8				7.4
4 Self-employed								6.6	8.9				1.3
5 Farmer				10.2	9.0	9.9		10.1	7.2				3.8
6 Skilled manual				18.7	21.4	20.0		16.6	3.4				6.4
7 Semi-skilled or unskilled manual				18.0	15.8	16.6		13.5	19.6				6.9
8 Farm labor								4.2	2.8				0.6
9 Unclassified / uncodable			89.2										7.3
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0					100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0	50.7
96 DK			0.2					3.9					0.3
97 NA			0.7	1.0	1.4	0.9			3.9				0.7
99 INAP			9.9	11.0	9.0	7.4		8.3	24.3				5.9
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.2 (the same table shows codes for D14a, D20, D24, D31, and D36). Inconsistencies in routing present in the source files remain unchanged.

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Respondent’s father												
Item	D25a Branch of industry father’s job was in during adolescence												
Note	Constructed on the basis of differently formulated questions.												
Routing	Asked if D22=1.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Agriculture, forestry, and fishery			20.2	14.5	12.1	14.5		14.8					6.1
2 Coal-mining			1.2	1.7	1.2	1.8							0.5
3 Other mining of minerals [excluding oil and natural gas]			0.7	0.7	0.2	0.4		1.0					0.3
4 Food industry [livestock farm products]			1.7	2.4	2.6			0.3					0.6
5 Food industry [other products]			0.5	4.3	3.8	2.6		2.3					1.1
6 Beverage and tobacco industries			1.3	0.9	0.6	1.0		0.1					0.3
7 Textile industry			1.7	2.1	2.3	2.3		0.8					0.7
8 Clothing industry			0.7	0.8	0.5	0.4		0.7					0.3
9 Leather and shoe industries			0.8	1.0	0.6	0.6		0.8					0.3
10 Wood processing and furniture industries			2.0	2.3	1.5	1.2		0.7					0.6
11 Paper industry			0.8	0.6	0.3	0.4		0.2					0.2
12 Graphical industry and publishing firms			0.8	1.1	1.4	3.5		0.7					0.6
13 Petrochemical industry [including oil and gas product.]			0.4	0.6	0.7	0.9		0.2					0.2
14 Chemical, rubber and synthetics-processing industries			1.3	1.5	1.0	1.5		0.4					0.5
15 Building materials, ceramics and glass-industries			1.0	2.2	1.6	1.0		0.5					0.5
16 Steel industry			1.1	1.5	0.5	0.6		1.4					0.4
17 Metal products and engineering industries			2.9	3.0	3.2	3.9		0.7					1.1
18 Electrical engineering industry			0.9	1.3	1.9	1.0		4.5					0.8
19 Production of means of transportation			1.7	1.1	1.0	1.5							0.4
20 Optical and other industries [wsw-workshops]			0.6	1.0	1.6	4.5							0.6
21 Public utilities			0.9	3.9	2.4	0.8		5.7					1.1
22 Construction and construction-engineering industry			9.5	6.9	8.6	9.9		9.0					3.5
23 Wholesale trade and retail trade			10.1	4.3	8.5	11.3		8.5					3.3
24 Hotels, pubs and restaurants			0.6	1.0	1.0	1.7		2.0					0.5
25 Repair of consumer goods			1.2	0.9	0.9	1.3		1.8					0.5
26 Navigation and aviation			2.6	2.9	1.9	3.3		0.9					0.9
27 Other transport and storage companies			3.8	3.0	3.2	1.1		6.5					1.4
28 Communication companies			1.5	0.3	0.8	1.2		0.1					0.3
29 Banking and insurance			1.0	1.6	1.8	1.8		0.7					0.6
30 Real estate			0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1							0.0
31 Business-services			0.9	1.5	5.2	1.5		6.4					1.2
32 Medical and veterinary services			0.7	1.3	1.8	1.2		1.0					0.5
33 Culture and recreation			0.3	0.7	0.4	1.2		1.0					0.3
34 Service industries [non-business orientated]			10.9	13.3	13.2	9.3		1.9					3.9
35 Other / Not classified								16.3					1.3
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0					100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	59.9
97 NA			3.9	2.9	2.6	3.0							1.0
99 INAP			9.9	11.0	9.0	7.7		8.3					3.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: onversion of the original 1994 CBS codes into the 1971-1989 codes shown in Appendix 4.3.2. The same table shows codes for D16a, D25a, and D32a. Inconsistencies in routing present in the source files remain unchanged.

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Respondent's father												
Item	D25b Father's occupation during adolescence: SBI 1993 classification												
Note	Constructed on the basis of differently formulated questions.												
Routing	Asked if D22=1.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Agriculture and fishery			20.2	14.5	12.1	14.5		18.9					6.5
2 Industry			31.4	36.8	35.1	39.0		35.7					14.3
3 Commercial service			21.8	15.6	23.4	23.4		27.5					8.8
4 Non-commercial service			12.8	19.2	17.8	12.4		9.6					5.8
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0					100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	59.9
97 NA			3.9	2.9	2.6	3.0							1.0
99 INAP			9.9	11.0	9.0	7.7		8.3					3.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.2 (together for variables D16b and D25b). Inconsistencies in routing present in the source files remain unchanged.

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Respondent's father												
Item	D25c Father's occupation during adolescence: ISCO(88) classification												
Note	Constructed on the basis of differently formulated questions.												
Routing	Asked if D22=1.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
01 Armed forces								1.3	0.7				0.2
10 Legislators, senior officials and managers									0.1				0.0
11 Legislators and senior officials								1.3	0.7				0.2
12 Corporate managers								7.4	4.2				1.0
13 General managers								1.9	15.2				1.5
20 Professionals								0.2	0.1				0.0
21 Physical, mathematical and engineering science profess.								2.5	1.8				0.4
22 Life science and health professionals								0.7	0.7				0.1
23 Teaching professionals								3.3	2.1				0.5
24 Other professionals								1.4	2.4				0.3
30 Technicians and associated professionals									0.1				0.0
31 Physical and engineering science associate profess.								0.4	2.9				0.3
32 Life science and health associate professionals								0.3	0.2				0.0
33 Teaching associate professionals									0.1				0.0
34 Other associate professional								8.9	5.0				1.2
41 Office clerks								2.6	3.1				0.5
42 Customer services clerks								0.8	0.4				0.1
51 Personal and protective service workers								1.3	2.3				0.3
52 Models, sales persons and demonstrators								5.1	1.3				0.5
61 Market-oriented skilled agricultural and fishery workers								12.4	3.0				1.3
62 Subsistence agricultural and fishery workers								3.9					0.3
70 Craft and related trade workers								0.9	0.4				0.1
71 Extraction and building trade workers								5.1	5.1				0.9
72 Metal, machinery and related trade workers								4.8	3.6				0.7
73 Precision, handicraft, printing and related trade workers								0.2	0.5				0.1
74 Other craft and related trades workers								8.1	2.2				0.8
80 Masters; brigadiers in plants and f.; skilled fact. w.								2.5	0.2				0.2
81 Stationary-plant and related operators								0.3	2.0				0.2
82 Machine operators and assemblers									5.6				0.5
83 Drivers and mobile-plant operators								5.2	3.8				0.8
90 Elementary occupations								0.6	0.1				0.1
91 Sales and services elementary occupations								2.6	1.5				0.3
92 Agricultural, fishery and related laborers								4.6	0.0				0.4
93 Laborers in mining, construction, manufact. and transp.								1.1	3.2				0.4
995 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0	82.9
996 DK								8.3					0.7
997 NA									1.0				0.1
999 INAP									24.3				2.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: 1998 codes are recoded from 4-digit into 2-digit ISCO codes. Conversion scheme for 1994 CBS codes into 2-digits ISCO is shown in Appendix 4.3.2 (applicable to variables D14c and D25c). Inconsistencies in routing present in the source files remain unchanged.

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Respondent's father												
Item	D26 Number of persons supervised or employed by respondent's father												
Note	Constructed on the basis of differently formulated questions.												
Routing	Asked if D22=1.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 None			61.4	65.9	55.4	52.1		49.1	42.0				26.9
1 1 to 9			15.7	13.1	14.0	18.4		20.3	17.9				8.1
2 10 to 19			2.6	2.8	4.9	4.5		4.0	5.4				2.0
3 20 or more			5.7	5.4	4.7	6.3		5.4	6.4				2.8
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0					100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0	50.7
96 DK			1.7	0.0	0.1	2.2		5.8	3.6				1.1
97 NA			3.1	1.8	11.8	8.8		5.4	0.3				2.3
99 INAP			9.9	11.0	9.0	7.7		10.0	24.3				6.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: In 1994 and 1998, number of employees and number of supervisees are coded as separate variables (var245 and var247 in 1994, and v0470 and v0471 in 1998, respectively). They are merged in the present variable, in line with the 1971-89 codes. Inconsistencies in routing present in the source files remain unchanged.

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Head of Household												
Item	D27 Identity of Head of Household												
Note	Constructed on the basis of differently formulated questions.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Respondent is H. of H.	60.9	48.2	45.3	56.6	57.2	62.8		65.6	58.4				38.2
2 Respondent is NOT H. of H.	39.1	51.8	54.7	43.4	42.8	37.2		34.4	41.1				28.6
95 Dropped							100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0	33.1
99 INAP									0.4				0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the follow up questions about HofHH may refer to somewhat different persons from study to study. For instance, in the 1994 study, Head of Household Items were asked only if HofHH is not respondent or partner. Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.2.

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Head of Household												
Item	D28a Employment status of Head of Household												
Note	Constructed on the basis of differently formulated questions.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Paid job (including part-time employment)	25.2	32.4	30.4	27.2	27.6	21.8		3.4	6.2	5.1			14.8
2 Used to work	5.6	9.2	18.0	9.3	10.1	8.3			1.8	1.4			5.2
3 Does not work/has never worked	1.7	1.8	0.1	1.2	1.0	0.9		0.5		0.2			0.6
4 Self-employed	6.6	8.3	6.1	5.3	3.8	6.0		0.7	1.2	0.6			3.2
6 Other		0.1						0.9	0.0				0.1
95 Dropped							100.0				100.0	100.0	24.7
96 DK				0.0	0.2			28.8					2.3
97 NA			0.1	0.3	0.1	0.3							0.1
99 INAP	60.9	48.2	45.3	56.6	57.1	62.8		65.6	90.8	92.8			49.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.2.

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Head of Household												
Item	D28b Sector in which Head of Household is currently employed												
Note	Constructed on the basis of differently formulated questions.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Public service	5.4	9.2	7.8	7.5	7.6	6.6			1.4	1.0			3.8
2 Employed - private employer	19.8	23.2	22.6	19.7	20.1	15.2			4.8	4.1			10.8
3 Self-employed	6.6	8.3	6.1	5.3	3.8	6.0			1.2	0.6			3.2
5 Other		0.1	6.0	0.2	0.2	0.4			0.0				0.6
95 Dropped							100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0	32.7
96 DK				0.0	0.2								0.0
97 NA			0.1	0.3	0.1	0.3							0.1
99 INAP	68.2	59.2	57.4	66.9	68.0	71.5			92.6	94.3			48.9
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original 1971-1989 codes shown in Appendix 4.3.2 (with variable D15).

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Head of Household												
Item	D30 Reason Head of Household does not work												
Note	Constructed on the basis of differently formulated questions.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Permanently disabled or unfit to work			4.3	3.1	2.9	2.2			1.0	0.4			1.1
2 Retired / renteer	5.3	8.3	7.2	5.1	5.5	4.2			0.2	0.7			3.0
3 Housekeeping / care for children / domestic work	1.2	1.6	6.0	0.8	0.2	1.0			0.2	0.2			0.9
4 Other	0.8	1.2	0.7	1.4	2.5	1.8			0.3	0.2			0.7
95 Dropped							100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0	32.7
96 DK				0.0	0.2								0.0
97 NA			0.1	0.3	0.1	0.3							0.1
99 INAP	92.7	88.9	81.8	89.2	88.6	90.6			98.2	98.6			61.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.2.

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Head of Household												
Item	D31 Profession and function of Head of Household												
Note	Constructed on the basis of differently formulated questions.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Manager / Controller				3.1	2.4	3.7	9.7	1.3	3.0				1.9
2 Skilled nonmanual / Higher professional				0.5	1.0	0.4	3.6	0.6	0.7				0.5
3 Employee / semi-skilled nonmanual / manual supervisor				14.0	17.3	13.6	4.3	0.6	1.1				4.0
4 Self-employed								0.2	0.4				0.0
5 Farmer				2.0	1.0	1.7	0.6	0.3	0.4				0.5
6 Skilled manual				8.9	6.6	5.0	3.9	0.7	0.4				2.1
7 Semi-skilled or unskilled manual				4.0	3.0	3.4	3.2	0.6	0.9				1.2
9 Unclassified / uncodable	31.5	40.0	36.4				0.3						9.1
95 Dropped										100.0	100.0	100.0	25.4
96 DK								0.1					0.0
97 NA	0.4	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.6		0.5				0.2
99 INAP	68.2	59.3	63.5	67.4	68.5	71.9	73.7	95.6	92.6				54.9
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.2 (the same table shows codes for D14a, D20, D24, D31, and D36).

Topic Item Note Routing	Occupation and Profession – Head of Household D32a Branch of industry Head of Household's job is in Constructed on the basis of differently formulated questions. Asked if D28a=1 or 4.												Total
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Agriculture, forestry, and fishery	2.8	3.2	3.1	2.4	1.2	2.0							1.2
2 Coal-mining	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.1									0.0
3 Other mining of minerals [excluding oil and natural gas]	0.1			0.2									0.0
4 Food industry [livestock farm products]	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.3								0.2
5 Food industry [other products]	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.9	0.5	0.7							0.2
6 Beverage and tobacco industries	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.3		0.3							0.1
7 Textile industry	0.8	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1							0.2
8 Clothing industry	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1							0.0
9 Leather and shoe industries	0.2		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2							0.1
10 Wood processing and furniture industries	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.2							0.2
11 Paper industry	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.4							0.1
12 Graphical industry and publishing firms	0.4	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.5	2.2							0.4
13 Petrochemical industry [including oil and gas product.]	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.3								0.1
14 Chemical, rubber and synthetics-processing industries	1.0	1.1	1.5	1.0	0.6	0.6							0.5
15 Building materials, ceramics and glass-industries	0.4	0.8	0.3	0.7	0.6	0.2							0.3
16 Steel industry	0.3	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.3	0.2							0.2
17 Metal products and engineering industries	1.7	2.0	1.9	1.6	1.6	1.9							0.9
18 Electrical engineering industry	0.9	1.2	1.0	1.6	1.0	0.6							0.5
19 Production of means of transportation	0.7	0.9	1.0	0.6	0.6	0.3							0.3
20 Optical and other industries [wsw-workshops]	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.9							0.3
21 Public utilities	0.3	0.7	0.3	2.3	0.6	0.2							0.4
22 Construction and construction- engineering industry	4.0	4.8	4.3	2.8	3.0	2.8							1.8
23 Wholesale trade and retail trade	3.9	4.5	3.7	1.4	1.8	2.8							1.5
24 Hotels, pubs and restaurants	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.4							0.2
25 Repair of consumer goods	0.4	0.9	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5							0.2
26 Navigation and aviation	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.2							0.3
27 Other transport and storage companies	1.5	1.6	2.2	1.0	1.0	0.2							0.6
28 Communication companies	0.4	0.5	0.9	0.2	0.5	0.6							0.2
29 Banking and insurance	0.7	1.1	0.8	0.6	1.6	1.0							0.4
30 Real estate		0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1							0.0
31 Business-services	0.8	1.1	0.8	1.1	2.8	1.0							0.6
32 Medical and veterinary services	0.4	1.0	0.9	1.3	1.8	1.0							0.5
33 Culture and recreation	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.9							0.2
34 Service industries [non-business orientated]	5.1	7.6	6.8	5.8	6.6	4.3							2.9
95 Dropped							100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	50.3
97 NA	0.9	1.4	1.3	0.7	0.8	1.3							0.5
99 INAP	68.2	59.3	63.5	67.4	68.5	71.9							33.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original 1994 CBS codes into the 1971-1989 codes shown in Appendix 4.3.2. The same table shows codes for D16a, D25a, and D32a.

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Head of Household												
Item	D32b Head of Household's occupation: SBI 1993 classification												
Note	Constructed on the basis of differently formulated questions.												
Routing	Asked if D28a=1 or 4.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Agriculture and fishery	2.8	3.2	3.1	2.4	1.2	2.0		0.3					1.3
2 Industry	13.3	15.5	14.0	13.8	11.0	11.6		1.3					6.7
3 Commercial service	8.9	11.0	9.7	5.8	9.2	6.8		1.7					4.3
4 Non-commercial service	5.9	9.5	8.4	9.9	9.2	6.4		0.8					4.1
95 Dropped							100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	42.3
96 DK								0.3					0.0
97 NA	0.9	1.4	1.3	0.7	0.8	1.3							0.5
99 INAP	68.2	59.3	63.5	67.4	68.5	71.9		95.6					40.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.2.

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Head of Household												
Item	D32c Head of Household's occupation: ISCO(88) classification												
Note	Constructed on the basis of differently formulated questions.												
Routing	Asked if D28a=1, 4 or 6.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
10 Legislators, senior officials and managers									0.0				0.0
11 Legislators and senior officials								0.1	0.1				0.0
12 Corporate managers								0.5	0.5				0.1
13 General managers									1.1				0.1
21 Physical, mathematical and engineering science profess.								0.4	0.5				0.1
22 Life science and health professionals									0.1				0.0
23 Teaching professionals								0.2	0.8				0.1
24 Other professionals								0.1	0.4				0.0
31 Physical and engineering science associate profess.									0.5				0.0
32 Life science and health associate professionals								0.1	0.1				0.0
33 Teaching associate professionals									0.0				0.0
34 Other associate professional								0.7	0.5				0.1
41 Office clerks								0.2	0.6				0.1
42 Customer services clerks								0.1					0.0
51 Personal and protective service workers									0.3				0.0
52 Models, sales persons and demonstrators								0.2	0.0				0.0
61 Market-oriented skilled agricultural and fishery workers								0.3	0.0				0.0
62 Subsistence agricultural and fishery workers								0.1					0.0
71 Extraction and building trade workers								0.2	0.5				0.1
72 Metal, machinery and related trade workers								0.3	0.3				0.0
73 Precision, handicraft, printing and related trade workers									0.1				0.0
74 Other craft and related trades workers								0.2	0.1				0.0
80 Masters; brigadiers in plants and f.; skilled fact. w.								0.2					0.0
81 Stationary-plant and related operators									0.0				0.0
82 Machine operators and assemblers									0.2				0.0
83 Drivers and mobile-plant operators								0.4	0.2				0.1
91 Sales and services elementary occupations								0.2	0.1				0.0
93 Laborers in mining, construction, manufact. and transp.									0.0				0.0
995 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0	82.9
996 DK								0.2					0.0
997 NA									0.1				0.0
999 INAP								95.6	92.6				16.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: 998 codes are recoded from 4-digit into 2-digit ISCO codes. Conversion scheme for 1994 CBS codes into 2-digits ISCO is shown in Appendix 4.3.2 (applicable also to variables D14c and D25c).

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Head of Household												
Item	D33 Number of persons employed or supervised by Head of Household												
Note	Constructed on the basis of differently formulated questions.												
Routing	Asked if D28a=1, or 4.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 None	3.2	3.3	25.1	22.6	17.7	14.7		2.3	4.0	3.1			7.9
1 1 to 9	2.6	2.8	6.7	5.4	6.5	5.6		0.9	1.8	1.0			2.7
2 10 to 19	0.4	0.8	1.5	1.3	1.8	2.5		0.3	0.8	0.4			0.8
3 20 or more	0.4	0.5	1.8	2.8	1.9	2.1		0.4	0.3	0.6			0.9
95 Dropped	25.2	32.4					100.0				100.0	100.0	29.7
96 DK			0.6		0.1			0.2	0.5	0.6			0.2
97 NA		0.9	0.9	0.6	3.5	3.3			0.0				0.7
99 INAP	68.2	59.3	63.5	67.4	68.5	71.9		95.8	92.6	94.3			57.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that routing for this variable is applied inconsistently over different election studies. For instance, 1971 and 1972 studies asked about employees only, and not about supervisees. In 1994, 1998, and 2002, number of employees and number of supervisees are coded as separate variables (bdromhkhkand and nleiwvhk from the 1994 restricted file, v0445 and v0446 in 1998, and v0541 and v0543 in 2002, respectively). They are merged in the present variable, in line with the 1971-89 codes. Inconsistencies in routing present in the source files remain unchanged. For studies from 2002, category "0 None" is defined as those respondents who are employed or self-employed, and don't have any supervisees or employees.

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Head of Household												
Item	D34 Number of hours Head of Household works per week												
Question	How many hours does the main breadwinner work on average per week, not counting unpaid hours? Hoeveel uur werkt de hoofdkostwinner gemiddeld per week, onbetaalde uren niet meegeteld?												
Routing	Varies between studies.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 0 Hours-Unemployed								1.2	1.8	1.5			0.4
1 1-12 hours - Less than 2 days per week	0.1			0.1				0.1	0.1	0.1			0.0
2 12-35 hours per week - 2-4 days per week	0.5	0.8	0.8	1.4				4.4	0.4	0.9			0.8
3 36 or more hours per week - Full time employed	31.1	39.1	35.5	30.7					6.8	4.4			13.0
95 Dropped					100.0	100.0	100.0				100.0	100.0	38.6
96 DK	0.0								0.1	0.3			0.0
97 NA	0.1	0.9	0.3	0.4					0.0				0.1
99 INAP	68.2	59.3	63.5	67.4				94.4	90.8	92.8			47.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The exact question wording may differ across studies. The 1994 variable constructed using variables "wrk12uhk 101A) Hfdkw 12 uur of meer per week werk" and "vrg12uhk 103) Hfdkw vroeger 12+ uur p/wk werk", available in Micro data-file. The 1998 variable constructed using variables "v0447 I/46i Number of hours Head of Household works per week" and "v0437 I/46a Current occupational status of Head of Household". The 2002 variable constructed using variables "v0544 I/4619 Occupation breadwinner: How many hours per week -open answer" and "v0535 I/4600 Occupational status breadwinner".

Topic	Occupation and Profession – Head of Household												
Item	D36 Head of Household's former profession and function												
Question	Varies between studies.												
Routing	Varies between studies.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Manager / Controller				0.9	1.2	0.6	2.0	0.4	0.1				0.4
2 Skilled nonmanual / Higher professional					0.2	0.1	0.8	0.1					0.1
3 Employee / semi-skilled nonmanual / manual supervisor				2.8	3.2	3.1	0.8	0.1					0.8
4 Self-employed								0.1					0.0
5 Farmer				0.7	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1					0.1
6 Skilled manual				2.3	3.6	2.4	1.4	0.2					0.8
7 Semi-skilled or unskilled manual				2.5	1.8	1.8	1.7	0.2					0.6
9 Unclassified / uncodable	5.4	8.8	17.8				0.2						2.6
95 Dropped										100.0	100.0	100.0	25.4
96 DK			0.2										0.0
97 NA	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1			1.6				0.2
99 INAP	94.5	90.8	82.0	90.7	89.7	91.7	93.0	98.8	98.2				68.9
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.2 (the same table shows codes for D14a, D20, D24, D31, and D36).

Topic	Education												
Item	D37 Highest education (completed) of respondent												
Question	The next question is about your own education. Could you indicate by means of this showcard the highest education for which you received a diploma? De volgende vraag gaat over uw eigen opleiding. Kunt u aan de hand van dit toonblad zeggen wat de hoogste schoolopleiding is waarvan u een diploma heeft behaald?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Elementary	39.4	43.0	62.8	29.0	27.9	26.6	21.4	20.5	15.7	7.2	6.0	5.9	25.3
2 (Lower) Vocational	31.2	34.0	11.5	22.9	17.3	15.7	26.0	22.5	17.4	12.4	11.3	15.9	20.1
3 Secondary	12.9	11.8	11.1	14.9	15.1	16.0	33.4	34.4	17.6	15.7	16.1	8.8	16.9
4 Middle level vocational, higher level secondary	6.5	8.0	11.6	20.2	18.1	22.3	15.0	15.8	27.4	14.9	14.8	38.8	18.5
5 Higher level vocational, University	1.0	1.3	2.2	12.3	21.0	18.4	4.2	6.5	21.8	49.5	51.5	23.4	16.9
96 DK			0.1					0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2		0.1
97 NA	9.0	1.9	0.8	0.8	0.6	1.0			0.0	0.1			1.4
98 DK/NA												7.2	0.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the exact wording of the question varies somewhat over different studies. Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.2 (the recode applies to variables D37, D39, and D41. In order to make the variable comparable across different election studies, the source variable were revised so that they represent completed educational degrees.

Topic	Education												
Item	D38 Education respondent started afterwards												
Question	Did you start education at a higher level afterwards? Bent u hierna nog aan een opleiding begonnen op een hoger niveau?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 No further education started			76.0	80.8					68.3			85.9	30.5
1 Elementary			8.6	2.0					0.6				0.9
2 (Lower) Vocational			5.2	3.3					2.3			0.2	1.0
3 Secondary			1.6	3.6					2.4			0.1	0.7
4 Middle level vocational, higher level secondary			5.2	3.8					11.8			2.3	2.2
5 Higher level vocational, University			1.8	5.0					13.7			4.5	2.4
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		61.1
96 DK									0.9				0.1
97 NA			1.6	1.5					0.0				0.3
98 DK/NA												7.0	0.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the exact wording of the question varies somewhat over different studies.

Topic	Education												
Item	D39 Highest education (completed) of father												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Elementary		67.0	76.3	51.5	51.8	51.3		43.3	36.9				29.9
2 (Lower) Vocational		12.8	6.7	12.8	11.2	10.6		15.1	14.4				6.7
3 Secondary		10.4	4.1	6.5	7.3	6.4		15.2	8.8				4.6
4 Middle level vocational, higher level secondary			6.5	9.0	9.7	11.0		6.5	10.0				4.3
5 Higher level vocational, University		3.2	1.8	8.5	9.0	8.9		4.0	11.1				3.8
95 Dropped	100.0						100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0	44.0
96 DK		5.6	4.1	10.7	10.8	11.0		9.8	6.3				4.7
97 NA		0.9	0.6	1.1	0.2	0.9			0.3				0.3
99 INAP								6.2	12.3				1.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.2 (the recode applies to variables D37, D39, and D41).

Topic	Social class												
Item	D43 Social class – self image												
Question	One sometimes speaks of the existence of various social classes and groups. If you were to assign yourself to a particular social class, which one would that be? Er wordt wel eens geproken over het bestaan van verschillende sociale klassen en groepen. Als u zichzelf tot een bepaalde sociale klasse zou moeten rekenen, welke zou dat dan zijn?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Upper class	1.6	1.3	1.8	2.5	2.2	2.0	2.1	2.1	1.3	3.8	2.2	2.0	2.1
2 Upper middle class	9.2	9.6	6.5	9.8	11.6	14.0	13.2	14.2	15.8	22.1	19.4	16.5	13.4
3 Middle class	37.0	43.4	39.8	40.8	45.9	43.7	50.4	53.0	55.8	54.6	59.8	46.4	47.0
4 Upper working class			13.1	10.3	10.0	10.9	8.0	5.9	7.0	4.6	3.9	11.9	7.3
5 Working class	39.7	40.8	32.7	31.0	26.4	25.6	23.2	21.0	18.0	12.1	11.6	19.3	25.5
96 DK	7.0	2.2	4.6	4.6	3.6	3.3	1.9	3.3	1.6	1.7	1.9		3.0
97 NA	5.5	2.7	1.6	1.0	0.3	0.6	1.2	0.5	0.4	1.1	1.2		1.4
98 DK/NA												3.4	0.4
99 INAP												0.5	0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	Religion												
Item	D44 Religious denomination of respondent												
Question	Do you consider yourself a member of a particular church or religious community, and if so, which one? Tot welke kerkelijke gezindte of levenbeschouwelijke groepering rekent u zichzelf?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Roman Catholic	35.5	34.0	33.9	35.7	34.1	31.0	29.1	25.2	27.7	25.2	24.2	24.0	30.0
2 Dutch Reformed	21.2	22.4	20.0	17.4	16.2	14.4	14.5	14.4	12.8	12.2	10.9	7.3	15.2
3 Calvinist	9.1	10.3	9.0	8.9	7.9	5.8	8.2	5.8	6.8	9.0	7.9	3.8	7.6
4 Islam									1.0	0.6	0.6	1.4	0.3
5 Protestant Church of the Netherlands												4.8	0.5
6 Other	4.5	3.5	2.4	3.2	4.9	4.2	5.4	3.7	4.4	4.4	5.2	4.4	4.1
7 No religion	29.4	29.6	33.8	34.4	36.6	44.2	42.7	50.4	47.2	47.8	50.4	54.3	41.7
96 DK			0.5	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.4		0.1
97 NA	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1		0.1	0.2			0.2
98 DK/NA												0.0	0.0
99 INAP										0.6	0.6		0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: In 2002, Code "7 No religion" was taken from category "2 no religious denomination", variable "v0488 I/401 Religious denomination: present". In 2003, Code "7 No religion" was taken from category "2 no religious denomination", variable "x0527 III/2340 Religious denomination: present".

Topic	Political interest and communication												
Item	V1_3 Reads about foreign news?												
Question	Can you indicate on this card how often you read the international news in your paper, e.g. about tensions or negotiations between countries? Als er in de krant buitenlands nieuws staat, bijvoorbeeld nieuws over spanningen of besprekingen tussen verschillende landen, hoe vaak leest u dat dan?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 (Nearly) Always	22.2	18.9	11.7	22.5	19.9	23.1	23.4	22.1	17.8	29.6	24.8	22.3	21.5
2 Often	23.4	24.0	19.0	24.1	24.1	22.1	21.6	21.6	20.8	29.0	29.4	20.6	23.1
3 Now and then	29.4	32.0	34.3	30.3	32.1	30.4	32.0	32.8	35.9	29.2	29.3	30.4	31.5
4 Seldom or never	23.7	23.7	27.3	18.0	19.3	17.2	17.2	16.1	16.9	7.6	9.5	11.5	17.4
5 Does not read papers			6.6	4.9	4.3	6.9	5.8	0.3	8.6				3.1
96 DK	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2								0.2
97 NA	0.5	0.7	0.8	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1		0.0	0.1			0.2
99 INAP								7.1		4.6	6.9	15.2	3.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: In 2006 study, this item was preceded by a question about reading newspapers in general. Respondents who answered "Seldom or never" to that question were filtered out of this item (these respondents are coded "INAP" in V1_3).

Topic	Political interest and communication												
Item	V1_4 Interested in politics?												
Question	Are you very interested in political subjects, fairly interested or not interested? Bent u zeer geïnteresseerd in politieke onderwerpen, tamelijk geïnteresseerd of niet geïnteresseerd?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Very interested	10.1	11.0	10.9	13.5	15.9	16.0	14.1	12.7	9.5	24.4	21.6	12.5	14.0
2 Fairly interested	42.2	44.6	49.4	54.4	58.4	56.4	63.3	65.6	64.5	66.6	69.3	67.4	58.3
3 Not interested	46.0	43.3	39.2	31.6	25.6	27.2	22.6	21.5	25.8	9.0	9.0	20.1	27.4
96 DK	0.7	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.2		0.2	0.1		0.1		0.2
97 NA	1.0	0.7	0.3	0.0		0.1			0.0				0.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	Political interest and communication												
Item	V1_5 Political interest score												
Question	Constructed from V1_1 to V1_4, see Appendix 4.3.3.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Low	17.0	12.1	20.1	12.6	10.3	11.0	9.3	9.4	9.7	2.7	3.9	6.9	10.6
1	31.9	41.0	43.8	37.4	38.3	36.4	40.1	40.5	45.9	30.9	35.2	44.8	39.0
2	24.9	23.6	18.9	23.2	23.2	23.6	22.6	23.8	23.1	25.3	27.4	25.7	23.8
3	19.0	16.4	11.1	18.5	18.4	19.0	19.2	18.0	15.7	26.1	21.1	15.9	18.1
4 High	7.1	6.9	6.2	8.3	9.7	10.0	8.8	8.2	5.6	15.0	12.4	6.8	8.5
98 DK/NA	0.1			0.1									0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: In some of the source election studies, there are few cases that have missing value codes in V1_1 to V1_4 (DK & NA), but are coded as 0 'low interest' in V1_5. These inconsistencies are corrected here (i.e., these cases are coded "98. DK/NA").

Topic	Interest and activities in campaign												
Item	V4_1 Reads campaign news in newspaper												
Question	I would like to start with a question about the campaign preceding the elections for the Second Chamber on [election date in question]. If there was news about the election campaign in the newspaper, how often did you read such news? Ik wil beginnen met een vraag over de campagne die aan de Kamerverkiezingen van [verkiezingsdatum in kwestie] is voorafgegaan. Als er in de krant nieuws over de verkiezingscampagne stond, hoe vaak las u dat dan?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 (Nearly) always						16.6	16.9	14.9	9.7	23.7	26.3	15.6	9.8
2 Often						17.8	17.3	16.3	15.2	28.7	28.7	20.4	11.6
3 Now and then						27.5	32.6	33.8	35.9	22.0	26.8	31.7	17.4
4 Seldom or never						16.2	14.1	14.1	19.2	4.7	11.2	11.6	7.5
5 Does not read papers						5.0	5.0	5.0	6.4	3.5			2.0
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0								42.6
96 DK						0.1		0.1			0.2		0.0
97 NA										17.5	6.9		1.8
99 INAP						16.8	14.1	15.7	13.7			20.9	7.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Variables from 1986 and 1989 studies come from the individual source data sets (variables var178 and var144 respectively). Category 5 was excluded from 2003 and 2006. The exact question wording and routing may differ across studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic	Interest and activities in campaign												
Item	V4_2 Has seen electoral debates												
Question	In the week prior to the election, debates were held on television, in which the leaders of the large political parties participated. Did you watch one of these debates, entirely or for the greater part? In de week voorafgaand aan de verkiezingen werden op de televisie debatten gehouden waaraan de lijsttrekkers van de grote politieke partijen meededen. Heeft u een van deze debatten geheel of grotendeels of gezien?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Seen debates entirely						46.5	42.2	49.0	13.3	17.9	68.0	26.5	20.0
2 Seen fragments									32.5	52.9	19.0	48.7	14.1
3 Not seen at all						36.3	43.6	34.9	40.5	10.0	12.9	16.5	15.9
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0								42.6
96 DK						0.3	0.1	0.4		0.1	0.1		0.1
97 NA						0.1				19.1			1.6
99 INAP						16.8	14.1	15.7	13.7			8.4	5.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.3. The exact question wording and routing may differ across studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic	Perception of poll results												
Item	V6_2 Perceived accuracy of poll results												
Question	In your opinion, how accurate is the image these polls provide of the strength of the various parties? Is this image very accurate, accurate, inaccurate or very inaccurate? Hoe goed is naar uw mening het beeld dat opiniepeilingen geven van de aanhang van de diverse partijen? Is dat zeer goed, goed, slecht of zeer slecht?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Very poor						0.7			1.0	1.5		1.1	0.4
2 Poor						2.7			20.1	7.4		6.2	3.4
3 Moderate						27.7				51.7		48.8	11.9
4 Good						45.8			65.0	32.6		27.4	15.1
5 Very good						4.2			2.3	0.5		0.3	0.6
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0			100.0		63.8
96 DK						9.4			11.5	6.4			2.3
97 NA						0.2			0.0			0.0	0.0
98 DK/NA												16.2	1.9
99 INAP						9.3							0.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.3.

Voting and party identification

Topic	Party adherence												
Item	V7_1 Respondent is (not) adherent to a party?												
Question	Many people think of themselves as adherent to a particular political party, but there are also people who do not think of themselves as an adherent to a political party. Do you think of yourself as an adherent or not as an adherent to a political party? Veel mensen voelen zich aanhanger van een bepaalde politieke partij, maar er zijn ook mensen die zich geen aanhanger van een politieke partij voelen. Voelt u zich aanhanger of geen aanhanger van een politieke partij?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Adherent	37.1	37.5	35.7		47.5	40.5	30.7	33.6	27.8	39.4		30.4	29.9
2 Not adherent	61.4	61.1	63.1		51.8	58.9	68.9	65.1	72.1	60.0		69.3	53.7
95 Dropped				100.0							100.0		15.7
96 DK	0.9	0.5	1.2		0.7	0.6	0.3	1.3	0.1	0.6			0.5
97 NA	0.6	0.9					0.1						0.1
98 DK/NA												0.3	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The exact question wording and routing may differ across studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic	Party adherence												
Item	V7_2 Respondent is adherent to (which party?)												
Question	To which party? Van welke partij?												
Routing	Asked if V7_1=1.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 PvdA	10.3	10.6	14.2		14.9	16.5	9.5	9.8	8.2	9.0		8.3	9.1
2 CDA			8.0		14.1	10.9	11.1	9.3	7.8	10.5		8.9	6.6
3 VVD	3.5	5.2	4.1		11.4	7.9	4.3	7.1	5.7	6.7		5.4	5.0
4 D66	1.8	0.7	0.9		1.4	0.8	1.2	2.5	1.3	1.9		0.8	1.1
5 GroenLinks							0.4	1.9	1.1	3.1		1.9	0.8
6 SGP	0.8	1.3	0.9		0.9	1.0	1.2	0.3	0.8	1.4		0.8	0.8
7 ChristenUnie										2.6		1.6	0.4
8 Lijst Pim Fortuyn										2.1		0.2	0.2
9 SP					0.1		0.1	0.3	0.9	1.5		2.1	0.5
10 Centrumdemocraten								0.4	0.2				0.1
11 KVP	9.6	6.5	1.7										1.6
12 ARP	4.7	5.6	1.4										1.0
13 CHU	2.6	2.5	1.0										0.5
14 GPV	0.6	0.9	0.6		0.4	0.6	0.9	0.5	0.7				0.4
15 RPF					0.6	0.3	0.6	0.6	1.0				0.2
16 Other	2.7	3.5	2.5		3.0	2.1	1.0	0.2	0.3	0.5		0.5	1.3
94 Refused to answer					0.5	0.2							0.0
95 Dropped				100.0							100.0		15.7
96 DK			0.1		0.1	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.1			0.1
97 NA	0.5	0.7	0.2				0.2	0.3	0.0			0.2	0.2
98 DK/NA												0.1	0.0
99 INAP	62.9	62.5	64.3		52.5	59.5	69.3	66.4	72.2	60.6		69.3	54.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: 2006: The source variable v063 reports party preference of all respondents, regardless of their answer to the item V7_1. In V7_2, respondents that answered "2 Not adherent" to the previous question are filtered out. For party acronyms, see Appendix 4.4.2.

Topic	Convinced adherent												
Item	V8_3 Respondent is more attracted to (party)												
Question	Which party is that?												
	Welke partij is dat?												
Routing	Asked if V8_2=1.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 PvdA	10.1	9.0	14.4		10.3	15.4	13.8	7.9	12.2	7.4		8.3	9.1
2 CDA			8.7		7.1	10.9	10.9	7.3	6.4	8.4		9.0	5.7
3 VVD	4.4	6.8	7.6		7.4	6.2	6.4	7.5	10.2	7.1		6.8	5.9
4 D66	4.1	1.4	2.4		2.8	2.4	4.5	8.1	4.3	3.3		1.4	2.9
5 GroenLinks							1.4	4.4	4.8	5.9		2.9	1.7
6 SGP	0.5	0.8	0.5		0.3	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.2		0.3	0.3
7 ChristenUnie										1.4		1.0	0.2
8 Lijst Pim Fortuyn										3.9		0.3	0.4
9 SP					0.1		0.1	0.4	2.5	2.8		6.0	1.2
10 Centrumdemocraten								0.8	0.1				0.1
11 KVP	6.9	5.6	1.8										1.3
12 ARP	1.4	2.4	0.4										0.3
13 CHU	2.3	1.8	0.6										0.4
14 GPV	0.1	0.3	0.3		0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4				0.1
15 RPF					0.2	0.5	0.6	0.4	1.0				0.2
16 Other	5.4	7.7	3.9		3.4	3.3	2.5	0.8	1.2	1.0		2.2	2.6
94 Refused to answer					0.2	0.2							0.0
95 Dropped				100.0							100.0		15.7
96 DK	0.2	0.2	0.3		0.1	0.3	0.2	0.8	0.2	0.3			0.2
97 NA	0.5	1.7	0.2			0.1	0.7	0.2		0.1		0.0	0.3
98 DK/NA												0.5	0.1
99 INAP	64.2	62.3	58.9		67.9	60.2	58.2	61.1	56.4	58.4		61.3	51.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

For party acronyms, see Appendix 4.4.2.

Topic	Features of PID												
Item	V9_1 Strength of party adherence												
Question	Constructed from V7_1 to V8_3, see Appendix 4.3.3.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Neither adherent nor attracted	24.5	23.4	22.0	31.0	19.5	17.4	27.2	25.1	27.8	17.9		30.5	23.4
1 No adherent, DK attracted	2.6			3.3	0.9	2.4	0.3	2.5	0.0	0.9		0.3	1.2
2 No adherent, attracted	34.7	35.8	40.6	30.0	31.3	38.6	40.6	36.9	43.3	40.7		38.5	35.2
3 DK adherent, attracted	0.4			0.7	0.5	0.6	0.3	1.0				0.2	0.3
4 Adherent, not convinced	16.0	13.1	12.1	14.0	17.8	16.0	10.8	14.1	7.9	8.6		7.9	11.7
5 Adherent, DK convinced	2.3		1.0	0.7	1.0	1.2	0.1	1.1		0.1		0.2	0.7
6 Convinced adherent	18.4	24.4	22.6	18.8	28.2	22.9	19.4	17.7	16.4	25.0		18.6	19.5
7 Very convinced adherent									3.5	5.6		3.8	1.2
95 Dropped											100.0		5.6
96 DK									0.1	0.6			0.1
97 NA		1.2	1.9	0.5	1.5	0.8	0.9	1.3	1.6	1.0	0.5		0.9
98 DK/NA												0.1	0.0
99 INAP		1.4	1.2										0.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the number of degrees of party adherence differs somewhat across different election studies.

Topic	Vote intention												
Item	V11_1 Respondent intends to vote in [current] parliamentary elections?												
Question	As you may know, elections for the Second Chamber will be held in [Month/Date] of this year. Do you intend to vote or not, or don't you know yet? Zoals u misschien weet zijn er binnenkort verkiezingen voor de Tweede Kamer. Gaat u dan wel of niet stemmen, of weet u dat nog niet?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes, intends to vote	84.6		88.6	87.2		82.1	88.0	86.6	86.0	96.0		87.3	70.7
2 No, does not intend to vote	6.8		4.5	4.7		4.2	4.8	5.0	6.9	1.7		4.7	4.0
3 Doesn't know yet	8.2		6.8	8.1		4.0	7.2	8.4	7.1	2.3		8.0	5.5
95 Dropped		100.0			100.0	9.3					100.0		19.7
96 DK													0.0
97 NA	0.4		0.1			0.3		0.1		0.1			0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: 1994 and 1998 studies originally coded DK answers as missing values. In order to make variables comparable, those codes are converted into valid codes ("3 Doesn't know yet").

Topic	Vote intention												
Item	V11_2 Vote intention [current] parliamentary elections												
Question	Which party do you intend to vote for? Op welke partij gaat u stemmen?												
Routing	Asked if V11_1=1.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 PvdA	18.0		28.3	19.5		30.6	24.5	14.6	18.7	13.7		13.1	15.8
2 CDA			24.4	22.5		19.6	25.3	13.0	13.9	19.4		16.3	13.4
3 VVD	6.9		12.0	9.8		12.0	9.7	13.5	15.4	10.7		8.6	8.7
4 D66	4.8		3.3	8.7		3.5	5.6	10.4	5.0	4.1		1.0	4.1
5 GroenLinks							4.6	5.2	5.8	8.2		2.9	2.3
6 SGP	1.4		1.2	1.4		1.2	1.7	0.4	0.9	1.6		1.1	1.0
7 ChristenUnie										3.7		2.4	0.6
8 Lijst Pim Fortuyn										8.1		0.1	0.7
9 SP							0.3	0.5	3.6	4.8		7.2	1.6
10 Centrumdemocraten								1.5	0.1				0.1
11 KVP	16.3												1.8
12 ARP	5.7												0.6
13 CHU	4.3												0.5
14 GPV	0.7		0.9	0.9		0.6	1.1	0.6	1.0				0.5
15 RPF						0.7	1.0	1.2	1.9				0.4
16 Other	8.7		6.0	4.5		3.4	1.0	1.7	1.2	1.5		3.2	2.9
17 Doesn't know yet	13.3		10.6	18.4		9.6	11.6					30.8	9.3
18 Invalid				0.0					0.1	0.1			0.0
19 Blank				0.0			0.7					0.2	0.1
20 Would not vote									0.9				0.1
94 Refused to answer				1.4		0.8		1.0					0.3
95 Dropped		100.0			100.0	9.3					100.0		19.7
96 DK								23.0	17.2	19.6			5.0
97 NA	4.6		1.9			0.2	0.9		0.4	0.6		0.4	0.9
99 INAP	15.4		11.4	12.8		8.6	12.0	13.4	14.0	4.0		12.7	9.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

For party acronyms, see Appendix 4.4.2.

Topic	Vote intention if obliged												
Item	V13 Vote intention if non-voter is obliged to vote												
Question	Suppose that you were obligated by law to vote. For which party would you vote? Stel dat het verplicht zou zijn om te gaan stemmen. Wat zou u dan stemmen?												
Routing	Asked if V11_1=2 or 3.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 PvdA			2.5	2.8		2.6	3.5	0.9	1.3	0.1		2.3	1.4
2 CDA			1.7	2.1		1.2	2.2	0.3	0.6	0.3		1.7	0.9
3 VVD			0.8	0.4		0.6	0.7	0.1	0.4			1.2	0.4
4 D66			0.4	1.2		0.5	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.1		0.2	0.3
5 GroenLinks							0.7	0.1	0.4	0.1		0.2	0.1
6 SGP			0.1	0.1		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1		0.0	0.0
7 ChristenUnie										0.1		0.1	0.0
8 Lijst Pim Fortuyn										0.1		0.2	0.0
9 SP								0.1	0.1	0.3		0.6	0.1
10 Centrumdemocraten								0.2					0.0
14 GPV						0.1							0.0
15 RPF							0.2						0.0
16 Other			0.8	0.7		0.4	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.3		0.6	0.3
17 Doesn't know yet												4.8	0.6
18 Invalid				0.7		0.3	0.5		0.3			0.4	0.2
19 Blank				1.3		0.5	1.5	0.5				0.3	0.4
20 Would not vote				0.1		0.1			1.8				0.2
94 Refused to answer				0.2		0.2		0.1					0.0
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0			100.0	9.3					100.0		30.6
96 DK			4.2	3.1		1.7	1.4	1.2	1.5	0.4			1.1
97 NA			1.0	0.0		0.2	0.1		0.3			0.0	0.1
99 INAP			88.6	87.2		82.1	88.0	95.9	93.1	98.3		87.3	63.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

For party acronyms, see Appendix 4.4.2.

Topic	Previous election voting behaviour Second Chamber												
Item	V14_1 Did (not) vote in [previous] parliamentary elections												
Question	The previous elections for the Second Chamber were held in [year of previous election]. Did you vote in these elections, or not? De vorige verkiezingen voor de Tweede Kamer zijn in [jaar van de vorige verkiezingen] gehouden. Heeft u toen gestemd of niet?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes	80.0	78.9	81.2	82.7	86.8	72.2	86.4	82.5	80.4	87.1		82.1	77.3
2 No	11.5	11.7	9.6	12.0	10.5	6.4	8.8	11.5	12.6	8.0		11.5	9.9
3 Not entitled to vote	8.1	9.1	8.2	4.1	2.0	3.7	4.3	5.2	6.5	4.5		5.8	5.4
95 Dropped						16.8					100.0		6.8
96 DK	0.2	0.2	0.9	1.2	0.6	0.8	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.5			0.5
97 NA	0.2	0.1	0.2			0.1							0.1
98 DK/NA												0.6	0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: 2002: Answers presently categorized as "3 Not entitled to vote" were originally coded as System missing.

Topic	Current election voting behavior												
Item	V15_2 Party voted for in [current] parliamentary elections												
Question	Which party did you vote for?												
	Op welke partij heeft u gestemd?												
Routing	Asked if V15_1=1.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 PvdA	15.9	22.1	24.4	16.5	27.6	27.0	25.6	19.2	22.8	13.6	26.2	17.9	20.9
2 CDA			23.8	21.8	23.2	24.7	25.9	15.0	13.7	22.1	25.0	22.9	17.8
3 VVD	6.2	13.4	10.0	10.2	21.5	12.9	10.9	16.9	17.0	11.1	15.8	12.2	12.8
4 D66	5.7	3.4	4.5	8.7	4.6	5.3	8.2	13.8	9.1	5.0	5.6	1.4	6.2
5 GroenLinks							3.9	4.2	6.7	7.6	6.5	4.2	2.7
6 SP	1.2	2.5	1.1	1.0	1.4	0.9	1.4	0.3	0.7	1.3	0.8	1.0	1.1
7 ChristenUnie										3.1	2.0	3.6	0.8
8 Lijst Pim Fortuyn										8.5	3.4	0.0	0.9
9 SP				0.2	0.1		0.3	0.9	3.4	6.1	8.6	14.8	3.1
10 Centrumdemocraten								0.7	0.2				0.1
11 KVP	14.9	13.7											2.5
12 ARP	5.6	8.5											1.2
13 CHU	3.9	4.8											0.7
14 GPV	0.8	1.2	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.9	1.1	0.6	1.0				0.6
15 RPF				0.5	0.8	0.7	1.0	1.0	1.9				0.5
16 Other	9.9	14.4	3.8	5.5	7.1	4.5	0.7	4.1	1.0	1.0	0.9	6.4	5.0
17 Invalid			0.2	0.0	0.1					0.1			0.0
18 Blank	0.0		0.1		0.1					0.1		0.2	0.0
93 Would not vote									0.2				0.0
94 Refused to answer				0.8	2.0	0.5		0.8				0.2	0.3
95 Dropped	20.6		22.7	29.7		16.8	14.1			17.5			10.9
96 DK	0.2	0.3	0.8	0.0				0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2		0.1
97 NA	4.2	5.2	1.2		0.1		0.5		0.3	0.3	0.1		1.0
98 DK/NA												0.3	0.0
99 INAP	11.0	10.6	6.8	4.6	11.0	5.7	6.3	22.3	21.6	2.6	5.0	14.9	10.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

For party acronyms, see Appendix 4.4.2.

Topic	Party choice – reasons												
Item	V16_1 Party choice – first reason												
Question	Why did you vote [party mentioned by respondent]?												
Question	Waarom hebt u op deze partij gestemd?												
Routing	Asked if V15_2 = 1 thru 16.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
101 Interests of workers, employees, working people	3.2	6.9	3.6	4.3	6.9	3.3	1.8	0.7	1.1	0.4	0.5	0.5	2.7
102 Interests of shop owners, small business		0.9	0.5	0.4	0.8	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.4			0.1	0.3
103 Interests of (free) enterprise, entrepreneurs, business (in general)			0.3	0.1	1.0	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.5			0.3	0.3
104 Recipients of (unemployment) benefits								0.1					0.0
105 Interest of economically disadvantaged groups, the financial weak, minimum incomes								0.6	0.8	1.3	1.4	0.6	0.4
106 Interests of elderly	0.3	0.2	0.2		0.5		0.1	1.4	0.4			0.2	0.3
107 Interests of youth			0.1	0.2	0.1		0.1	0.1	0.3				0.1
108 Interests of women				0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1						0.0
109 Groups other than 'people like me'								0.2	0.2			0.1	0.1
110 Respondent's own personal interests	3.4	2.9	1.0	2.6	1.2	2.3	1.5	1.8	2.1			0.8	1.7
111 Representation of other interests				0.3	0.4	2.9	3.1	0.1	0.7			0.1	0.6
112 General interest, the national interest		0.5	0.2	0.6	1.0	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.1			0.5	0.4
113 Representation of other interests			0.1	0.2				0.2				0.5	0.1
150 Power relations												1.2	0.1
151 Satisfaction with coalition	0.3	0.3	0.7	3.9	0.5	4.4	5.5	0.1	4.0	1.4	0.4	1.0	1.9
152 Dissatisfaction with coalition	0.2	0.9	0.4	1.0	0.6	2.3	2.8	0.6	0.7	2.8	0.6	0.9	1.1
153 Performance and policies of coalition without specification of (dis)satisfaction	1.0			0.1			0.2		0.1			0.0	0.2
154 Performance and policies of opposition without specification of (dis)satisfaction	0.1			0.4	0.2	1.1	1.9		0.1				0.3
155 This party belongs in a government, this party should be included in a coalition								0.9	0.8			1.1	0.3
156 Other power relations issues								4.7	3.1	4.6	8.9	3.8	2.0
200 Beliefs & ideology								0.8		2.7	2.9	0.1	0.5
201 Religious beliefs	9.5	13.6	9.9	6.9	7.3	7.8	10.1	3.1	4.5			2.2	6.2
202 Unspecified personal philosophy								2.5	0.1	10.7	8.8	1.9	1.8
203 Left ideological beliefs	1.6	1.8	1.3	1.6	1.9	1.2	3.0	0.8	1.2			1.0	1.3
204 Center ideological beliefs		0.5	0.5	0.3	1.2	0.7		0.1	0.7	0.4	0.9	0.8	0.5
205 Right ideological beliefs	0.3	1.2	2.3	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.6	0.1	0.0			0.3	0.8
206 Liberal								0.4	0.8			0.5	0.2
250 Candidates										4.9	4.4		0.7
251 Candidates: Specified	1.9	2.5	5.1	1.9	2.8	4.9	3.7	3.2	5.3			6.6	3.3
252 Candidates: Unspecified	0.6	1.3	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.6	0.8	1.0			1.3	0.6
301 Party identification, adherence, membership	3.4	10.2	13.4	2.0	6.5	1.9	1.5	1.8	8.9	12.1	10.7	3.0	6.0
302 General positive qualification concerning the party	18.7	15.4	12.2	17.9	23.0	10.7	5.5	12.7	2.6	0.5	0.1	6.7	10.7
303 Best party								2.3	1.4	2.2	3.7	1.1	0.8
304 Party is least of all evils	0.1	0.1	0.9	1.8	2.2	3.0	4.3	3.3	1.3	2.3	1.3	1.1	1.7
305 It is a large party								0.7	0.2	2.7	3.9	0.4	0.6
306 It is a small party								0.1	0.3			0.3	0.1
307 New party								0.1	0.0			0.0	0.0
308 Party manifesto, policy of party, ideas of party	5.4	8.6	6.4	5.6	6.8	8.2	14.4	13.8	13.1	20.9	30.3	18.7	12.3
309 Other party-related reasons								0.7	0.8	3.7	10.1	3.4	1.4

Table continues on the next page

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
402 Euthanasia									0.1				0.0
403 Foreigners, aliens					0.3	0.2	0.2	1.2	1.7			1.1	0.4
404 Crime, law and order, police	0.2	0.2			0.1	0.1		0.2	0.3			0.1	0.1
405 Defence	0.3	0.9		1.4	5.5	1.7	0.1	0.1	0.1				0.7
407 Economic problems	1.0	0.8		0.3	1.4	1.3	1.1	0.2	0.2			0.7	0.6
408 Government budget deficits								0.1					0.0
409 Fighting (unemployment), stimulating employment				0.1	1.0	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.2			0.0	0.2
411 Social benefits, policy								1.5	1.6			1.1	0.4
412 Income policy			0.1	0.1	0.8	0.2	1.3	0.3	0.2			0.1	0.2
413 Social benefits; fraud				0.1	0.1		0.1					0.0	0.0
414 Decreasing income gap									0.1			0.2	0.0
415 Increasing income gap												0.0	0.0
416 Deregulation, smaller role of government in society												0.2	0.0
417 (Nuclear) Energy			0.2	0.8	0.6	0.7			0.0				0.2
419 Environmental pollution, care, problems			0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	2.2	1.1	1.8			1.6	0.7
420 Shortage of housing, cost of housing				0.1	0.1			0.1				0.1	0.0
421 Tax reforms								0.1	0.0			0.0	0.0
422 Health care									0.8			0.5	0.1
423 Education								0.1	0.0			0.4	0.1
424 Reform political system, reform administrative system								0.4					0.0
425 Protection of property, house ownership									0.3			0.6	0.1
426 Other specific issues	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.1	1.7	1.4		0.1	1.7	1.7	1.0	0.7
701 Tradition	6.2	6.0	5.0	4.5	5.2	6.6	5.9	8.0	7.0			6.4	5.2
702 Imitation	0.6	1.2	0.5	1.1	1.4	0.9	1.1	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.7	1.3	0.9
703 Impact of media	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.9	0.9	0.1			0.2	0.3
704 Other, idiosyncratic reason					0.5	1.1		0.6	2.2	3.5	3.2	2.7	1.1
992 Uncodable	2.5	2.8	1.3	1.0	2.0	1.7	0.1	0.8	1.4			3.3	1.5
995 Dropped	20.6		22.7	29.7		16.7	14.1						9.4
996 DK	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2		0.5
997 NA	1.9	3.0	0.8	0.2	0.3	1.2	1.4		0.6	20.6	5.4		2.8
998 DK/NA												7.3	0.8
999 INAP	15.4	16.1	7.9	5.5	13.2	6.3	6.3	23.1	22.1			10.1	10.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.3. For more details about coding of the originally open answers, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Note that the 1994 source file contains a certain number of codes without value labels, either within the data-file, or in the codebook. Presently, these codes are merged with the labeled categories that are numerically closest.

Topic	Party choice – reasons												
Item	V16_2 Party choice – second reason												
Question	Why did you vote [party mentioned by respondent]?												
	Waarom heeft u op [de genoemde partij] gestemd?												
Routing	Asked if V15_2 = 1 thru 16.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
101 Interests of workers, employees, working people	0.0	0.3	1.0	0.2	0.8	0.5	1.2	0.2	0.0		0.5		0.4
102 Interests of shop owners, small business		0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1					0.1
103 Interests of (free) enterprise, entrepreneurs, business (in general)			0.1	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1				0.1
105 Interest of economically disadvantaged groups, the financial weak, minimum incomes								0.3	0.4	0.8	2.3		0.3
106 Interests of elderly		0.1	0.2		0.1		0.1	0.3	0.0				0.1
107 Interests of youth						0.1	0.1	0.1					0.0
108 Interests of women				0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1						0.0
109 Groups other than 'people like me'								0.1	0.1				0.0
110 Respondent's own personal interests		0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.5	1.0	0.8	0.3				0.2
111 Representation of other interests				0.0	0.1	1.4	2.3	0.2	0.1				0.3
112 General interest, the national interest			0.2	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.0				0.1
113 Representation of other interests		0.1	0.1					0.1					0.0
151 Satisfaction with coalition	0.0		0.2	0.4	0.2	1.2	1.9	0.1	0.8	0.7	0.2		0.5
152 Dissatisfaction with coalition			0.3	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.9	0.4	0.1	1.2	0.4		0.3
153 Performance and policies of coalition without specification of (dis)satisfaction	0.1				0.1		0.5	0.1	0.0				0.1
154 Performance and policies of opposition without specification of (dis)satisfaction				0.1	0.1	0.9	1.6						0.2
155 This party belongs in a government, this party should be included in a coalition								0.3	0.1				0.0
156 Other power relations issues								1.7	0.8	1.4	1.8		0.4
200 Beliefs & ideology								0.2		4.3	5.4		0.7
201 Religious beliefs	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.4	1.0	1.5	3.6	0.3	0.8				0.7
202 Unspecified personal philosophy								0.7		7.2	11.8		1.3
203 Left ideological beliefs	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	1.6	0.3	0.2				0.3
204 Center ideological beliefs			0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1			0.2	0.1	0.3		0.1
205 Right ideological beliefs		0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.2	1.5	0.1					0.2
206 Liberal								0.2	0.2				0.0
250 Candidates										4.3	7.2		0.8
251 Candidates: Specified	0.1	0.2	2.0	0.9	1.1	2.8	3.4	2.2	1.9				1.2
252 Candidates: Unspecified		0.3	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.2				0.2
301 Party identification, adherence, membership	0.0	0.2	1.3	0.3	1.0	0.6	1.1	0.6	0.6	2.0	3.1		0.8
302 General positive qualification concerning the party	1.0	1.0	2.2	2.3	3.3	6.6	9.5	2.3	0.4	0.1			2.2
303 Best party								0.2	0.0	0.2	0.9		0.1
304 Party is least of all evils				0.2	0.3	1.2	1.9	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.2		0.4
305 It is a large party								0.4	0.7	0.6	0.9		0.2
306 It is a small party									0.0				0.0
308 Party manifesto, policy of party, ideas of party	0.5	0.5	1.7	2.0	1.6	1.9	6.8	3.1	2.4	0.6	1.7		1.8
309 Other party-related reasons								0.1	0.1				0.0
401 Abortion			0.2	0.3	0.1		1.1	0.1	0.1				0.1
402 Euthanasia								0.2	0.2				0.0
403 Foreigners, aliens				0.1			0.1	0.9	1.2				0.2
404 Crime, law and order, police	0.1		0.1	0.0	0.2		0.1	0.2	0.2				0.1
405 Defence	0.1	0.1		0.5	3.1	1.1	0.2	0.1	0.0				0.4

Table continues on the next page

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
407 Economic problems	0.0	0.1		0.3	0.9	0.7	1.6	0.1	0.1				0.3
408 Government budget deficits								0.1	0.1				0.0
409 Fighting (unemployment), stimulating employment				0.4	1.6	0.4	0.8	0.3	0.1				0.3
410 Part-time jobs, reduction of working hours								0.2					0.0
411 Social benefits, policy								0.8	1.2				0.2
412 Income policy				0.1	0.6	0.2	2.1	0.2	0.1				0.3
413 Social benefits; fraud				0.0	0.2			0.1					0.0
414 Decreasing income gap									0.2				0.0
415 Increasing income gap								0.1					0.0
417 (Nuclear) Energy				0.1	0.5	0.5	0.6		0.1	0.0			0.1
419 Environmental pollution, care, problems				0.1	0.2	0.5	0.2	1.9	0.8	0.9			0.4
420 Shortage of housing, cost of housing					0.2		0.1	0.1					0.0
421 Tax reforms									0.3				0.0
422 Health care									0.5				0.0
423 Education								0.1	0.0				0.0
424 Reform political system, reform administrative system								0.3					0.0
425 Protection of property, house ownership								0.1	0.2				0.0
426 Other specific issues		0.1	0.5	0.0	0.1	1.5	2.6	0.1		1.1	0.5		0.5
701 Tradition	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.7	1.5	2.1	1.8	0.8	0.9				0.7
702 Imitation	0.0		0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1		0.5		0.1
703 Impact of media		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.8	0.4	0.1				0.1
704 Other, idiosyncratic reason					0.6	0.6		0.2	0.0	0.5	0.9		0.2
992 Uncodable	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.7	1.7	0.1	0.1					0.2
993 No second reason	58.1	75.6	54.6	52.5	63.4	43.4		52.5	59.4	53.8	55.9		45.8
995 Dropped	20.6		22.7	29.7		16.7	14.1					100.0	20.9
996 DK						0.6				0.4	0.2		0.1
997 NA							23.5		0.6	20.6	5.4		3.9
999 INAP	18.1	19.7	9.5	6.2	13.8	7.9	8.3	24.3	22.1				10.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.3. For more details about coding of the originally open answers, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Note that the 1994 source file contains a certain number of codes without value labels, either within the data-file, or in the codebook. Presently, these codes are merged with the labeled categories that are numerically closest.

Topic		Considered not to vote												
Item		V22_1 Considered not to vote												
Question		Have you seriously considered not to vote in the parliamentary election of [current election date]?												
		Heeft u bij de Kamerverkiezingen van [huidige verkiezingsdatum] serieus overwogen om niet te gaan stemmen?												
Routing		Asked if V15_1=1.												
		1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1	Yes				1.5	4.0		4.0	4.6	4.3	3.3		4.8	2.3
2	No				27.6	82.4		75.4	73.0	74.1	76.6		78.9	42.2
95	Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	29.7		100.0	14.1				100.0		42.6
96	DK				0.1	0.2		0.1	0.1					0.0
97	NA				0.0	0.1					0.1		1.7	0.2
99	INAP				41.0	13.2		6.3	22.3	21.6	20.1		14.6	12.6
Total		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The exact question wording may differ across studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic		Considered not to vote												
Item		V22_2 Did (not) hesitate about party choice												
Question		And did you seriously consider voting for [a different party than party mentioned by respondent or a party] at the parliamentary elections of [current election date]?												
		Heeft u bij de Kamerverkiezingen van [huidige verkiezingsdatum] serieus overwogen om op [een andere partij dan antwoord V15_2][een partij][een andere partij dan de partij waarop u gestemd heeft] te gaan stemmen?												
Routing		Asked if V15_1=1.												
		1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1	Yes				11.8	22.3	16.9	18.1	24.3	24.4	29.3	34.3	38.5	18.2
2	No				17.3	64.2	60.2	61.3	52.3	53.9	50.1	60.4	44.6	36.9
95	Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	29.7		16.7	14.1						31.0
96	DK				0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1		0.1
97	NA				0.0	0.1	0.3				0.2		1.7	0.2
99	INAP				41.0	13.2	5.8	6.3	23.1	21.6	20.1	5.2	15.3	13.5
Total		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The exact question wording may differ across studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic		Alternative parties												
Item		V22p_1 Considered to vote for PvdA												
Question		Which party did you consider voting for?												
		Op welke?												
Routing		Asked if V22_2=1.												
		1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1	Mentioned				1.6	4.1	3.7	3.1	3.7	5.8	4.1	7.2	7.1	3.3
2	Not mentioned				10.0	17.4	12.6	16.1	19.6	17.9	24.6	26.5	31.2	14.7
95	Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	29.7		16.7	14.1						31.0
96	DK				0.0	0.4	0.2	0.3	1.0	0.4	0.4	0.4		0.2
97	NA				0.1	0.4	0.6	0.3		0.3	0.2	0.2	1.7	0.4
98	DK/NA												0.2	0.0
99	INAP				58.5	77.7	66.1	66.0	75.7	75.6	70.7	65.7	59.9	50.4
Total		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Minor inconsistencies in the skip pattern in 1989 study remain unchanged. The exact question wording may differ across studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic	Reasons for non-voting												
Item	V23_1 Reasons for non-voting – first reason												
Question	Why didn't you vote?												
Question	Waarom heeft u niet gestemd?												
Routing	Asked if V15_1=2.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003*	2006	Total
010 Physical and personal circumstances	1.4	2.1	1.0	0.5	1.2	0.4	0.4		0.1				0.6
011 Respondent is handicapped or ill								0.1	0.8	0.1	0.5		0.1
012 Family matters									0.1	0.1	0.2		0.0
013 Weather								0.4					0.0
020 Absence	2.9	2.0	1.8	1.0	2.7	1.7	1.9	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1		1.2
021 No time								0.5	0.6	0.1	0.1		0.1
022 Too late								0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1		0.1
023 Had to work								0.7	0.3	0.1	0.2		0.1
024 Vacation								0.2	0.5	0.3	0.2		0.1
030 No interest	2.2	2.1	1.3	0.9	1.8	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.3	0.5		1.1
031 Doesn't care									0.2				0.0
032 Forgot to vote								0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1		0.1
033 Voting is not compulsory								0.1					0.0
040 Beliefs	0.1	0.2		0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2						0.1
041 Religious beliefs								0.1	0.1				0.0
042 Does not vote on principle								0.1	0.3				0.0
050 Could not make a choice								0.3	0.8	0.3	0.6		0.2
060 Protest	0.2		0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1				0.1
061 Disagree with the parties (or government)								0.1	0.1	0.1			0.0
062 Rejects the party system								0.1	0.0				0.0
070 Cynicism	1.5	2.3	1.0	0.5	2.1	0.4	0.4						0.7
071 No party has anything good to offer								0.1					0.0
072 Parties (politicians) do not keep their promises								0.1	0.0	0.2	0.4		0.1
073 Does not trust the parties (politicians)								0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3		0.0
074 It is no use to vote								0.3	0.9	0.1	0.1		0.1
075 It does not yield anything to vote								0.2			0.1		0.0
076 Politics is a mess								0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2		0.0
077 Anti-parliamentarism	0.4	0.4		0.1		0.1							0.1
080 Feelings of incompetence	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.7	1.8	0.5	1.1	0.3					0.4
081 Does not know much about politics								0.4	0.5	0.1			0.1
090 No summons	0.0	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.6	0.4	0.7	0.2					0.2
091 Did not receive summons									0.0	0.1	0.2		0.0
092 Lost summons								0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1		0.1
100 Problems with proxy								0.1					0.0
101 Forgot to give proxy to someone									0.0	0.1	0.1		0.0
102 Proxy did not vote									0.0	0.1			0.0
120 Other						0.1					0.9		0.1
992 Uncodable	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.1						0.1
995 Dropped	20.6		22.7	29.7		16.7	14.1			17.5		100.0	22.3
996 DK		0.1	0.1		0.1		0.2	0.1	0.1				0.0
997 NA		0.5	0.3		0.1		0.1				0.1	95.1	5.4
999 INAP	69.9	89.4	70.4	65.7	89.0	77.7	79.5	93.4	92.1	79.9			66.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.3. The exact question wording and routing may differ across studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

*2003: The source variables in 2003 are inconsistently coded. Some of those who voted were asked for reasons for their not voting. These inconsistencies remain unchanged.

Topic	When decided not to vote												
Item	V24_1 Did not vote: when decided												
Question	When did you decide that you definitely would not vote? Was that during the last days before the election, the last weeks before the election, a few months beforehand or did you know even longer beforehand that you would not vote? Wanneer werd duidelijk dat u niet zou gaan stemmen? Was dat op de DAG van de verkiezingen zelf, de laatste DAGEN voor de verkiezingen, de laatste WEKEN voor de verkiezingen, een paar MAANDEN van tevoren of wist u al LANGER dat u niet zou gaan stemmen?												
Routing	Asked if V15_1=2.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003*	2006	Total
1 On election day									2.2	0.9	1.1	2.4	0.6
2 Last days before elections	3.2			1.6	3.6	1.6	3.0	2.6	1.7	0.4	0.6	1.1	1.6
3 Last weeks before elections	1.2			0.6	1.2	0.9	1.0	0.6	1.0	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.6
4 A few months before	0.6				0.8	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.2	0.3	0.9	0.4	0.4
5 Longer beforehand	3.1			2.3	4.5	2.0	1.7	2.0	2.7	0.5	1.6	2.3	2.0
95 Dropped	20.6	100.0	100.0	29.7		16.7	14.1						22.4
96 DK	0.9			0.1	0.6	0.6	0.2	0.6	0.1	0.2	0.3		0.3
97 NA	1.4			0.0	0.2	0.2				0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2
98 DK/NA												0.2	0.0
99 INAP	69.0			65.7	89.0	77.7	79.5	93.4	92.1	97.4	95.0	93.4	71.9
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the number of response categories varies between studies. A minor skip pattern inconsistency in 2006 remain unchanged.

Topic	Voting behaviour municipal elections												
Item	V26_2 Party voted for in most recent municipal elections												
Question	For which party did you vote then?												
	Op welke partij heeft u toen gestemd?												
Routing	Asked if V26_1=1 or 4.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 PvdA	14.4					26.7		15.1	14.5	14.3			7.2
2 CDA						19.5		14.9	14.5	15.2			5.2
3 VVD	5.5					12.3		11.4	11.9	11.5			4.4
4 D66	3.6					3.3		8.6	4.9	5.7			2.2
5 GroenLinks								5.4	5.0	5.5			1.3
6 SGP	1.1					1.4		0.5	0.8	1.7			0.5
7 ChristenUnie										3.6			0.3
8 Lijst Pim Fortuyn											0.9		0.1
9 SP								1.9	3.1	2.3			0.6
10 Centrumdemocraten								0.9	0.1				0.1
11 AOV, Unie 55+								0.4	0.6				0.1
12 Leefbaar Nederland										0.9			0.1
13 GPV	0.5					0.6		0.6	1.3				0.3
14 RPF						0.6		1.1	1.5				0.3
15 KVP	14.5												1.6
16 ARP	5.1												0.6
17 CHU	4.1												0.5
18 CPN	1.1					0.9			0.0				0.2
19 PSP	0.7					1.5							0.2
20 PPR	0.3					1.0							0.1
21 Local list (party)								13.1	11.6	15.5			3.4
22 Other	5.1					11.5		0.7	0.3	3.0			1.7
23 Invalid											0.1		0.0
24 Blank										0.5			0.0
94 Refused to answer						1.0		1.1					0.2
95 Dropped		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0				100.0	100.0	56.4
96 DK	2.4					0.4		0.4	0.9	0.5			0.4
97 NA	24.1					0.1			1.0	0.1			2.7
99 INAP	17.4					19.3		23.8	27.9	18.9			9.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

For party acronyms, see Appendix 4.4.2.

Faith in prospective prime ministers

Topic	Faith in prospective prime ministers												
Item	V30_1 Faith in [candidate 1] as Prime Minister												
Question	I will now mention to you the names of possible candidates for the position of Prime Minister. Could you indicate how much faith you would have in each person as Prime Minister? How much faith do you have in Jan Peter Balkenende as Prime Minister? Please mention the number that applies to the candidate. If you do not know the candidate, do not hesitate to say so. Ik noem nu telkens de naam van een mogelijke kandidaat voor de post van minister-president. Wilt u aangeven hoeveel vertrouwen u in deze persoon zou hebben als minister-president? Hoeveel vertrouwen heeft u in Jan Peter Balkenende als minister-president? Noemt u maar het cijfer dat van toepassing is. Als u een kandidaat niet kent, zegt u het dan gerust.												
Note	Politicians were presented in random order. Showcard presented, listing response alternatives.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Very much faith				18.2	21.5	29.0	27.4	3.0	1.5	16.9	17.8	18.6	12.4
2				13.8	19.3	20.2	23.3	8.3	6.0	26.5	29.4	26.8	14.1
3				9.2	11.6	9.1	13.0	12.4	15.9	16.2	15.9	14.9	9.8
4				7.4	9.3	8.1	8.2	13.4	17.5	10.7	13.6	9.6	8.0
5				7.2	9.5	6.0	6.1	15.2	17.0	6.1	9.6	7.3	6.9
6				6.6	9.7	5.1	3.9	15.3	11.1	3.7	9.0	6.2	5.8
7 No faith at all				6.3	16.4	4.1	3.5	14.1	8.1	1.2	4.4	5.7	5.2
90 Don't know candidate								0.6	7.8	0.4	0.2	0.9	0.9
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0							17.5			27.2
96 DK				1.5	2.7	1.5	0.5	1.9	1.4	0.7	0.1		0.8
97 NA					0.1	0.1				0.2		1.7	0.2
99 INAP				29.7		16.8	14.1	15.7	13.7			8.4	8.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note

Year	Candidate
1981	Dries Van Agt (CDA)
1982	Dries Van Agt (CDA)
1986	Ruud Lubbers (CDA)
1989	Ruud Lubbers (CDA)
1994	Elco Brinkman (CDA)
1998	Jaap de Hoop Scheffer (CDA)
2002	Jan Peter Balkenende (CDA)
2003	Jan Peter Balkenende (CDA)
2006	Jan Peter Balkenende (CDA)

For the names of all candidates referred to in the items V30_1 to V30_5, see Appendix 4.3.3.

The exact question wording varies across studies. The quoted question comes from the 2002 study. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic	Faith in prospective prime ministers												
Item	V30_2 Faith in [candidate 2] as Prime Minister												
Note	Refer to V30_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Very much faith				7.1	12.2	7.1	9.0	15.5	34.2	1.8	7.7	5.5	8.3
2				9.2	14.5	10.6	14.9	23.3	33.6	7.2	20.9	15.9	12.4
3				9.4	13.0	11.0	18.6	15.6	11.1	11.4	22.6	15.8	10.3
4				10.0	12.4	9.6	16.1	11.4	4.1	12.7	20.8	14.8	9.0
5				11.2	13.7	10.1	11.1	7.3	1.4	13.2	12.9	14.6	7.8
6				9.8	13.8	13.5	9.2	4.5	1.0	15.8	8.7	11.8	7.2
7 No faith at all				12.0	17.3	19.8	6.2	4.4	0.2	19.3	5.0	10.3	7.7
90 Don't know candidate								0.4	0.2	0.3	0.5	1.3	0.3
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0							17.5			27.2
96 DK				1.6	3.0	1.3	0.6	1.8	0.5	0.5	0.9		0.8
97 NA					0.1	0.2				0.4		1.7	0.2
99 INAP				29.7		16.8	14.1	15.7	13.7			8.4	8.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note

Year	Candidate
1981	Joop Den Uyl (PvdA)
1982	Joop Den Uyl (PvdA)
1986	Joop Den Uyl (PvdA)
1989	Wim Kok (PvdA)
1994	Wim Kok (PvdA)
1998	Wim Kok (PvdA)
2002	Ad Melkert (PvdA)
2003	Wouter Bos (PvdA)
2006	Wouter Bos (PvdA)

For the names of all candidates referred to in the items V30_1 to V30_5, see Appendix 4.3.3.

Topic	Faith in prospective prime ministers												
Item	V30_3 Faith in [candidate 3] as Prime Minister												
Note	Refer to V30_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Very much faith				4.5	8.6	1.7	1.2	3.5	5.0	1.6	2.8	1.1	2.4
2				10.6	17.4	3.7	3.5	8.1	15.0	9.2	12.5	4.7	6.8
3				11.0	17.5	7.5	8.4	15.8	17.1	16.0	20.1	12.5	10.2
4				10.9	16.1	12.5	14.5	17.9	15.5	17.9	19.3	15.6	11.4
5				9.5	11.5	14.0	21.5	15.9	13.6	17.0	18.8	17.3	11.4
6				9.2	9.3	15.4	18.1	10.2	9.3	11.9	15.4	18.5	9.7
7 No faith at all				12.8	11.6	26.3	16.9	7.0	8.5	7.4	10.1	15.7	9.6
90 Don't know candidate								2.2	1.4	0.5	0.4	4.6	0.9
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0							17.5			27.2
96 DK				1.8	7.9	2.0	1.7	3.6	0.8	0.6	0.6		1.4
97 NA						0.1				0.4		1.7	0.2
99 INAP				29.7		16.8	14.1	15.7	13.7			8.4	8.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note

Year	Candidate
1981	Hans Wiegel (VVD)
1982	Ed Nijpels (VVD)
1986	Ed Nijpels (VVD)
1989	Joris Voorhoeve (VVD)
1994	Frits Bolkestein (VVD)
1998	Frits Bolkestein (VVD)
2002	Hans Dijkstal (VVD)
2003	Gerrit Zalm (VVD)
2006	Mark Rutte (VVD)

For the names of all candidates referred to in the items V30_1 to V30_5, see Appendix 4.3.3.

Topic	Faith in prospective prime ministers												
Item	V30_4 Faith in [candidate 4] as Prime Minister												
Note	Refer to V30_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Very much faith				5.6	2.9			7.1	2.9	1.2	11.6	7.1	3.2
2				15.0	8.3			19.4	9.6	5.7	25.4	18.8	8.6
3				14.6	13.1			19.6	19.4	13.3	18.7	19.2	10.1
4				13.6	20.0			14.6	19.7	18.3	15.9	15.6	9.9
5				7.9	17.5			9.8	15.6	15.7	9.5	11.0	7.3
6				4.9	15.6			5.5	9.6	13.8	7.6	8.1	5.4
7 No faith at all				3.5	17.0			4.3	6.0	11.9	5.8	7.0	4.5
90 Don't know candidate								1.0	2.4	1.6	2.4	3.1	0.9
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0			17.5			42.0
96 DK				5.1	5.5			2.9	1.1	0.8	2.9		1.5
97 NA				0.0	0.1				0.0	0.4	0.1	1.7	0.2
99 INAP				29.7				15.7	13.7			8.4	6.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note

Year	Candidate
1981	Ruud Lubbers (CDA)
1982	Jan Terlouw (D66)
1994	Hans Van Mierlo (D66)
1998	Els Borst (D66)
2002	Thom de Graaf (D66)
2003	Job Cohen (PvdA)
2006	Jan Marijnissen (SP)

For the names of all candidates referred to in the items V30_1 to V30_5, see Appendix 4.3.3.

Topic	Faith in prospective prime ministers												
Item	V30_5 Faith in [candidate 5] as Prime Minister												
Note	Refer to V30_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Very much faith				6.2						5.5		1.3	1.2
2				13.4						7.6		1.1	2.1
3				15.0						9.5		2.3	2.6
4				14.7						12.0		4.9	3.0
5				8.4						10.3		6.2	2.4
6				5.5						13.9		11.9	3.1
7 No faith at all				3.8						22.1		36.0	6.4
90 Don't know candidate										0.1		26.2	3.0
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	17.5	100.0		71.5
96 DK				3.3						1.3			0.4
97 NA										0.3		1.7	0.2
99 INAP				29.7								8.4	4.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note

Year	Candidate
1981	Jan Terlouw (D66)
2002	Pim Fortuyn (LPF)
2006	Marco Pastors (Eén NL)

For the names of all candidates referred to in the items V30_1 to V30_5, see Appendix 4.3.3.

Sympathy score for political parties and politicians

Topic	Sympathy scores for political parties
Item	V31_1 Sympathy score: PvdA
Question	<p>There are many political parties in our country. I would like to know from you how sympathetic you find these parties. You can give each party a score between 0 and 100. The more sympathetic you find a party, the higher the score you give. A score of 50 means that you find a party neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic. If you don't know a party, please feel free to say so.</p> <p>Which score would you give the [party]?</p> <p>Er zijn veel politieke partijen in ons land. Ik zou graag van u weten hoe sympathiek u deze partijen vindt. U kunt iedere partij hiervoor punten geven tussen de 0 en de 100. Hoe sympathieker u een partij vindt, hoe meer punten u die partij geeft. 50 betekent dat u die partij niet sympathiek, maar ook niet onsympathiek vindt. Als u een partij niet kent, zegt u het dan gerust.</p> <p>Als eerste nemen we het [partij]. Hoeveel punten zou u het [partij] geven?</p>
Note	<p>Parties were presented in random order.</p> <p>Showcard [number] presented, listing a horizontal line with labels at equal distance, 0 . . . 100. Position 0 is additionally labeled as 'very unsympathetic', position 50 as 'neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic', and position 100 as 'very sympathetic'.</p>

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic						4.4		2.1	0.7	1.5	1.5	1.2	0.9
1						5.8		2.5	1.3	3.5	3.2	1.7	1.4
2						5.4		3.8	1.5	4.7	4.4	3.2	1.8
3						6.7		6.6	2.7	8.0	5.6	5.3	2.8
4						8.0		9.2	5.8	13.4	10.1	7.6	4.4
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic						14.5		21.4	16.8	18.0	13.8	15.3	8.3
6						9.6		15.9	18.0	19.5	17.1	17.8	8.2
7						9.5		15.6	21.8	16.6	19.7	21.8	8.9
8						14.0		12.4	17.1	8.8	12.7	16.0	6.8
9						10.4		5.0	7.7	4.1	7.6	3.0	2.9
10 Very sympathetic						8.3		2.7	3.8	1.0	3.4	3.9	1.9
90 Don't know party								1.2		0.2	0.1		0.1
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0						50.3
96 DK						2.6		1.7	2.8	0.7	0.7		0.7
97 NA						0.7		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1
98 DK/NA												3.3	0.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Some studies (1986, 1994, 1998, 2002, 2003) used scale ranging from 0 to 100. The codes are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10.

The exact question wording varies across studies. The quoted question comes from the 2002 study. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic	Sympathy scores for political parties												
Item	V31_2 Sympathy score: VVD												
Note	Refer to V31_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic						6.8		2.9	1.7	1.4	3.3	1.8	1.4
1						6.9		4.7	4.0	3.5	5.2	3.1	2.2
2						8.8		6.3	5.4	6.4	7.9	6.4	3.3
3						9.6		8.3	9.1	9.5	10.7	7.8	4.5
4						13.7		13.1	14.6	16.5	14.4	11.1	6.8
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic						15.4		18.3	17.9	17.3	14.6	16.4	8.3
6						12.1		15.9	15.3	19.1	14.4	19.0	8.1
7						9.2		12.6	14.7	14.9	13.8	17.8	7.1
8						6.7		7.8	8.9	7.8	9.7	10.1	4.3
9						4.8		4.2	3.3	2.0	3.4	1.7	1.5
10 Very sympathetic						1.4		1.3	1.3	0.4	1.4	1.1	0.6
90 Don't know party								2.1		0.3	0.1		0.2
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0						50.3
96 DK						3.8		2.5	3.7	0.7	1.0		0.9
97 NA						0.7		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1
98 DK/NA												3.7	0.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Some studies (1986, 1994, 1998, 2002, 2003) used scale ranging from 0 to 100. The codes are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10.

Topic	Sympathy scores for political parties												
Item	V31_3 Sympathy score: D66												
Note	Refer to V31_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic						2.0		2.1	1.0	2.8	1.7		0.7
1						3.7		1.8	3.0	4.4	3.9		1.3
2						3.7		3.1	4.7	6.6	6.7		1.9
3						5.9		5.2	6.8	8.8	6.7		2.6
4						9.6		7.3	11.2	14.7	13.0		4.3
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic						23.6		19.2	22.0	19.0	21.2		8.0
6						17.7		18.5	19.2	18.4	17.9		7.0
7						12.9		17.5	15.2	14.9	13.9		5.7
8						5.6		12.6	8.2	6.5	7.2		3.1
9						2.1		4.9	2.6	1.9	3.3		1.1
10 Very sympathetic						1.0		1.4	1.0	0.3	0.9		0.3
90 Don't know party								3.2		0.4	0.6		0.3
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0					100.0	61.8
96 DK						11.5		3.3	5.0	1.3	2.8		1.8
97 NA						0.7		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2		0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Some studies (1986, 1994, 1998, 2002, 2003) used scale ranging from 0 to 100. The codes are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10.

Topic	Sympathy scores for political parties												
Item	V31_4 Sympathy score: GroenLinks												
Note	Refer to V31_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic								6.6	1.8	2.3	4.1	1.4	1.3
1								5.4	3.4	4.2	5.8	5.3	2.0
2								5.8	5.0	6.4	7.6	6.6	2.6
3								8.4	8.1	9.7	8.7	9.1	3.8
4								10.5	9.6	11.0	12.0	10.4	4.5
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic								15.0	15.1	13.9	13.0	16.2	6.3
6								13.2	14.1	18.0	14.9	16.1	6.5
7								10.2	16.7	17.9	13.1	15.9	6.4
8								7.1	12.7	10.5	11.8	8.3	4.2
9								4.5	4.5	3.5	4.6	3.0	1.7
10 Very sympathetic								1.4	1.7	0.8	2.0	1.4	0.6
90 Don't know party								7.7		0.5	0.6		0.7
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0						57.4
96 DK								4.1	7.2	1.1	1.7		1.2
97 NA								0.1	0.1	0.1			0.0
98 DK/NA												6.2	0.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Some studies (1986, 1994, 1998, 2002, 2003) used scale ranging from 0 to 100. The codes are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10.

Topic	Sympathy scores for political parties												
Item	V31_5 Sympathy score: CDA												
Note:	Refer to V31_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic						4.2		3.9	1.1	0.8	1.7	1.0	1.0
1						6.1		4.3	2.0	2.7	3.4	2.7	1.7
2						6.4		6.6	3.9	4.0	5.0	4.2	2.4
3						6.5		7.1	6.2	7.6	9.1	5.7	3.4
4						8.7		11.3	12.3	12.7	10.9	9.1	5.4
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic						13.3		20.9	23.0	17.4	12.4	14.4	8.5
6						12.8		14.3	18.4	20.3	16.2	17.3	8.3
7						12.9		12.1	14.7	18.5	18.2	21.3	8.2
8						12.5		10.6	9.2	10.0	13.0	14.3	5.8
9						8.8		4.7	3.6	3.8	7.0	3.9	2.5
10 Very sympathetic						4.7		1.5	1.8	1.1	2.0	2.5	1.1
90 Don't know party								0.9		0.2			0.1
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0						50.3
96 DK						2.5		1.8	3.4	0.7	1.1		0.8
97 NA						0.7		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1
98 DK/NA												3.5	0.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Some studies (1986, 1994, 1998, 2002, 2003) used scale ranging from 0 to 100. The codes are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10.

Topic	Sympathy scores for political parties												
Item	V31_6 Sympathy score: SGP												
Note	Refer to V31_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic						15.8		10.3	5.8	10.9	12.3		4.1
1						11.4		11.3	9.9	14.0	13.1		4.5
2						9.7		8.8	11.2	14.3	12.4		4.3
3						5.9		11.1	11.5	13.0	10.9		4.0
4						8.5		8.8	9.2	8.9	10.7		3.5
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic						11.3		11.6	13.9	12.5	11.3		4.7
6						5.0		4.8	3.8	5.6	4.8		1.8
7						2.8		2.4	2.6	3.7	3.7		1.1
8						1.8		2.0	2.1	1.8	2.3		0.8
9						0.9		0.9	0.7	0.7	0.6		0.3
10 Very sympathetic						0.9		0.4	0.7	0.9	0.6		0.3
90 Don't know party								23.3		7.9	8.8		3.0
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0					100.0	61.8
96 DK						25.3		4.3	28.6	5.6	8.3		5.7
97 NA						0.7		0.1	0.1	0.4	0.3		0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Some studies (1986, 1994, 1998, 2002, 2003) used scale ranging from 0 to 100. The codes are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10.

Topic	Sympathy scores for political parties												
Item	V31_7 Sympathy score: GPV												
Note	Refer to V31_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic						16.2		9.9	5.3				2.4
1						12.5		11.1	10.0				2.7
2						9.0		10.3	11.0				2.5
3						7.1		10.8	10.9				2.4
4						7.4		7.5	9.5				2.0
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic						10.1		10.8	15.0				3.0
6						4.5		4.9	5.1				1.2
7						2.7		2.4	3.8				0.7
8						2.1		1.9	2.9				0.6
9						0.8		0.9	0.6				0.2
10 Very sympathetic						0.7		0.5	0.9				0.2
90 Don't know party								24.9					2.0
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0	75.7
96 DK						26.2		4.1	24.8				4.5
97 NA						0.7		0.1	0.1				0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Some studies (1986, 1994, 1998, 2002, 2003) used scale ranging from 0 to 100. The codes are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10.

Topic	Sympathy scores for political parties												
Item	V31_8 Sympathy score: RPF												
Note	Refer to V31_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic						18.2		8.4	5.3				2.5
1						12.8		11.0	8.0				2.5
2						8.2		9.5	10.7				2.3
3						5.6		9.8	10.4				2.1
4						6.0		6.3	7.9				1.7
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic						8.3		9.0	12.6				2.5
6						3.1		3.7	3.8				0.9
7						1.5		1.9	3.0				0.5
8						1.0		1.6	2.6				0.4
9						1.2		1.0	1.3				0.3
10 Very sympathetic						0.8		0.6	0.6				0.2
90 Don't know party								32.7					2.6
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0	75.7
96 DK						32.7		4.4	33.8				5.8
97 NA						0.7		0.1	0.1				0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Some studies (1986, 1994, 1998, 2002, 2003) used scale ranging from 0 to 100. The codes are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10.

Topic	Sympathy scores for political parties												
Item	V31_9 Sympathy score: Centrumdemocraten												
Note	Refer to V31_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic								75.2	70.1				12.4
1								6.5	9.2				1.4
2								3.8	4.5				0.7
3								2.7	2.4				0.4
4								1.9	1.9				0.3
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic								2.4	2.7				0.4
6								0.4	0.9				0.1
7								0.5	0.6				0.1
8								0.4	0.1				0.0
9								0.3	0.0				0.0
10 Very sympathetic								0.3	0.2				0.0
90 Don't know party								3.7					0.3
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0	82.9
96 DK								1.8	7.2				0.8
97 NA								0.1	0.0				0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Some studies (1986, 1994, 1998, 2002, 2003) used scale ranging from 0 to 100. The codes are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10.

Topic	Sympathy scores for political parties												
Item	V31_10 Sympathy score: SP												
Note	Refer to V31_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic									5.4	4.6	3.8	0.8	1.2
1									7.1	7.7	6.5	3.5	2.1
2									8.3	9.5	7.6	5.3	2.6
3									8.9	10.6	8.7	6.5	2.9
4									9.6	11.2	11.6	9.7	3.6
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic									15.2	13.0	12.7	14.0	4.8
6									8.3	13.3	14.4	16.4	4.6
7									7.6	12.9	14.0	17.6	4.6
8									6.4	6.7	8.9	12.3	3.1
9									2.1	3.5	5.8	4.7	1.3
10 Very sympathetic									1.1	0.6	2.2	2.4	0.6
90 Don't know party										3.0	1.3		0.3
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0					65.4
96 DK									19.7	3.1	2.5		2.2
97 NA									0.1	0.2	0.2		0.0
98 DK/NA												6.8	0.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Some studies (1986, 1994, 1998, 2002, 2003) used scale ranging from 0 to 100. The codes are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10.

Topic	Sympathy scores for political parties												
Item	V31_11 Sympathy score: AOV												
Note	Refer to V31_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic									4.1				0.4
1									6.6				0.6
2									6.6				0.6
3									7.4				0.7
4									7.3				0.7
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic									20.8				1.9
6									8.9				0.8
7									6.7				0.6
8									3.9				0.4
9									0.7				0.1
10 Very sympathetic									0.7				0.1
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	90.8
96 DK									26.3				2.4
97 NA									0.1				0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Some studies (1986, 1994, 1998, 2002, 2003) used scale ranging from 0 to 100. The codes are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10.

Topic	Sympathy scores for political parties													
Item	V31_12 Sympathy score: Leefbaar Nederland													
Note	Refer to V31_1 for complete question text and additional information.													
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total	
0 Very unsympathetic										10.7				0.9
1										12.3				1.0
2										13.0				1.1
3										12.7				1.1
4										12.4				1.0
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic										14.3				1.2
6										8.4				0.7
7										6.0				0.5
8										2.0				0.2
9										0.7				0.1
10 Very sympathetic										0.2				0.0
90 Don't know party										2.4				0.2
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	91.6	
96 DK										4.5				0.4
97 NA										0.3				0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

Note: Some studies (1986, 1994, 1998, 2002, 2003) used scale ranging from 0 to 100. The codes are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10.

Topic	Sympathy scores for political parties													
Item	V31_13 Sympathy score: Lijst Pim Fortuyn													
Note	Refer to V31_1 for complete question text and additional information.													
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total	
0 Very unsympathetic										23.9	22.0			3.2
1										13.0	15.3			1.9
2										9.3	11.5			1.4
3										8.8	11.4			1.4
4										7.6	10.2			1.2
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic										9.8	11.6			1.5
6										7.7	6.9			1.0
7										7.8	4.4			0.9
8										5.6	3.0			0.6
9										2.7	1.7			0.3
10 Very sympathetic										1.5	0.8			0.2
90 Don't know party										0.4	0.2			0.0
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			100.0	86.1	
96 DK										1.7	0.9			0.2
97 NA										0.3	0.2			0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

Note: Some studies (1986, 1994, 1998, 2002, 2003) used scale ranging from 0 to 100. The codes are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10.

Topic	Sympathy scores for political parties												
Item	V31_14 Sympathy score: ChristenUnie												
Note	Refer to V31_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic										6.4	7.3	1.8	1.2
1										10.0	9.4	5.9	2.0
2										11.7	9.5	6.3	2.2
3										10.5	11.2	9.6	2.6
4										12.5	12.0	11.9	3.1
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic										13.7	14.3	16.7	3.9
6										8.4	10.0	14.8	3.0
7										6.8	6.5	12.1	2.3
8										3.5	2.8	5.9	1.1
9										2.4	2.2	1.6	0.5
10 Very sympathetic										0.8	1.1	1.3	0.3
90 Don't know party										6.8	6.2		0.9
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0				74.6
96 DK										6.0	7.1		0.9
97 NA										0.4	0.4	0.1	0.1
98 DK/NA												12.0	1.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Some studies (1986, 1994, 1998, 2002, 2003) used scale ranging from 0 to 100. The codes are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10.

Topic	Sympathy scores for politicians												
Item	V32_1 Sympathy score: Politician 1												
Question	I would also like to know how sympathetic you find the following politicians. If you don't know a politician, please feel free to say so. First [politician's name]. Which score would you give her/him? Ik zou ook graag van u weten hoe sympathiek u de volgende politici vindt. Als u een politicus niet kent, zegt u het dan gerust. Allereerst nemen we [naam politicus]. Hoeveel punten zou u hem geven?												
Note	Politicians were presented in random order. Showcard [number] used, listing response alternatives.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic						4.2	1.9	2.6	0.6	0.8	3.5		1.0
1						3.1	2.0	2.2	1.0	1.6	5.2		1.1
2						3.6	1.2	2.8	0.9	1.3	5.0		1.0
3						2.5	3.0	2.9	1.6	2.1	7.2		1.4
4						3.6	3.3	4.1	3.2	2.7	8.3		1.8
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic						12.6	11.7	12.1	9.3	7.8	10.2		4.8
6						10.2	7.4	11.6	11.3	10.3	13.2		4.9
7						13.1	10.3	18.5	22.9	22.5	18.8		8.2
8						18.3	17.9	20.2	25.0	26.1	15.8		9.7
9						17.2	17.2	14.9	15.4	18.7	9.0		7.2
10 Very sympathetic						9.1	9.7	7.0	6.7	5.8	3.6		3.3
90 Don't know politician								0.3					0.0
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0							100.0	54.1
96 DK						1.7	0.2	0.7	2.0	0.2	0.1		0.4
97 NA						0.7	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1
99 INAP							14.1						1.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The original 0-100 scales are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10.

For the names of politicians referred to in the items V32_1 to V32_12, see Appendix 4.3.3. The exact question wording varies across studies. The quoted question comes from the 2002 study. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic	Sympathy scores for politicians												
Item	V32_2 Sympathy score: Politician 2												
Note	Refer to V32_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic						6.9	1.7	1.4	1.4	4.3	9.1		1.7
1						5.7	2.2	3.2	3.0	6.4	10.3		2.2
2						5.8	2.7	2.4	4.3	7.8	9.0		2.4
3						5.0	4.0	3.5	6.9	11.5	10.7		3.1
4						9.0	6.4	6.1	9.7	16.0	13.2		4.6
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic						13.5	13.9	12.4	18.9	16.9	13.8		6.9
6						12.6	11.7	16.5	14.9	18.1	14.7		6.8
7						13.5	14.7	20.6	11.0	12.0	10.5		6.3
8						13.3	13.9	18.4	6.0	4.1	5.0		4.7
9						7.2	9.2	9.3	1.9	1.6	1.3		2.3
10 Very sympathetic						5.1	5.2	4.4	1.1	0.2	0.4		1.3
90 Don't know politician								0.8		0.4	1.0		0.2
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0							100.0	54.1
96 DK						1.7	0.2	0.9	20.7	0.5	0.9		2.2
97 NA						0.7	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1
99 INAP							14.1						1.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The original 0-100 scales are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10. For the names of politicians referred to in the items V32_1 to V32_12, see Appendix 4.3.3.

Topic	Sympathy scores for politicians												
Item	V32_3 Sympathy score: Politician 3												
Note	Refer to V32_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic						8.9	4.4	7.7	3.9	1.3	3.6		2.2
1						8.8	7.0	6.8	5.9	2.8	7.2		2.9
2						9.4	8.4	7.2	6.4	3.6	5.5		3.1
3						9.3	10.1	10.2	8.9	5.9	9.5		4.1
4						13.0	12.8	12.5	13.5	8.7	14.2		5.7
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic						16.1	16.6	16.3	15.8	14.8	15.6		7.3
6						12.3	10.1	13.6	14.2	18.1	17.6		6.5
7						10.2	7.8	12.7	12.9	19.8	16.4		6.1
8						5.8	4.8	7.5	9.9	13.8	7.2		3.8
9						2.1	2.1	2.6	3.0	5.8	1.9		1.4
10 Very sympathetic						0.9	0.5	0.6	1.3	1.0	0.5		0.4
90 Don't know politician								1.3		3.1	0.4		0.4
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0							100.0	54.1
96 DK						2.5	1.2	0.8	4.3	1.2	0.3		0.9
97 NA						0.7	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1		0.1
99 INAP							14.1						1.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The original 0-100 scales are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10. For the names of politicians referred to in the items V32_1 to V32_12, see Appendix 4.3.3.

Topic	Sympathy scores for politicians												
Item	V32_4 Sympathy score: Politician 4												
Note	Refer to V32_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic						2.9	0.5	4.6	2.3	0.7	2.0		1.0
1						3.3	1.7	5.7	2.5	2.0	1.7		1.3
2						4.3	1.9	6.0	4.5	3.6	2.3		1.8
3						5.2	3.4	8.6	7.8	5.3	2.8		2.6
4						11.2	4.5	11.4	10.0	10.9	7.7		4.3
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic						20.1	13.5	17.4	17.8	17.9	10.5		7.6
6						18.1	15.3	15.7	18.4	22.2	16.0		8.2
7						13.6	15.1	11.5	16.2	22.7	21.7		7.6
8						8.3	15.8	5.3	8.5	8.5	19.0		4.8
9						2.6	9.5	2.3	2.8	3.4	11.5		2.3
10 Very sympathetic						0.4	3.4	0.4	0.7	0.4	3.5		0.6
90 Don't know politician								9.0		1.4	0.3		0.8
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0							100.0	54.1
96 DK						9.3	1.3	2.0	8.5	1.0	0.7		1.8
97 NA						0.7	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2		0.1
99 INAP								14.1					1.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The original 0-100 scales are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10. For the names of politicians referred to in the items V32_1 to V32_12, see Appendix 4.3.3.

Topic	Sympathy scores for politicians												
Item	V32_5 Sympathy score: Politician 5												
Note	Refer to V32_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic						5.6	1.9	3.9	1.0	1.6	2.5		1.2
1						4.8	3.4	3.9	1.7	3.6	2.5		1.5
2						5.3	3.2	4.6	2.3	3.0	3.2		1.6
3						6.3	5.5	6.6	3.1	6.9	4.7		2.5
4						10.1	9.2	10.0	7.4	9.9	8.3		4.2
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic						15.8	19.9	15.0	11.1	15.1	11.9		6.8
6						11.7	13.5	12.4	12.5	20.2	18.6		6.7
7						11.4	13.9	9.4	17.4	19.5	19.4		6.9
8						7.0	6.6	4.9	15.5	10.1	15.1		4.5
9						2.6	3.6	1.4	6.3	2.3	8.6		1.8
10 Very sympathetic						0.3	0.8	0.6	2.2	0.3	2.6		0.5
90 Don't know politician								23.9		5.5	1.4		2.4
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0							100.0	54.1
96 DK						18.3	4.2	3.3	19.4	1.7	0.9		3.9
97 NA						0.7	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2		0.1
99 INAP								14.1					1.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The original 0-100 scales are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10. For the names of politicians referred to in the items V32_1 to V32_12, see Appendix 4.3.3.

Topic	Sympathy scores for politicians												
Item	V32_6 Sympathy score: Politician 6												
Note	Refer to V32_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic						5.0	1.8	5.8	2.0	1.9	2.7		1.5
1						5.6	2.3	3.5	2.9	3.4	3.6		1.6
2						4.5	3.7	3.2	4.1	3.4	4.2		1.8
3						5.0	6.2	4.7	4.9	5.3	5.7		2.4
4						7.6	9.6	4.9	6.5	8.4	10.1		3.5
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic						12.6	24.6	12.1	10.3	11.4	11.1		6.3
6						11.3	13.9	7.0	6.3	18.6	17.6		5.5
7						13.4	11.5	6.3	5.7	23.6	21.1		6.0
8						12.6	5.8	3.0	3.6	14.9	12.3		3.8
9						11.0	1.4	0.7	1.2	5.8	6.3		1.9
10 Very sympathetic						5.6	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.8	1.8		0.7
90 Don't know politician								43.8		1.8	2.0		3.7
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0							100.0	54.1
96 DK						5.2	4.3	4.2	51.5	0.5	1.4		5.9
97 NA						0.7	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1		0.1
99 INAP							14.1						1.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The original 0-100 scales are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10. For the names of politicians referred to in the items V32_1 to V32_12, see Appendix 4.3.3.

Topic	Sympathy scores for politicians												
Item	V32_7 Sympathy score: Politician 7												
Note	Refer to V32_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic						5.6	4.6	3.5	1.3	1.5	3.6		1.5
1						4.4	8.3	4.0	1.8	2.7	3.8		1.9
2						4.4	9.4	4.3	2.8	3.8	5.8		2.3
3						6.1	11.7	5.2	4.4	3.7	7.2		2.9
4						6.6	13.7	4.2	6.2	5.0	7.3		3.3
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic						12.5	16.9	8.6	11.1	8.7	9.1		5.1
6						12.0	9.7	5.6	9.0	5.5	8.7		3.8
7						16.0	6.4	3.9	9.4	4.5	6.6		3.6
8						12.9	2.4	2.7	6.0	2.5	2.4		2.2
9						10.2	0.7	1.3	3.2	1.1	0.8		1.3
10 Very sympathetic						5.3	0.1	0.6	1.2	0.2	0.6		0.6
90 Don't know politician								51.5		51.8	36.7		10.5
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0							100.0	54.1
96 DK						3.2	2.1	4.4	43.2	8.2	6.8		5.8
97 NA						0.7	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.8	0.4		0.2
99 INAP							14.1						1.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The original 0-100 scales are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10. For the names of politicians referred to in the items V32_1 to V32_12, see Appendix 4.3.3.

Topic	Sympathy scores for politicians												
Item	V32_8 Sympathy score: Politician 8												
Note	Refer to V32_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic							1.5	1.6	72.5	1.7	3.3		7.3
1							3.0	2.0	9.7	4.0	3.9		1.8
2							3.3	2.5	4.2	4.0	3.8		1.4
3							5.2	4.5	2.4	5.9	3.9		1.7
4							9.2	7.2	0.9	8.0	4.8		2.3
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic							17.0	17.3	1.5	13.0	7.2		4.3
6							17.7	18.4	0.4	17.7	4.5		4.6
7							16.5	20.4	0.5	17.8	2.4		4.6
8							7.6	13.2	0.2	11.4	0.9		2.7
9							3.5	6.5	0.1	5.0	0.5		1.2
10 Very sympathetic							0.7	1.9	0.2	0.7	0.3		0.3
90 Don't know politician								2.9		8.3	55.4		4.0
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0						100.0	61.2
96 DK								0.5	1.3	7.2	2.0	8.6	1.5
97 NA								0.1	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.6	0.1
99 INAP								14.1					1.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The original 0-100 scales are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10. For the names of politicians referred to in the items V32_1 to V32_12, see Appendix 4.3.3.

Topic	Sympathy scores for politicians												
Item	V32_9 Sympathy score: Politician 9												
Note	Refer to V32_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic							1.5	2.5	0.6	21.0	1.7		2.2
1							1.9	1.9	0.8	11.4	2.5		1.5
2							3.0	3.5	2.0	7.6	3.6		1.5
3							3.5	6.1	2.5	7.3	5.2		1.9
4							7.5	9.8	5.6	7.8	9.9		3.1
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic							17.4	17.9	11.7	10.3	15.4		5.6
6							15.6	17.8	10.2	8.2	20.8		5.4
7							14.4	12.5	9.4	10.6	19.4		4.9
8							10.5	5.2	4.6	8.0	11.2		2.9
9							5.3	1.2	1.3	4.4	4.5		1.2
10 Very sympathetic							1.4	0.3	0.4	1.7	0.5		0.3
90 Don't know politician								18.5		0.1	3.8		1.7
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0						100.0	61.2
96 DK								3.7	2.5	50.4	1.2	1.4	5.3
97 NA								0.1	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.1
99 INAP								14.1					1.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The original 0-100 scales are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10. For the names of politicians referred to in the items V32_1 to V32_12, see Appendix 4.3.3.

Topic	Sympathy scores for politicians												
Item	V32_10 Sympathy score: Politician 10												
Note	Refer to V32_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic							1.5	1.8		2.4	2.1		0.6
1							3.1	1.4		3.6	2.3		0.8
2							5.5	2.2		3.8	2.0		1.0
3							8.6	2.0		3.8	3.9		1.3
4							12.1	2.1		4.1	7.2		1.8
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic							22.7	4.1		7.8	13.2		3.5
6							11.7	1.8		4.6	15.6		2.3
7							6.8	1.0		2.6	21.6		2.0
8							2.6	0.6		1.3	13.8		1.1
9							0.4	0.3		0.6	9.7		0.6
10 Very sympathetic										0.7	2.1		0.2
90 Don't know politician								77.1		55.0	2.3		10.8
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			100.0			100.0	70.4
96 DK							10.7	5.4		9.0	3.8		2.2
97 NA							0.1	0.2		0.8	0.4		0.1
99 INAP							14.1						1.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The original 0-100 scales are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10. For the names of politicians referred to in the items V32_1 to V32_12, see Appendix 4.3.3.

Topic	Sympathy scores for politicians												
Item	V32_11 Sympathy score: Politician 11												
Note:	Refer to V32_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic							2.5	1.3		5.5	11.1		1.4
1							4.2	1.3		7.0	7.0		1.4
2							4.6	1.2		8.5	6.6		1.5
3							8.4	1.4		9.2	7.8		2.0
4							9.1	1.1		10.6	8.3		2.1
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic							15.1	2.2		14.4	10.6		3.1
6							15.2	0.9		6.9	10.8		2.4
7							12.9	0.7		3.4	13.6		2.1
8							9.2	0.4		0.7	9.4		1.3
9							3.3	0.3		0.2	8.3		0.8
10 Very sympathetic							0.7	0.2		0.1	4.8		0.3
90 Don't know politician								82.5		26.8	0.1		8.8
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			100.0			100.0	70.4
96 DK							0.6	6.2		6.1	0.9		1.1
97 NA							0.1	0.2		0.5	0.7		0.1
99 INAP							14.1						1.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The original 0-100 scales are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10. For the names of politicians referred to in the items V32_1 to V32_12, see Appendix 4.3.3.

Topic	Sympathy scores for politicians												
Item	V32_12 Sympathy score: Politician 12												
Note	Refer to V32_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Very unsympathetic								77.5					6.2
1								7.1					0.6
2								3.1					0.2
3								2.1					0.2
4								1.7					0.1
5 Neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic								1.5					0.1
6								1.0					0.1
7								0.3					0.0
8								0.2					0.0
9								0.1					0.0
10 Very sympathetic								0.1					0.0
90 Don't know politician								4.1					0.3
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	92.1
96 DK								0.9					0.1
97 NA								0.1					0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The original 0-100 scales are reduced to the 0-10 range using the following scheme: 0-5=0; 6-15 =1; 16-25=2; 26-35=3; 36-45=4; 46-55=5; 56-65=6; 66-75=7; 76-85=8; 86-95=9; 96-100=10. For the names of politicians referred to in the items V32_1 to V32_12, see Appendix 4.3.3.

Probability of ever voting

Topic	Probability of ever voting for various parties
Item	V33_1 Probability of future vote for PVDA
Question	<p>Some people are completely certain that they will always vote for the same party. Others reconsider each time to which party they will give their vote. I will mention a number of parties. Would you indicate for each party how probable it is that you will ever vote for that party? Tell me the number that applies to the party. If you do not know a party or if you do not know the answer, do not hesitate to say so and we will continue with the next party.</p> <p>The [party]?</p> <p>Voor sommigen is het heel zeker dat zij altijd op dezelfde partij zullen stemmen. Anderen bekijken elke keer opnieuw aan welke partij zij hun stem geven. Ik noem u een aantal partijen. Wilt u voor elke partij aangeven hoe waarschijnlijk het is dat u er ooit op zult stemmen? Noemt u maar het cijfer dat van toepassing is. Als u een partij niet kent of het niet weet, zeg het gerust, we gaan dan verder met de volgende partij.</p> <p>Het [partij]?</p>
Note	Showcard [number] presented, listing response alternatives.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 I will never vote for this party						24.9	20.8	12.0	6.0	17.1	14.6	22.0	9.6
2						5.5	5.6	5.8	2.9	6.6	6.8	4.3	3.0
3						5.6	6.3	7.0	3.5	7.0	6.9	6.7	3.5
4						2.3	5.1	5.2	4.2	6.1	5.7	6.1	2.9
5						3.4	5.4	6.7	6.4	8.2	10.2	8.3	4.0
6						3.1	3.5	7.1	8.2	7.5	8.1	6.9	3.7
7						3.4	4.8	7.7	12.6	8.2	9.8	9.9	4.8
8						6.0	8.4	9.5	12.9	6.8	12.4	10.3	5.5
9						5.5	5.5	7.3	10.4	4.5	6.5	4.7	3.6
10 I will surely sometime vote for this party						22.0	20.0	14.3	18.8	9.7	18.2	17.7	9.8
90 Don't know party								0.2	0.1	0.1			0.0
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	16.8	14.1			17.5			46.4
96 DK						1.6	0.5	1.4	0.4	0.5	0.6		0.4
97 NA							0.1	0.1		0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0
98 DK/NA												3.2	0.4
99 INAP								15.7	13.7				2.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The exact question wording varies across studies. The quoted question comes from the 2002 study. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Satisfaction with politics

Topic	Government policy satisfaction												
Item	V34_1 Effect government policy on economic situation												
Question	I would now like to ask a few questions about what you think of the policies the government has conducted during the past four years. First, the economic situation in the Netherlands: do you think that the economic situation has been influenced favorably, unfavorably or neither by the government policies? Ik wil nu een paar vragen stellen over wat u vindt van het beleid dat de afgelopen 4 jaar door de regering gevoerd is. Allereerst de ECONOMISCHE TOESTAND VAN NEDERLAND: denkt u dat de economische toestand door het gevoerde regeringsbeleid gunstig, ongunstig, of niet gunstig maar ook niet ongunstig is beïnvloed?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Favorably						51.7	53.0	13.9	57.5	51.7		43.7	23.5
2 Neither favorably nor unfavorably						23.4	32.4	43.2	30.7	35.3		32.2	17.1
3 Unfavorably						15.1	10.9	32.3	7.7	10.7		21.0	8.5
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0						100.0		48.2
96 DK						9.0	3.6	10.6	4.0	2.2			2.3
97 NA						0.8	0.1			0.1			0.1
98 DK/NA												3.1	0.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.3.

Topic	Government policy satisfaction												
Item	V34_2 Effect government policy on employment												
Question	And employment: do you think that employment in the Netherlands has been influenced favorably, unfavorably, or neither by the government policies? En de WERKGELEGENHEID: denkt u dat de werkgelegenheid in Nederland door het gevoerde regeringsbeleid gunstig, ongunstig, of niet gunstig maar ook niet ongunstig beïnvloed is?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Favorably						36.9	32.6	8.3	62.0	66.0		42.7	21.9
2 Neither favorably nor unfavorably						35.1	35.5	31.7	23.8	22.1		32.4	15.5
3 Unfavorably						21.5	27.6	53.9	11.5	9.8		20.0	12.1
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0						100.0		48.2
96 DK						6.0	4.2	6.1	2.7	2.1			1.7
97 NA						0.6	0.1					0.0	0.1
98 DK/NA												4.9	0.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.3.

Topic	Government policy satisfaction												
Item	V34_5 Government policy satisfaction score												
Question	Constructed from V34_1, V34_2, and V34_4 (see Appendix 4.3.3).												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Low						37.4	34.0	71.7	19.4	22.8		40.4	19.3
1						19.3	26.9	17.8	24.1	24.5		19.0	11.3
2						18.5	22.5	7.1	29.7	30.4		21.1	11.3
3 High						24.0	16.4	2.8	26.8	22.2		19.1	9.7
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0						100.0		48.2
96 DK								0.6		0.1			0.1
98 DK/NA						0.9	0.3					0.5	0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to Appendix 4.3.3 for the description of the score construction.

Topic	Satisfaction with (Dutch) democracy												
Item	V35_1 Satisfaction with democracy in the Netherlands												
Question	On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in the Netherlands? Bent u over het algemeen genomen zeer tevreden, tamelijk tevreden, niet erg tevreden of helemaal niet tevreden met de wijze waarop de democratie in Nederland functioneert?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Very satisfied									11.1	4.2	7.6	7.4	2.7
2 Fairly satisfied									64.4	44.8	62.5	62.3	20.3
3 Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied										25.6			2.1
4 Not very satisfied									8.3	21.9	24.5	17.6	6.0
5 Not at all satisfied									1.7	2.6	4.0	2.9	0.9
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0					65.4
96 DK									0.9	0.8	1.3		0.2
97 NA										0.1			0.0
98 DK/NA												1.4	0.2
99 INAP									13.7			8.4	2.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that surveys from 1998, 2003, and 2006 did not use the middle category ("3 Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied").

Political issues

Topic	National problems												
Item	V36_1 Most important national problem – first answer												
Question	And now I would like to ask you, what do you think are the most important problems in our country?												
	Vervolgens zou ik u willen vragen wat u de belangrijkste problemen in ons land vindt.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
101 Ethical problems	0.8	1.4	0.8	2.2	0.8	2.8	1.8	0.1	3.7	0.3	0.2	5.8	1.9
102 Social relations								0.1		0.4	0.4	2.4	0.3
103 Abortion				1.4	0.1	0.7	0.7		0.3	0.2		0.1	0.3
104 Euthanasia						2.8	0.6	0.1	0.1				0.3
105 Church (religion)	0.4	0.8	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1			0.8	0.3
151 Asylum seekers/ Foreigners/Refugees								25.7	10.8	1.4	1.2	13.0	4.7
152 Discrimination/Racism/ Fascism				0.2	0.5	1.7	0.6	3.4	2.0	0.2	0.2	1.1	0.8
153 Intolerance/Minorities	2.0	1.5	1.3	1.7	1.7	2.5	2.5		6.4	0.3	0.2	7.4	2.6
201 Bureaucracy	1.6	8.1	1.7	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.2	1.1	1.3
202 Corruption											0.1		0.0
203 Politics in general	2.0	2.8	0.8	1.5	3.8	3.1	3.0	3.4	1.5	2.4	0.7	3.6	2.4
204 Elections/electoral behavior								0.3		0.2			0.0
251 Crime and violence	1.6	1.1	2.8	2.5	2.7	5.6	5.2	5.1	15.6	2.0	1.0	6.1	4.5
252 Safety/Public order	0.8	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.7	0.0	4.1	3.4	3.1	1.1
253 Drugs	0.8	0.5	0.9	0.3	0.1	0.8	1.2	0.4	1.4	1.2	0.4	0.3	0.7
254 Police and Judiciary				0.0				0.3		0.6	0.7	1.0	0.2
301 Economy (General)				4.0	5.0	0.8	0.1	4.1	0.3	0.4	4.2	4.3	1.9
302 Government spending	0.6	1.0	0.3	2.6	6.2	5.0	1.2	1.7	1.4			0.8	1.6
303 Agricultural policy	1.0	0.1	1.1			0.8	0.2	0.3	1.0				0.4
304 Inflation/Money	2.5	2.1	2.7	4.3	2.0	2.5	2.2	0.2	1.1	0.4	0.2	1.4	1.9
305 Poverty				0.3	0.3	0.2		0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	3.6	0.5
306 Income/Wages	0.6	0.6	0.1	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.7	0.8	0.2			0.4	0.4
307 Living expenses	4.1	11.6	5.5	2.0	0.4	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.2			0.1	2.0
308 Class differences	1.4	1.6	1.0	0.8	0.3	1.2	2.1	0.3	4.0	0.4	0.9	2.2	1.4
309 Taxes	2.9	3.8	1.1	0.4	0.2	1.0	0.5	0.2	0.6	0.2		1.3	1.1
310 Employment/unemployment	2.6	9.7	54.3	50.4	60.0	40.7	16.7	23.8	6.2	0.7	4.0	3.7	21.9
351 European issues	0.0		0.1	0.0	0.1		0.2	0.1	0.6	0.5	1.3		0.2
352 International relations	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2		0.3	0.1			0.2	0.1
353 War/Peace/Defense	0.7	1.1	0.3	2.6	6.2	10.5	0.6	0.1			2.9	0.8	1.9
401 Traffic and transport	2.6	2.6	0.6	0.2		0.1	0.7	0.7	3.6	4.6	3.9	1.1	1.7
402 Public transport	0.2	0.2					0.1	0.2	0.3	2.1	1.5	2.6	0.6
403 Town and country planning	1.3	0.5	1.1	0.0		0.1			1.0			0.2	0.4
451 Health care issues	1.9	0.4	3.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	2.9	1.6	15.1	17.9	16.2	11.2	6.0
452 Elderly care	1.6	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.6	1.4	2.6	1.8	3.1	1.0	2.2	1.4
453 Education	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.1	1.2	1.3	0.3	1.9	23.2	21.7	3.7	4.1
501 Energy			0.5	5.2	1.9	2.4	0.2					0.2	0.9
502 Environment (pollution)	19.2	17.0	3.4	2.4	0.7	2.3	42.5	4.6	5.0	9.5	11.6	2.3	9.8
551 Welfare services in general	3.0	3.1	2.9	2.4	2.1	4.5	3.1	9.9	5.0	8.2	6.8	3.7	4.4
552 Housing	24.7	14.2	5.2	6.3	1.0	0.4	0.5	1.2	0.6	3.5	3.8	0.8	5.6
553 Youth								0.1		4.1	4.6		0.6
601 Overpopulation, population policy	2.2	2.6	0.8	0.4		0.4	0.4	1.7	1.3	3.7	4.5	2.5	1.7
602 Ageing of population								0.2		1.6	1.3		0.2
701 Media/Culture/Recreation	1.1	0.2	0.2		0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1				0.9	0.3
801 Other	3.9	2.5	1.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	3.1	0.0	0.7	0.2		1.0
901 No problems	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.3	1.2			0.9	0.5
992 Uncodable	1.0	0.1	0.1	1.3	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.7				0.4
996 DK	2.4	6.7	2.6	1.2	1.0	1.6	1.1	0.4	4.4	1.2	0.4		1.9
997 NA	7.0	0.3	1.9	0.7	0.4	0.4	3.2	0.8		0.1	0.2		1.4
998 DK/NA												3.3	0.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.3.

Topic	National problems												
Item	V36_2 Most important national problem – second answer												
Note	Refer to V36_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
101 Ethical problems	0.4	1.1	1.2	2.5	0.8	1.8	0.9		3.5	0.6	0.6	4.6	1.7
102 Social relations										0.6	1.1	2.2	0.4
103 Abortion				1.9	0.1	1.3	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.3		0.1	0.4
104 Euthanasia				0.1		2.5	0.9	0.2	0.5				0.3
105 Church (religion)	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2		0.1			0.5	0.2
151 Asylum seekers/ Foreigners/ Refugees								18.9	7.0	6.0	5.6	7.4	3.8
152 Discrimination/Racism/ Fascism			0.1	0.7	0.7	3.0	0.7	3.1	2.0	0.9	0.3	0.8	1.0
153 Intolerance/Minorities	1.3	2.1	2.6	3.0	2.5	2.6	3.0	0.1	2.9	1.0	1.1	3.7	2.2
201 Bureaucracy	1.0	2.4	0.9	0.3	0.8	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.5	1.3	0.7
202 Corruption								0.2		0.2	0.2		0.0
203 Politics in general	1.7	1.9	1.0	2.0	2.3	2.4	2.6	3.2	1.7	3.4	2.3	3.4	2.3
204 Elections/electoral behavior								0.1		0.5	0.1		0.0
251 Crime and violence	1.2	1.4	3.8	3.5	5.6	5.8	5.2	6.5	12.0	4.8	4.2	4.6	4.9
252 Safety/Public order	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3		1.3		8.4	7.9	2.7	1.7
253 Drugs	0.8	0.5	1.3	0.8	1.0	1.1	0.6	0.6	1.6	1.2	0.4	0.3	0.8
254 Police and Judiciary				0.0	0.1			0.3		1.2	1.2	1.2	0.3
301 Economy (General)				3.5	3.4	0.9	0.1	2.4	0.4	0.9	7.4	3.0	1.7
302 Government spending	0.4	0.5	0.5	2.7	6.7	3.4	1.1	1.6	1.2			0.5	1.5
303 Agricultural policy	0.4		1.3	0.0		0.3	0.3	0.4	1.0				0.3
304 Inflation/Money	1.4	1.2	3.0	2.5	3.2	1.7	1.4		0.9	0.7	0.2	1.5	1.5
305 Poverty				0.5	0.1	0.2		0.2	0.1	0.3	1.5	1.6	0.4
306 Income/Wages	0.4	0.3	0.5	1.0	1.3	0.4	0.8	1.4	0.0			0.3	0.5
307 Living expenses	2.0	6.5	10.8	3.1	1.0	0.7			0.4			0.1	2.0
308 Class differences	0.8	1.6	2.5	0.8	0.7	0.7	3.2	0.3	2.0	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.4
309 Taxes	2.1	2.6	1.4	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.6	1.0	0.7	0.4	2.0	1.1
310 Employment/unemployment	1.9	6.9	11.4	13.4	11.2	15.8	12.5	10.0	4.2	0.6	6.3	2.7	7.7
351 European issues	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.8	1.4		0.3
352 International relations	0.1		0.2	0.2			0.2	1.0	0.1				0.6
353 War/Peace/Defense	0.8	1.2	0.6	3.1	9.8	5.0	0.5	0.1	0.2		2.9	0.9	1.9
401 Traffic and transport	5.0	4.4	1.2		0.1	0.2	1.8	1.3	4.4	6.9	3.7	1.5	2.5
402 Public transport	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.0		0.1	0.1	0.2	0.7	4.1	3.1	1.9	0.9
403 Town and country planning	1.2	0.7	1.7	0.2		0.3	0.1	0.1	1.2			0.1	0.5
451 Health care issues	1.8	1.2	3.9	0.7	0.8	1.7	3.7	2.2	8.8	27.0	23.7	7.6	6.5
452 Elderly care	1.8	0.5	0.6	0.1	0.3	1.0	1.5	3.5	2.4	2.7	1.6	1.8	1.5
453 Education	1.0	1.2	0.8	0.3	0.2	1.5	2.3	0.8	3.3	9.5	9.7	4.7	2.8
501 Energy			1.6	7.0	4.0	2.9	0.4	0.1	0.3			0.2	1.4
502 Environment (pollution)	16.2	10.7	3.9	4.3	2.1	3.6	12.1	5.2	5.0	2.1	3.6	3.2	6.2
551 Welfare services in general	3.1	3.8	6.4	5.6	4.1	6.7	3.1	10.2	4.8	1.3	1.5	5.1	4.7
552 Housing	10.5	7.3	7.2	10.2	2.6	1.3	0.6	1.6	0.6	0.6	0.4	1.3	4.0
553 Youth								0.6		0.2	0.2		0.1
601 Overpopulation, population policy	1.4	1.4	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.8	0.7	0.1	0.2	1.3	0.6
602 Ageing of population								0.3					0.0
701 Media/Culture/Recreation	3.0	0.7	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.2			0.8	0.6
801 Other	1.8	2.4	1.7	0.0	0.3	0.1		2.6	0.3	3.2	0.6		1.1
992 Uncodable	0.3	0.1	0.1		2.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.9				0.3
993 No further reasons	25.5	27.5	22.4	22.5	29.7	27.3	33.0	16.0	17.8	6.4	4.2	23.3	21.6
996 DK			0.1					0.2	4.4	1.3	0.4		0.6
997 NA										0.1	0.2		0.0
999 INAP	9.4	7.0	4.5	1.9	1.4	2.0	4.3	1.5					2.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.3.

Topic	National problems													
Item	V36_3 Most important national problem – third answer													
Note	Refer to V36_1 for complete question text and additional information.													
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total	
101 Ethical problems	0.3	0.4	0.9	1.3	0.6	0.9	0.6		2.0	1.1	3.4	3.0	1.2	
102 Social relations								0.1		1.0	2.8	1.3	0.4	
103 Abortion				1.0		0.7	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1		0.2	
104 Euthanasia				0.1		1.3	0.2	0.1	0.1				0.1	
105 Church (religion)	0.2		0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.1			0.5	0.2	
151 Asylum seekers/ Foreigners/ Refugees								6.4	2.0	10.2	8.6	2.9	2.4	
152 Discrimination/Racism/ Fascism				0.3	0.5	1.0	0.9	2.0	0.7	1.0	0.6	0.4	0.6	
153 Intolerance/Minorities	0.5	1.7	1.8	2.4	1.3	1.8	1.0	0.1	1.5	0.8	2.0	1.6	1.4	
201 Bureaucracy	0.4	1.5	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.5	1.0	0.9	1.1	0.6	
202 Corruption								0.3		0.3	0.1		0.0	
203 Politics in general	1.0	0.7	0.6	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.0	2.5	1.5	3.4	2.4	2.5	1.6	
204 Elections/electoral behavior								0.2		0.7	0.1		0.1	
251 Crime and violence	1.0	1.0	3.2	3.2	2.9	2.8	2.6	4.4	8.5	6.7	5.7	2.1	3.6	
252 Safety/Public order	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1		0.9		10.6	9.4	1.4	1.7	
253 Drugs	0.6	0.1	1.7	0.7	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.7	1.2	1.4	0.6	0.2	0.7	
254 Police and Judiciary				0.1				0.7		1.8	1.3	0.9	0.4	
301 Economy (General)				1.1	1.2	0.4		1.8	0.5	0.5	7.7	2.2	1.1	
302 Government spending	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.5	1.5	1.5	0.8	0.6	0.6			0.5	0.6	
303 Agricultural policy	0.3		0.8				0.1	0.3	0.8				0.2	
304 Inflation/Money	0.9	0.5	1.6	0.9	0.6	0.6	0.8		0.9	0.6	0.4	1.0	0.8	
305 Poverty				0.1	0.3	0.1			0.1	0.4	1.1	0.8	0.2	
306 Income/Wages	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.1			0.2	0.4	
307 Living expenses	1.3	2.8	3.7	1.4	0.6	0.1	0.1		0.2			0.1	0.9	
308 Class differences	0.8	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.6	0.9		1.4	1.3	1.3	1.0	0.8	
309 Taxes	1.2	1.5	1.0	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.6	1.4	0.7	
310 Employment/unemployment	1.2	2.6	3.7	4.8	3.8	6.7	2.3	4.9	2.0	1.3	6.6	1.4	3.2	
351 European issues	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0			0.1		0.7	0.4	1.2		0.2	
352 International relations	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.6					0.6	0.2
353 War/Peace/Defense	0.5	1.0	0.5	2.7	3.8	1.6	0.3	0.1	0.1		1.5	0.5	1.0	
401 Traffic and transport	3.2	2.7	1.2	0.3		0.1	0.8	1.2	2.6	8.7	5.6	0.8	2.2	
402 Public transport	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.0			0.2	0.1	0.8	2.6	2.2	1.6	0.7	
403 Town and country planning	0.5	1.0	1.0	0.0		0.2	0.1	0.2	0.8				0.2	0.3
451 Health care issues	1.6	0.6	2.6	0.3	0.8	1.0	2.5	1.3	5.0	9.7	8.3	4.3	3.1	
452 Elderly care	1.5	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.6	2.1	2.0	1.0	0.4	1.3	0.9	
453 Education	0.9	1.6	1.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	2.0	0.7	2.1	1.5	2.8	2.4	1.4	
501 Energy			0.9	4.6	1.5	0.9			0.3				0.1	0.7
502 Environment (pollution)	7.3	4.0	3.7	3.4	1.6	1.6	3.9	4.1	2.8	0.2	0.5	2.0	3.1	
551 Welfare services in general	1.9	2.9	2.6	3.3	2.2	3.4	1.4	5.8	3.0	0.1		2.2	2.4	
552 Housing	4.9	4.1	3.0	4.9	2.2	0.7	0.5	1.5	0.8	0.1		1.0	2.1	
553 Youth								0.2					0.0	
601 Overpopulation, population policy	0.5	0.9	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3			1.0	0.3	
602 Ageing of population								0.4					0.0	
701 Media/Culture/Recreation	2.1	0.9	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4		0.3			0.5	0.5	
801 Other	1.1	0.9	0.9		0.2	0.1		2.3	0.1	6.9	3.5		1.2	
992 Uncodable	0.1	0.1			1.8	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.7				0.2	
993 No further reasons	53.6	56.7	55.9	56.4	66.8	64.7	68.6	33.2	47.9	22.8	17.7	54.9	50.6	
996 DK			0.1						4.4	1.4	0.4		0.5	
997 NA										0.1	0.2		0.0	
999 INAP	9.4	7.0	4.6	1.9	1.4	2.0	4.3	17.8					4.0	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.3.

Topic Item Note	National problems													Total
	V36_4 Most important national problem – fourth answer													
	Refer to V36_1 for complete question text and additional information.													
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006		
101 Ethical problems	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	1.1	2.2	2.8	1.2	0.8	
102 Social relations										1.4	2.2	0.6	0.3	
103 Abortion				0.3	0.1	0.2		0.1	0.0	0.2			0.1	
104 Euthanasia						0.7	0.3	0.1	0.0			0.0	0.1	
105 Church (religion)	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1			0.1	0.1	0.2			0.1	0.1	
151 Asylum seekers/ Foreigners/ Refugees								1.5	1.4	10.1	9.7	1.1	1.8	
152 Discrimination/Racism/ Fascism			0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	1.2	0.3	0.8	0.5	0.2	0.3	
153 Intolerance/Minorities	0.6	0.4	0.7	1.0	0.3	0.4	0.4		0.9	1.0	1.4	0.3	0.6	
201 Bureaucracy	0.4	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.2	0.3	
202 Corruption								0.1		0.2	0.2		0.0	
203 Politics in general	1.3	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.2	1.3	1.1	2.4	1.8	0.8	0.9	
204 Elections/electoral behavior										0.3			0.0	
251 Crime and violence	0.4	0.5	1.2	1.3	0.8	0.4	0.7	2.0	2.9	5.0	3.9	0.9	1.6	
252 Safety/Public order	0.1			0.0	0.1	0.1		0.2		6.3	8.7	0.5	1.1	
253 Drugs	0.4	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.2	0.0	0.3	
254 Police and Judiciary								0.3		1.3	0.8	0.3	0.2	
301 Economy (General)				0.2	0.1	0.1		0.9	0.1	0.6	4.7	0.9	0.5	
302 Government spending	0.0	0.5		0.3	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.5			0.2	0.2	
303 Agricultural policy	0.1		0.2	0.0			0.1	0.1	0.1				0.1	
304 Inflation/Money	0.6		0.5	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.2		0.5	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.3	
305 Poverty				0.1						0.2	0.3	0.4	0.1	
306 Income/Wages	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1		0.2	0.2			0.1	0.1	
307 Living expenses	0.5	0.5	1.3	0.3		0.1		0.1	0.1				0.3	
308 Class differences	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2		0.1	0.5		0.6	0.6	0.9	0.2	0.3	
309 Taxes	0.4	0.6	0.6		0.1	0.1		0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.4	
310 Employment/unemployment	0.6	1.4	1.3	1.3	0.9	1.3	0.4	1.5	1.0	0.8	3.4	0.5	1.1	
351 European issues			0.1		0.1		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3		0.1	
352 International relations		0.1			0.1	0.1		0.2				0.1	0.0	
353 War/Peace/Defense	0.3	0.3	0.4	1.3	0.6	0.6	0.2		0.0		1.0	0.1	0.4	
401 Traffic and transport	1.0	0.8	0.6	0.1		0.1	0.2	0.9	1.2	3.7	2.4	0.5	0.9	
402 Public transport	0.2	0.3	0.1				0.1	0.1	0.5	1.3	1.4	0.6	0.4	
403 Town and country planning	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1		0.1		0.1	0.3			0.2	0.1	
451 Health care issues	1.0	0.3	0.8	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.9	1.0	1.5	2.1	2.3	1.6	1.1	
452 Elderly care	0.6	0.1	0.2	0.1			0.2	0.6	0.7	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.3	
453 Education	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	1.1	0.2	0.3	1.0	0.5	
501 Energy			0.5	1.9	0.6	0.2		0.1				0.1	0.3	
502 Environment (pollution)	1.8	1.8	1.3	1.6	0.6	0.6	0.4	2.6	1.6			0.7	1.1	
551 Welfare services in general	0.6	0.8	1.3	1.4	0.4	1.2	0.5	1.8	1.5			1.1	0.9	
552 Housing	1.6	1.1	0.8	2.2	0.8	0.1	0.3	0.8	0.2			0.4	0.7	
553 Youth								0.1					0.0	
601 Overpopulation, population policy	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.0			0.1	0.3	0.2			0.4	0.1	
602 Ageing of population								0.1					0.0	
701 Media/Culture/Recreation	1.0	0.3	0.1	0.1			0.1	0.1	0.2			0.4	0.2	
801 Other	1.0	0.9	0.4	0.0	0.1		0.1	1.5	0.1	7.1	4.3		1.2	
992 Uncodable	0.2		0.2		0.6	0.1		0.1	0.4				0.1	
993 No further reasons	73.8	79.8	79.8	81.5	89.7	88.6	88.4	27.0	73.4	48.3	44.0	82.9	72.2	
996 DK									4.4	1.5	0.5		0.6	
997 NA										0.1	0.2		0.0	
999 INAP	9.4	7.0	4.6	1.9	1.4	2.0	4.3	51.0					6.7	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.3.

Topic	National problems												
Item	V36_5 Most important national problem – fifth answer												
Note:	Refer to V36_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
101 Ethical problems	0.0		0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.5	1.8	2.1	0.4	0.4
102 Social relations										1.2	1.6	0.2	0.2
103 Abortion				0.2	0.1		0.1			0.3	0.1		0.1
104 Euthanasia				0.0		0.1	0.1						0.0
105 Church (religion)	0.1		0.1									0.0	0.0
151 Asylum seekers/ Foreigners/ Refugees								0.3	0.4	6.2	7.6	0.1	1.0
152 Discrimination/Racism/ Fascism			0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.3		0.1
153 Intolerance/Minorities	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.3		0.1	0.1		0.2	0.5	0.8	0.1	0.2
201 Bureaucracy	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0				0.1	0.1	0.4	0.6	0.2	0.2
202 Corruption										0.2	0.2		0.0
203 Politics in general	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.2			0.1	0.7	0.4	1.7	1.3	0.2	0.4
204 Elections/electoral behavior								0.1		0.2			0.0
251 Crime and violence	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.6	1.6	1.7	3.3	0.2	0.7
252 Safety/Public order	0.0							0.2		3.3	4.0	0.2	0.5
253 Drugs	0.2		0.1	0.2				0.1	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.1
254 Police and Judiciary								0.1		0.6	0.2		0.1
301 Economy (General)					0.1			0.5		0.5	2.4	0.3	0.2
302 Government spending		0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1				0.0
303 Agricultural policy	0.0		0.2	0.0				0.1	0.1				0.0
304 Inflation/Money	0.1			0.1	0.1				0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
305 Poverty										0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0
306 Income/Wages	0.0	0.1		0.1				0.2	0.1				0.0
307 Living expenses	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.1			0.1	0.0			0.0	0.1
308 Class differences	0.2	0.1	0.1		0.1		0.1		0.2	0.3	0.7	0.2	0.2
309 Taxes	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1
310 Employment/unemployment	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.8	0.2	0.1	1.4	0.3	0.3
351 European issues	0.1		0.1	0.0					0.1	0.1	0.1		0.0
352 International relations			0.1					0.1				0.0	0.0
353 War/Peace/Defense	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.4					0.2	0.0	0.1
401 Traffic and transport	0.2	0.1	0.1		0.1			0.1	0.5	1.3	0.5	0.1	0.2
402 Public transport		0.1	0.1	0.0			0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1
403 Town and country planning	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.0				0.1	0.1			0.0	0.1
451 Health care issues	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.0		0.2	0.1	0.2	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.3
452 Elderly care	0.2	0.1	0.1		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.1		0.2	0.1
453 Education	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.1			0.2	0.4			0.3	0.2
501 Energy			0.1	0.4					0.0			0.0	0.1
502 Environment (pollution)	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.3		0.2	0.9	1.1			0.2	0.4
551 Welfare services in general	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.4		0.2	0.1	0.8	0.3			0.3	0.3
552 Housing	0.4	0.7	0.2	0.7			0.1	0.2	0.1			0.2	0.2
553 Youth								0.1					0.0
601 Overpopulation, population policy	0.1	0.1	0.1					0.1	0.1			0.1	0.1
602 Ageing of population								0.1					0.0
701 Media/Culture/Recreation	0.4	0.2	0.1			0.1						0.1	0.1
801 Other	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.0				0.6	0.0	6.6	3.9		0.9
901 No problems			0.1										0.0
992 Uncodable	0.1		0.1		0.1			0.1	0.0				0.0
993 No further reasons	84.8	88.3	89.6	92.7	97.0	96.1	93.8	14.1	86.5	69.9	66.6	95.0	82.0
996 DK									4.4	1.5	0.5		0.6
997 NA										0.1	0.2		0.0
999 INAP	9.4	7.0	4.6	1.9	1.4	2.0	4.3	78.1					8.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.3.

Topic	Political issues – euthanasia
Item	V37_1 Euthanasia – position of CDA
Question	<p>Now some questions about political affairs that are frequently in the news. When a doctor ends the life of a person at the latter's request, this is called euthanasia. Some people think that euthanasia should be forbidden by law. Others feel that a doctor should always be allowed to end a life, if the patient makes that request. Of course, there are people whose opinions lie somewhere in between.</p> <p>Suppose that the people (and parties) who think that euthanasia should be forbidden are at the beginning of this line (at number 1) and the people (and parties) who feel that a doctor should always be allowed to end a life upon a patient's request are at the end of the line (at number 7).</p> <p>I will ask you first to place some political parties on the line. If you have no idea at all which position a party has, then please feel free to say so.</p> <p>Where would you place the [party] on this line?</p> <p>Dan wil ik het nu met u over een ander probleem hebben. Als een arts het leven van een patiënt op diens verzoek beëindigt noemen we dat EUTHANASIE. Nog niet zo lang geleden is de zogenoemde Euthanasiewet aangenomen. Over de vraag of een arts het leven van een patiënt op diens verzoek zou mogen beëindigen bestaat echter nog altijd verschil van mening. Sommigen vinden dat euthanasie verboden zou moeten zijn. Anderen vinden dat een arts altijd euthanasie zou mogen toepassen als een patiënt daarom vraagt. Ten slotte zijn er ook mensen met een mening die daar tussenin ligt. Stel: de mensen (en partijen) die vinden dat euthanasie verboden moet zijn staan aan het begin van de lijn (bij cijfer 1) en de mensen (en partijen) die vinden dat de arts altijd euthanasie mag toepassen als de patiënt daarom vraagt staan aan het einde van de lijn (bij nummer 7).</p> <p>Ik ga u eerst vragen om politieke partijen te plaatsen op deze lijn. Als u helemaal niet weet welk standpunt een partij heeft, zeg het dan gerust.</p> <p>Waar zou u het [partij] op de lijn plaatsen?</p>

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Forbid euthanasia						16.4	21.0	17.9	18.9	23.9		13.3	9.5
2						24.0	25.8	17.8	26.2	35.2		13.0	12.0
3						15.1	15.3	18.1	20.9	19.5		15.7	9.1
4						10.7	10.3	15.7	11.7	10.4		15.7	6.6
5						3.9	3.8	5.8	5.1	5.0		13.5	3.5
6						1.4	1.4	3.1	1.7	1.5		4.7	1.3
7 Allow euthanasia						1.5	0.9	1.7	1.1	1.0		6.0	1.2
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	16.8	14.1				100.0		50.5
96 DK						10.1	7.5	19.9	14.4	3.5			4.5
97 NA								0.1		0.1		0.0	0.0
98 DK/NA												18.0	2.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The exact question wording varies across studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic	Political issues – euthanasia												
Item	V37_8 Euthanasia – position of SP												
Note	Refer to V37_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Forbid euthanasia												4.6	0.5
2												3.4	0.4
3												4.2	0.5
4												7.2	0.8
5												11.2	1.3
6												17.8	2.0
7 Allow euthanasia												18.5	2.1
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		88.5
97 NA												0.0	0.0
98 DK/NA												33.2	3.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	Political issues – euthanasia												
Item	V37_9 Euthanasia – position of ChristenUnie												
Note	Refer to V37_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Forbid euthanasia												58.0	6.7
2												11.8	1.4
3												3.9	0.4
4												1.4	0.2
5												1.0	0.1
6												0.6	0.1
7 Allow euthanasia												1.6	0.2
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		88.5
97 NA												0.0	0.0
98 DK/NA												21.5	2.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	Political issues – euthanasia												
Item	V37_10 Euthanasia – respondent's preference												
Question	And where would you place yourself? En waar zou u uzelf op de lijn plaatsen?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Forbid euthanasia						10.9	8.8	7.6	7.8	8.4	5.4	5.9	4.5
2						5.8	5.9	4.1	5.0	6.2	3.7	1.8	2.6
3						4.9	4.5	3.6	4.7	4.3	4.7	1.6	2.2
4						13.1	10.5	9.3	10.4	11.6	12.5	4.8	5.7
5						12.0	11.9	12.6	16.8	17.3	21.6	10.4	8.2
6						16.3	21.2	25.4	26.1	27.9	25.6	22.1	13.5
7 Allow euthanasia						17.7	22.2	34.2	27.9	23.8	25.8	50.8	17.5
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	16.8	14.1						44.9
96 DK						2.3	0.7	3.0	1.4	0.5	0.7		0.7
97 NA						0.1	0.1	0.1				0.0	0.0
98 DK/NA												2.4	0.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V37_1 for complete question text.

Topic	Political issues – differences in incomes												
Item	V38_9 Income differences – position of ChristenUnie												
Note	Refer to V38_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Larger differences												2.4	0.3
2												3.2	0.4
3												8.3	1.0
4												19.4	2.2
5												15.7	1.8
6												10.1	1.2
7 Smaller differences												5.8	0.7
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		88.5
97 NA												0.0	0.0
98 DK/NA												35.2	4.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	Political issues – differences in incomes												
Item	V38_10 Income differences – respondent's preference												
Question	And where would you place yourself? En waar zou u uzelf op deze lijn plaatsen?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Larger differences						2.9	5.6	6.5	2.7	1.8	1.5	2.6	1.9
2						5.3	6.4	8.4	4.9	3.8	3.1	3.5	2.9
3						8.5	9.5	10.8	8.3	9.4	7.2	6.2	4.9
4						20.6	23.0	23.5	23.2	28.2	30.3	19.7	13.6
5						10.9	14.2	14.2	19.0	21.2	25.3	17.7	10.0
6						15.0	14.4	14.2	20.2	19.9	15.3	18.4	9.8
7 Smaller differences						18.1	25.3	18.4	20.3	14.4	16.8	29.3	12.1
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	16.8							43.8
96 DK						1.8	1.5	4.0	1.5	1.0	0.5		0.8
97 NA							0.1			0.1		0.0	0.0
98 DK/NA												2.4	0.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V38_1 for complete question text.

Topic	Political issues – crime
Item	V39_1 Crime – perception of CDA
Question	<p>Now I would like to ask you a question about another problem. People think differently about the way the government fights CRIME and tries to preserve law and order. Some people think that the government is not tough enough, while other people think that the government should be tougher on crime.</p> <p>At the beginning of this line are the people (and parties) who think that the government is acting too tough on crime (at number 1); at the end of this line are the people (and parties) who think that the government should act tougher on crime (at number 7). I am going to ask you to place the political parties on this line. If you do not know which point of view a party has, feel free to say so.</p> <p>Where would you place [party] on this line?</p> <p>Dan wil ik het nu met u over een ander probleem hebben. Over de wijze waarop de overheid optreedt tegen CRIMINALITEIT en probeert de openbare orde te handhaven wordt verschillend gedacht. Sommigen vinden dat de overheid te hard optreedt, terwijl anderen vinden dat de overheid harder zou moeten optreden. Aan het begin van de lijn staan nu personen (en partijen) die vinden dat de overheid te hard optreedt (dus bij cijfer 1). Aan het einde van de lijn staan de personen (en partijen) die vinden dat de overheid harder zou moeten optreden (dus bij cijfer 7). Ik ga u eerst vragen om politieke partijen te plaatsen op deze lijn. Als u helemaal niet weet welk standpunt een partij heeft, zeg het dan gerust.</p> <p>Waar zou u het [partij] op deze lijn plaatsen?</p>
Note	Parties were presented in random order.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 The government acts too tough on crime								3.7		0.5		1.0	0.5
2								6.7		2.7		1.9	1.0
3								9.8		7.6		5.5	2.0
4								17.9		15.8		14.8	4.4
5								19.2		32.5		29.1	7.6
6								11.3		27.6		19.4	5.4
7 The government should act tougher on crime								8.7		9.8		13.0	3.0
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0		100.0		72.2
96 DK								22.7		3.5			2.1
97 NA								0.1		0.1			0.0
98 DK/NA												15.2	1.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The exact question wording varies across studies. The quoted question comes from the 2002 study. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic	Political issues – crime												
Item	V39_7 Crime – position of ChristenUnie												
Note	Refer to V39_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 The government acts too tough on crime												1.9	0.2
2												3.7	0.4
3												9.0	1.0
4												16.4	1.9
5												15.2	1.7
6												12.6	1.4
7 The government should act tougher on crime												7.8	0.9
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		88.5
98 DK/NA												33.4	3.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	Political issues – crime												
Item	V39_8 Crime – respondent's preference												
Question	And where would you place yourself on this line? En waar zou u uzelf op deze lijn plaatsen?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 The government acts too tough on crime								6.7		0.5		0.8	0.7
2								5.0		1.6		1.1	0.7
3								5.8		2.8		2.0	0.9
4								9.7		10.2		8.4	2.6
5								11.6		20.8		14.7	4.3
6								20.5		30.4		26.1	7.2
7 The government should act tougher on crime								38.9		33.2		45.3	11.1
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0		100.0		72.2
96 DK								1.8		0.5			0.2
97 NA								0.1					0.0
98 DK/NA												1.7	0.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V39_1 for complete question text.

Topic	Political issues – nuclear plants
Item	V40_1 Nuclear plants – position of CDA
Question	<p>Now I would like to ask you some questions about political issues that are regularly in the news. As you may know, some people fear that within the foreseeable future a shortage of energy will occur in the world. One means of supplying this shortage is to build NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS. Some people therefore believe that the Netherlands should quickly increase the number of nuclear power plants. Others, on the other hand, consider the dangers too great and think that no nuclear power plants should be built at all.</p> <p>At the beginning of this line are people and parties who think that additional nuclear plants should be built in the Netherlands (at number 1); at the end of this line are people and parties who think that no nuclear plants should be built at all (at number 7). I will ask you first to place some political parties on the line. If you have no idea at all which position a party has, then please feel free to say so.</p> <p>Where would you place [party] on this line?</p> <p>Nu een paar vragen over politieke zaken die regelmatig in het nieuws zijn. Zoals u misschien weet bestaat bij sommige mensen en partijen de vrees dat er binnen afzienbare tijd een tekort aan energie in de wereld zal ontstaan. Een manier om in dit tekort te kunnen voorzien is het bouwen van KERNCENTRALES. Sommigen vinden dat om die reden Nederland snel moet werken aan de uitbreiding van het aantal kerncentrales, anderen daarentegen vinden de gevaren te groot en vinden dat er in het geheel geen kerncentrales moeten worden gebouwd. Aan het begin van de lijn staan personen en partijen die vinden dat in Nederland kerncentrales erbij moeten worden gebouwd (bij 1). Aan het einde van de lijn staan personen en partijen die vinden dat er in het geheel geen kerncentrales moeten worden gebouwd (bij 7). Ik ga u eerst vragen om politieke partijen te plaatsen op deze lijn. Als u helemaal niet weet welk standpunt een partij heeft, zegt u het dan gerust.</p> <p>Waar zou u het [partij] op de lijn plaatsen?</p>

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 More nuclear plants			4.7	9.3	14.1	23.9	7.5	5.5	0.5	2.9		5.3	5.9
2			7.5	12.6	17.7	20.6	12.1	10.0	1.8	8.3		12.4	8.5
3			12.6	13.1	17.2	13.3	21.1	20.0	9.9	16.5		20.4	12.3
4			11.1	13.2	16.2	8.8	21.7	16.2	17.2	18.3		14.4	11.7
5			5.7	6.9	8.4	2.6	10.4	8.5	14.3	11.1		10.4	6.8
6			2.7	3.1	5.1	3.6	4.4	3.8	7.9	6.0		6.8	3.8
7 No nuclear plants			2.7	2.4	2.6	3.0	3.0	2.3	3.0	4.3		4.3	2.4
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0		21.4		9.3				17.5	100.0		27.5
96 DK			52.7	17.8	18.6	14.7	19.6	33.7	31.8	14.8			16.7
97 NA								0.1		0.4			0.0
98 DK/NA												26.1	3.0
99 INAP			0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2		13.7				1.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The exact question wording varies across studies. The quoted question comes from the 2002 study. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic	Political issues – nuclear plants												
Item	V40_8 Nuclear plants – position of SP												
Note	Refer to V40_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 More nuclear plants												1.4	0.2
2												2.4	0.3
3												4.9	0.6
4												8.3	1.0
5												9.4	1.1
6												17.7	2.0
7 No nuclear plants												21.6	2.5
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		88.5
98 DK/NA												34.3	3.9
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	Political issues – nuclear plants												
Item	V40_9 Nuclear plants – position of ChristenUnie												
Note	Refer to V40_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 More nuclear plants												1.7	0.2
2												3.9	0.4
3												8.3	1.0
4												16.0	1.8
5												10.6	1.2
6												8.5	1.0
7 No nuclear plants												6.8	0.8
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		88.5
98 DK/NA												44.1	5.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	Political issues – nuclear plants												
Item	V40_10 Nuclear plants – respondent's preference												
Question	And where would you place yourself on this line? Waar zou u uzelf op de lijn plaatsen?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 More nuclear plants			7.7	5.9	7.0	11.7	6.5	5.4	2.0	5.3		6.9	4.9
2			6.7	5.2	6.5	8.5	6.1	7.2	3.4	6.4		8.5	5.0
3			9.8	5.8	8.6	8.1	7.6	6.7	6.5	6.9		10.0	6.0
4			17.0	14.6	17.0	13.7	13.2	13.7	13.0	11.4		18.4	11.4
5			8.2	7.4	8.4	6.6	8.3	9.6	10.6	7.2		10.1	6.6
6			10.1	7.6	9.9	7.7	12.8	15.1	15.7	11.4		12.2	8.8
7 No nuclear plants			22.4	26.2	35.6	30.5	42.8	35.8	29.3	31.7		26.7	23.6
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0		21.4		9.3				17.5	100.0		27.5
96 DK			17.8	5.8	6.9	3.6	2.7	6.4	5.8	1.8			4.2
97 NA										0.3			0.0
98 DK/NA												7.2	0.8
99 INAP			0.3	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.1		13.7				1.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V40_1 for complete question text and additional information.

Topic	Political issues – nuclear plants												
Item	V40_11 Closing nuclear plants – Yes or No?												
Question	At this moment two nuclear power plants operate in the Netherlands, in Dodewaard and in Borssele. Do you think these plants should be kept operating or do you think that they should be closed down? Op dit moment heeft Nederland twee kerncentrales in werking; een in Dodewaard en een in Borssele. Vindt u dat deze kerncentrales in werking moeten blijven of vindt u dat zij buiten werking gesteld moeten worden?												
Note	Only asked if V40_10 is 5, 6 or 7.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Stay in operation				11.0	16.4	17.4	23.8						5.3
2 Close nuclear plants				25.9	32.0	29.8	37.9						9.8
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	21.4		9.3		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	71.1
96 DK				3.8	5.4		2.0						0.9
97 NA				0.5	0.1	1.6	0.2						0.2
99 INAP				37.4	46.1	42.0	36.1						12.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	Political issues – ethnic minorities												
Item	V41_1 Ethnic minorities – position of CDA												
Question	There is disagreement in the Netherlands about foreigners and ETHNIC MINORITIES. Some people and parties think that these people should be able to live in the Netherlands while preserving all customs of their own culture. Others think that these people, if they stay in the Netherlands, should completely adjust themselves to Dutch culture. Of course, there are also people whose opinion is somewhere in between. At the beginning of this line are the people (and parties) who think that foreigners and ethnic minorities should be able to live in the Netherlands while preserving all customs of their own culture (at number 1); at the end of the line are the people (and parties) who think that these people should fully adjust themselves to Dutch culture (at number 7). Where would you place [party] at this line? In Nederland wordt verschillend gedacht over allochtonen en ETNISCHE MINDERHEDEN. Sommige mensen en partijen vinden dat ze in Nederland moeten kunnen leven met behoud van alle gewoonten van de eigen cultuur. Anderen vinden dat zij zich, als zij in Nederland blijven, volledig moeten aanpassen aan de Nederlandse cultuur. Natuurlijk zijn er ook mensen die een mening hebben die daar tussen in ligt. Aan het begin van de lijn staan nu personen (en partijen) die vinden dat allochtonen en etnische minderheden in Nederland moeten kunnen leven met behoud van alle gewoonten van de eigen cultuur (dus bij cijfer 1); aan het einde van de lijn staan de personen (en partijen) die vinden dat ze zich volledig moeten aanpassen aan de Nederlandse cultuur (dus bij cijfer 7). Waar zou u het [partij] op de lijn plaatsen?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Preserve cultural customs								5.4	1.2	0.4	0.7	2.4	0.9
2								8.4	7.2	3.0	2.7	3.8	2.2
3								15.9	18.7	7.9	7.4	10.0	5.2
4								18.2	23.6	17.1	20.5	19.5	8.4
5								16.2	22.1	25.4	37.4	26.6	10.6
6								7.3	7.0	19.0	20.4	16.3	5.8
7 Completely adjust to Dutch culture								3.9	2.3	5.7	5.0	8.6	2.3
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			17.5			58.9
96 DK								24.7	17.9	3.5	5.8		4.2
97 NA								0.1		0.5	0.2	0.0	0.1
98 DK/NA												12.8	1.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The exact question wording varies across studies. The quoted question comes from the 2002 study. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic	Political issues – ethnic minorities												
Item	V41_8 Ethnic minorities – position of SP												
Note	Refer to V41_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Preserve cultural customs											10.1	6.0	1.3
2											25.2	14.7	3.1
3											20.8	15.6	3.0
4											14.2	15.2	2.5
5											9.8	10.8	1.8
6											6.3	7.5	1.2
7 Completely adjust to Dutch culture											2.8	6.1	0.9
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			82.9
96 DK											10.5		0.6
97 NA											0.2	0.0	0.0
98 DK/NA												24.0	2.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	Political issues – ethnic minorities												
Item	V41_9 Ethnic minorities – position of ChristenUnie												
Note	Refer to V41_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Preserve cultural customs												2.4	0.3
2												5.5	0.6
3												10.0	1.1
4												16.8	1.9
5												13.9	1.6
6												12.0	1.4
7 Completely adjust to Dutch culture												8.5	1.0
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		88.5
97 NA												0.0	0.0
98 DK/NA												30.9	3.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	Political issues – ethnic minorities												
Item	V41_10 Ethnic minorities – respondent's preference												
Question	And where would you place yourself on this line? En waar zou u uzelf plaatsen?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Preserve cultural customs								4.7	3.2	1.5	1.2	3.1	1.2
2								7.4	7.8	4.2	5.4	3.7	2.4
3								8.9	11.4	7.5	9.5	7.8	3.8
4								18.9	21.4	12.8	20.2	16.4	7.6
5								15.3	21.4	16.0	24.5	19.8	8.2
6								17.3	17.7	21.0	22.3	21.4	8.5
7 Completely adjust to Dutch culture								25.8	15.9	18.9	16.7	27.1	9.1
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			17.5			58.9
96 DK								1.8	1.2	0.4	0.1		0.3
97 NA										0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0
98 DK/NA												0.5	0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V41_1 for complete question text and additional information.

Topic	Political (position) issues – European unification												
Item	V42_9 European unification – position of SP												
Note	Refer to V42_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Unification should go further												2.5	0.3
2												4.7	0.5
3												7.5	0.9
4												13.2	1.5
5												15.7	1.8
6												14.4	1.7
7 Unification has gone too far												9.6	1.1
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		88.5
98 DK/NA												32.6	3.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	Political (position) issues – European unification												
Item	V42_10 European unification – position of ChristenUnie												
Note	Refer to V42_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Unification should go further												2.1	0.2
2												4.0	0.5
3												10.0	1.1
4												18.5	2.1
5												12.2	1.4
6												7.1	0.8
7 Unification has gone too far												3.7	0.4
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		88.5
98 DK/NA												42.6	4.9
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	Political (position) issues – European unification												
Item	V42_11 European unification – respondent's preference												
Question	And where would you place yourself on this line? Waar zou u uzelf op de lijn plaatsen?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Unification should go further								7.3	7.3	5.7		5.7	2.4
2								9.7	13.8	9.6		7.7	3.7
3								12.0	16.8	12.1		10.9	4.8
4								23.8	25.3	20.0		18.3	8.0
5								7.7	13.4	12.8		16.0	4.8
6								7.7	9.3	10.9		15.9	4.2
7 Unification has gone too far								7.8	7.5	8.6		20.4	4.4
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			17.5	100.0		64.5
96 DK								8.3	6.5	2.6			1.5
97 NA									0.1	0.3			0.0
98 DK/NA												5.2	0.6
99 INAP								15.7					1.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V42_1 for complete question text and additional information.

Topic	Political issues – asylum seekers												
Item	V43_1 Asylum seekers – position of CDA												
Question	<p>Now I would like to talk with you about another problem. Allowing ASYLUM SEEKERS to enter the Netherlands has frequently been in the news during the last few years. Some people think that the Netherlands should allow more asylum seekers than the government currently does. Other people think that the Netherlands should send asylum seekers who are already staying here back to their country of origin. Of course, there are also people whose opinion lies somewhere in between.</p> <p>At the beginning of this line are the people (and parties) who think that the Netherlands should allow more asylum seekers to enter (at number 1); at the end of the line are the people (and parties) who think that the Netherlands should send back as many asylum seekers as possible (at number 7).</p> <p>I will ask you first to place some political parties on the line. If you have no idea at all which position a party has, then please feel free to say so.</p> <p>Where would you place [party] on this line?</p> <p>Dan wil ik het nu met u over een ander probleem hebben. De toelating van ASIELZOEKERS is de afgelopen jaren vaak in het nieuws geweest. Sommige mensen vinden dat Nederland meer asielzoekers zou moeten toelaten dan nu het geval is. Andere mensen vinden dat Nederland de asielzoekers die hier al zijn, zoveel mogelijk zou moeten terugsturen naar hun land van herkomst. Natuurlijk zijn er ook weer mensen met een mening die daar tussen in ligt.</p> <p>Aan het begin van de lijn staan nu personen (en partijen) die vinden dat Nederland meer asielzoekers moet toelaten (dus bij cijfer 1); aan het einde van de lijn staan de personen (en partijen) die vinden dat Nederland zoveel mogelijk asielzoekers moet terugsturen (dus bij cijfer 7).</p> <p>Ik ga u eerst vragen om politieke partijen te plaatsen op deze lijn. Als u helemaal niet weet welk standpunt een partij heeft, zeg het dan gerust.</p> <p>Waar zou u het [partij] op de lijn plaatsen?</p>												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Admit more asylum seekers									1.8	1.1	0.9	2.2	0.6
2									7.7	5.3	4.0	3.9	1.8
3									22.9	17.1	9.0	11.1	5.3
4									30.3	32.6	28.5	26.4	10.1
5									15.2	28.5	34.2	24.4	8.5
6									4.1	9.3	15.7	10.9	3.3
7 Send back as many asylum seekers as possible									1.1	2.0	2.6	5.8	1.1
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0					65.4
96 DK									16.8	3.7	4.9		2.1
97 NA										0.4	0.2		0.0
98 DK/NA												15.2	1.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The exact question wording may differ across studies. The quoted question comes from the 2002 study. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic	Political issues – asylum seekers												
Item	V43_8 Asylum seekers – position of SP												
Note	Refer to V43_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Admit more asylum seekers											22.0	9.5	2.3
2											28.7	19.8	3.9
3											14.6	15.7	2.6
4											10.5	11.2	1.9
5											8.0	7.7	1.3
6											3.6	5.5	0.8
7 Send back as many asylum seekers as possible											2.8	4.9	0.7
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			82.9
96 DK											9.8		0.5
97 NA											0.1		0.0
98 DK/NA												25.7	3.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	Political issues – asylum seekers												
Item	V43_9 Asylum seekers – position of ChristenUnie												
Note	Refer to V43_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Admit more asylum seekers												4.8	0.6
2												9.5	1.1
3												15.1	1.7
4												19.3	2.2
5												10.1	1.2
6												5.0	0.6
7 Send back as many asylum seekers as possible												3.4	0.4
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		88.5
98 DK/NA												32.8	3.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	Political issues – asylum seekers												
Item	V43_10 Asylum seekers – respondent's preference												
Question	And where would you place yourself on this line? En waar zou u uzelf op deze lijn plaatsen?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Admit more asylum seekers									3.7	2.3	2.1	3.5	1.1
2									9.5	6.6	8.4	5.6	2.5
3									12.4	11.4	14.9	9.5	4.0
4									30.6	29.1	27.3	27.8	10.0
5									17.8	21.4	20.6	19.5	6.8
6									12.9	15.8	15.9	14.9	5.1
7 Send back as many asylum seekers as possible									11.4	12.2	10.5	16.8	4.6
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0					65.4
96 DK									1.9	0.8	0.2		0.2
97 NA									0.0	0.3	0.1		0.0
98 DK/NA												2.3	0.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V43_1 for complete question text and additional information.

Topic	Political issues – abortion												
Item	V44_3 Abortion – perception of VVD												
Note	Refer to V44_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Forbid abortion			3.7	2.8	3.0	3.7	2.2						1.2
2			4.0	4.6	3.9	5.4	4.8						1.8
3			6.5	6.1	6.7	8.2	8.2						2.8
4			18.3	11.6	13.1	14.8	14.9						5.8
5			12.6	12.6	10.8	13.8	14.9						5.2
6			11.4	12.1	17.1	16.8	18.7						5.9
7 Woman decides			11.4	9.5	18.9	16.0	15.8						5.5
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0		21.4				100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	62.3
96 DK			31.7	19.0	26.4	21.1	20.2						9.4
99 INAP			0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2						0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	Political issues – abortion												
Item	V44_4 Abortion – perception of D66												
Note	Refer to V44_1 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Forbid abortion				0.6	1.4	1.6	1.0						0.3
2				1.3	2.0	2.0	2.0						0.6
3				2.8	4.3	2.9	4.3						1.1
4				6.6	10.6	10.1	12.4						3.0
5				12.1	14.3	14.2	14.3						4.3
6				16.8	20.6	18.2	22.1						6.1
7 Woman decides				12.1	13.7	14.2	15.8						4.4
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	21.4				100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	70.5
96 DK				26.0	33.0	36.3	27.8						9.6
99 INAP				0.3	0.1	0.4	0.3						0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	Political issues – abortion												
Item	V44_5 Abortion – respondent's preference												
Question	And where would you place yourself on this line? En waar zou u zichzelf op deze lijn plaatsen?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Forbid abortion			15.6	10.2	12.6	10.2	12.4						4.8
2			10.7	7.2	7.4	6.7	7.4						3.1
3			6.1	4.8	5.0	5.3	5.3						2.1
4			14.1	9.4	9.7	11.2	10.5						4.4
5			7.7	6.9	8.2	8.3	9.7						3.2
6			10.2	9.8	13.0	11.6	16.2						4.8
7 Woman decides			30.3	26.9	39.1	34.4	37.6						13.2
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0		21.4		9.3		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	63.0
96 DK			5.0	3.2	4.9	2.6	0.9						1.3
99 INAP			0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1						0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V44_1 for complete question text and additional information.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_1 Left-right selfrating (1st wave)												
Question	Political opinions are often described in terms of LEFT OR RIGHT. When you think of your own political opinions, where would you place yourself on this line? Please mention the number that applies to you. Van politieke opvattingen wordt vaak gezegd dat zij LINKS OF RECHTS zijn. Wanneer u denkt aan uw eigen politieke opvattingen, waar zou u zichzelf dan plaatsen? Noemt u maar het cijfer dat op u van toepassing is.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Left									1.0	0.9			0.2
1 Left			7.1	3.3	4.6	4.4	4.4	3.8	2.7	2.1	4.5		2.9
2			4.6	3.9	5.4	5.3	4.4	3.9	5.8	8.0	6.4		3.7
3			10.6	10.2	11.0	10.3	11.6	10.8	12.9	13.4	14.6		8.2
4			7.4	10.0	9.3	7.7	10.2	10.9	11.5	13.2	12.0		7.3
5			10.3	15.9	15.1	9.9	13.1	14.1	21.4	21.9	16.3		11.0
6			9.4	12.8	10.3	11.5	10.3	14.1	11.0	11.9	12.3		8.2
7			7.0	8.3	12.1	10.6	11.2	12.5	14.3	15.6	12.7		8.2
8			9.9	9.4	12.3	11.4	10.7	12.3	8.3	8.2	13.5		7.4
9			4.9	3.8	4.6	3.6	2.8	2.5	1.7	1.4	2.4		2.2
10 Right			10.7	7.6	5.4	4.1	4.8	4.1	1.7	1.5	1.6		3.4
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0				16.8	14.1					100.0	31.4
96 DK			17.1	14.5	9.9	4.1	2.3	11.0	7.7	1.9	3.7		5.9
97 NA			0.9	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2			0.1		0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_2 Left-right selfrating (post-election wave)												
Question	It is often said of political beliefs that they are left or right. When you think of your own political beliefs, where would you place yourself on this line? Please tell the number that applies to you. Van politieke opvattingen wordt vaak gezegd dat zij links of rechts zijn. Wanneer u denkt aan uw eigen politieke opvattingen, waar zou u dan zichzelf op deze lijn plaatsen? Noemt u maar het cijfer dat op u van toepassing is.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Left										0.7		2.0	0.3
1 Left								3.0	2.0	2.0		2.4	0.9
2								3.1	3.8	6.2		6.1	1.8
3								9.4	10.7	10.5		9.6	3.7
4								11.4	11.7	10.5		8.4	3.8
5								12.7	12.9	15.9		16.2	5.4
6								13.9	13.9	9.2		10.8	4.4
7								11.4	13.5	13.4		15.8	5.1
8								10.3	9.1	9.0		10.8	3.6
9								1.6	1.7	2.0		2.2	0.7
10 Right								2.0	1.3	1.6		1.3	0.6
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			17.5	100.0		64.5
96 DK								5.5	5.9	1.3		6.1	1.8
97 NA								0.1	0.0	0.2			0.0
99 INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_3 Left-right rating of PvdA												
Question	It is also said of political parties that they are left or right. Would you please indicate the degree to which you think that a party is left or right? First the PvdA. Ook van politieke partijen wordt gezegd dat zij LINKS OF RECHTS zijn. Wilt u aangeven in welke mate u vindt dat een partij links of rechts is? Allereerst de PvdA.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Left										2.9		2.9	0.6
1 Left				14.1	18.7	22.4	13.5	7.6	4.2	5.1	4.8	5.6	7.7
2				13.2	14.9	16.0	13.6	9.9	7.3	12.0	8.6	11.7	8.8
3				18.2	28.5	21.5	28.5	20.0	14.9	22.6	24.7	21.3	16.2
4				10.8	14.1	10.7	15.7	20.1	20.5	20.9	29.5	20.5	13.2
5				5.7	7.7	3.3	5.8	10.5	14.2	10.5	17.4	10.9	7.0
6				1.0	1.7	1.0	1.5	3.5	8.0	3.6	4.7	4.5	2.5
7				0.7	1.0	0.7	1.9	3.0	4.6	1.4	3.1	3.0	1.6
8				0.6	1.6	0.7	1.1	1.8	2.6	0.5	1.7	1.4	1.0
9				0.3	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.3
10 Right				0.3	0.9	0.9	0.5	0.9	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4
94 DK party									0.2			7.3	0.9
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	29.7		16.8	14.1			17.5			32.5
96 DK				5.2	10.3	5.8	3.4	6.6	8.4	2.1	4.8		3.6
97 NA				0.1	0.3		0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	1.7	0.3
99 INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_4 Left-right rating of VVD												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Left												0.1	0.0
1 Left				0.6	1.4	1.2	0.8	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4
2				0.5	1.0	0.7	1.0	0.7	1.0	1.2	0.6	0.8	0.6
3				1.4	1.3	1.6	2.1	1.5	1.9	2.3	1.3	2.3	1.3
4				1.5	1.6	1.9	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.9	3.7	3.2	2.0
5				3.3	4.8	3.8	6.3	6.7	6.4	6.4	5.0	6.0	4.0
6				4.6	7.8	6.3	9.0	8.1	8.9	10.3	8.8	8.5	5.9
7				8.0	12.5	8.5	13.6	15.2	14.7	18.1	17.4	18.3	10.4
8				15.4	25.3	21.0	23.4	23.7	25.2	25.7	31.1	27.0	17.8
9				14.8	16.4	15.8	13.3	12.5	11.5	8.6	19.2	10.4	9.8
10 Right				12.7	15.6	14.8	9.6	4.5	3.9	3.1	7.2	4.5	6.0
94 DK party									0.2			8.6	1.0
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	29.7		16.8	14.1			17.5			32.5
96 DK				7.4	12.1	7.5	3.9	7.7	9.1	2.6	5.4		4.4
97 NA				0.1	0.2		0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	1.7	0.3
99 INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_5 Left-right rating of D66												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Left										0.6		0.3	0.1
1 Left				2.0	2.5	2.3	2.9	1.3	0.7	2.3	0.9	0.9	1.3
2				4.5	2.7	4.2	6.8	3.4	3.1	5.0	3.8	3.1	3.0
3				10.0	9.1	9.3	14.8	7.6	7.0	12.3	10.1	7.4	7.1
4				15.9	16.9	16.4	21.7	14.7	13.7	18.6	22.2	12.5	12.2
5				17.2	23.9	19.8	21.7	23.3	20.1	21.9	29.8	28.2	16.9
6				7.2	16.6	10.8	8.0	15.0	19.4	12.0	15.1	15.3	9.8
7				2.7	6.4	5.4	3.0	7.0	8.0	4.5	6.5	5.5	4.0
8				2.1	2.2	1.9	1.2	2.5	2.1	1.3	1.7	1.6	1.4
9				0.5	1.4	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.6	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.4
10 Right				0.4	1.0	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1		0.1	0.2
94 DK party									0.2			14.7	1.7
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	29.7		16.8	14.1			17.5			32.5
96 DK				7.6	17.1	12.0	5.1	8.4	11.1	3.5	9.4		5.7
97 NA				0.1	0.2		0.1	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.1	1.7	0.3
99 INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_6 Left-right rating of PPR												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Left				11.7	17.3	18.0	18.8						5.1
2				16.2	23.8	20.6	26.1						6.7
3				12.9	17.9	12.0	13.7						4.4
4				5.9	7.7	6.6	6.6						2.1
5				2.9	3.8	3.2	3.9						1.1
6				1.7	2.0	2.1	1.7						0.6
7				1.0	1.2	1.0	1.1						0.3
8				0.7	1.0	0.8	0.7						0.2
9				0.4	0.5	0.8	0.4						0.2
10 Right				0.3	0.6	0.4	0.3						0.1
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	29.7		16.8	14.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	73.6
96 DK				16.3	24.0	17.7	12.5						5.5
97 NA				0.3	0.3		0.1						0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_7 Left-right rating of CPN												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Left				43.4	56.9	46.9	47.7						15.2
2				8.9	14.0	11.2	16.2						3.9
3				3.1	3.7	3.3	4.2						1.1
4				1.1	1.7	2.1	2.2						0.6
5				0.8	1.0	1.2	1.7						0.4
6				0.4	1.3	0.9	1.3						0.3
7				0.8	0.6	1.1	1.3						0.3
8				0.7	0.6	0.6	1.1						0.2
9				0.3	0.5	1.1	0.8						0.2
10 Right				0.5	1.0	1.3	0.7						0.3
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	29.7		16.8	14.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	73.6
96 DK				10.1	18.4	13.4	8.6						3.9
97 NA				0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1						0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_8 Left-right rating of CDA												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Left												0.2	0.0
1 Left				0.3	0.5	1.0	0.6	0.8	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.7	0.4
2				0.3	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.8	1.0	0.8	0.5	1.0	0.5
3				0.4	1.2	0.7	1.1	2.3	2.4	1.3	2.0	1.8	1.1
4				0.7	1.2	0.5	2.2	3.7	5.5	4.8	2.8	2.6	2.0
5				5.3	7.5	4.1	11.4	13.1	13.6	17.8	14.9	10.9	8.1
6				9.9	14.8	10.7	16.4	19.6	20.1	19.3	21.1	15.9	12.1
7				12.5	15.4	16.1	16.0	15.2	18.4	19.0	24.6	22.1	13.1
8				13.1	17.8	17.5	15.3	13.6	11.0	11.5	17.4	16.7	10.9
9				11.5	12.7	13.3	9.2	5.0	3.1	3.9	9.2	6.8	6.0
10 Right				10.7	16.5	12.0	8.9	2.8	1.4	1.4	2.4	4.0	4.8
94 DK party									0.1			7.4	0.9
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	29.7		16.8	14.1			17.5			32.5
96 DK				5.7	11.7	6.6	3.9	7.5	9.3	2.3	4.8		4.0
97 NA				0.1	0.2		0.1	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.1	1.7	0.3
99 INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_9 Left-right rating of DS70												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Left				1.2	1.5								0.2
2				1.8	1.9								0.3
3				2.9	4.3								0.6
4				5.2	7.9								1.1
5				7.5	12.1								1.6
6				8.2	11.9								1.6
7				6.6	9.7								1.3
8				5.9	7.7								1.1
9				3.9	3.6								0.6
10 Right				2.2	2.1								0.4
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	29.7		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	86.1
96 DK				24.0	36.9								4.9
97 NA				0.7	0.3								0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_10 Left-right rating of SGP												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Left										0.3		0.3	0.1
1 Left				0.8	1.3	2.2	1.5	0.8	0.5	1.3	1.2	1.2	0.9
2				1.5	1.6	2.0	2.2	1.4	1.3	2.8	2.2	2.6	1.5
3				1.6	1.9	2.4	3.5	1.5	1.7	3.1	3.7	5.0	2.0
4				1.1	1.6	1.6	2.9	2.0	2.6	3.1	4.0	4.5	1.9
5				2.0	1.9	2.7	4.6	3.1	3.5	7.9	7.2	8.9	3.5
6				2.4	3.6	3.0	4.0	5.1	5.0	7.2	7.9	8.0	3.8
7				3.7	4.5	4.3	7.2	9.2	8.7	13.7	12.6	11.0	6.2
8				7.4	12.1	9.4	13.9	13.6	12.6	14.6	16.8	11.7	9.1
9				11.9	17.1	13.7	16.7	13.5	12.0	11.2	14.6	6.7	9.3
10 Right				16.4	19.3	18.9	14.3	8.5	4.9	6.4	8.1	3.7	8.0
94 DK party									8.9			26.3	3.8
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	29.7		16.8	14.1			17.5			32.5
96 DK				21.3	34.8	22.9	15.1	25.4	24.7	10.5	21.6		13.7
97 NA				0.3	0.3		0.1	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.2	1.7	0.3
99 INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_11 Left-right rating of PSP												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Left				20.3	28.4	25.2	23.1						7.5
2				16.6	23.3	18.3	22.9						6.3
3				7.4	9.7	7.5	10.6						2.8
4				3.5	4.7	3.1	5.1						1.3
5				2.3	2.6	3.0	4.8						1.0
6				1.2	2.1	1.8	1.6						0.5
7				1.0	0.8	1.2	1.3						0.3
8				0.5	0.6	0.6	1.2						0.2
9				0.3	0.6	1.0	0.9						0.2
10 Right				0.3	0.7	1.4	0.6						0.2
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	29.7		16.8	14.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	73.6
96 DK				16.8	26.2	20.0	13.8						6.0
97 NA				0.2	0.2		0.1						0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_12 Left-right rating of SP												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Left										8.7		7.6	1.6
1 Left									20.5	20.8	25.1	17.8	7.1
2									20.1	20.8	33.6	20.6	7.8
3									9.3	11.2	16.5	14.8	4.4
4									5.4	6.3	7.8	7.4	2.3
5									4.4	5.4	5.0	5.3	1.7
6									2.2	2.0	1.5	2.7	0.8
7									2.1	1.7	1.3	2.4	0.7
8									2.0	0.8	0.9	1.5	0.5
9									0.7	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.2
10 Right									0.5	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.1
94 DK party									3.3			8.9	1.3
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		17.5			66.8
96 DK									15.5	3.8	7.4		2.2
97 NA									0.1	0.3	0.2	1.7	0.2
99 INAP									13.7			8.4	2.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_13 Left-right rating of Boerenpartij												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Left				2.1	1.4								0.3
2				0.9	1.0								0.2
3				1.5	0.8								0.2
4				2.0	1.2								0.3
5				2.8	3.4								0.5
6				3.9	5.0								0.7
7				3.8	5.5								0.8
8				6.7	9.9								1.3
9				9.8	14.6								2.0
10 Right				14.9	26.7								3.3
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	29.7		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	86.1
96 DK				21.2	30.1								4.2
97 NA				0.7	0.4								0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_14 Left-right rating of GPV												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Left				1.0	0.6	1.4	1.0	0.7	0.3				0.4
2				0.7	0.7	1.1	1.0	1.2	0.3				0.4
3				1.2	0.6	1.3	1.9	0.8	1.6				0.6
4				1.0	1.1	0.9	2.3	2.0	1.8				0.7
5				2.0	2.2	2.6	5.0	2.9	4.6				1.6
6				2.7	3.2	3.8	3.9	5.0	5.8				2.0
7				4.6	6.1	5.5	8.4	9.7	9.4				3.5
8				8.3	12.9	8.8	15.7	14.3	12.8				5.9
9				12.3	17.8	15.7	17.8	13.8	11.5				7.1
10 Right				16.4	19.9	19.2	13.9	7.3	4.3				6.4
94 DK party									7.7				0.7
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	29.7		16.8	14.1			100.0	100.0	100.0	56.5
96 DK				19.9	34.1	22.6	14.9	26.6	26.1				11.6
97 NA				0.3	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0				0.1
99 INAP								15.7	13.7				2.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_15 Left-right rating of RPF												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Left					0.6	1.8	1.2	0.4	0.6				0.4
2					0.6	1.1	1.4	0.9	0.5				0.3
3					0.6	1.3	2.3	1.4	1.4				0.6
4					1.9	1.3	2.3	2.5	1.8				0.8
5					3.2	3.1	4.8	3.1	3.5				1.4
6					2.9	2.6	4.7	4.3	4.8				1.5
7					5.4	4.8	7.0	8.9	9.3				2.8
8					11.9	7.9	12.5	14.0	13.4				4.7
9					14.9	13.6	16.4	12.5	9.5				5.1
10 Right					15.7	16.1	11.5	6.4	3.4				3.9
94 DK party									10.0				0.9
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		16.8	14.1			100.0	100.0	100.0	63.6
96 DK					41.9	29.3	21.6	29.7	28.1				11.5
97 NA					0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0				0.0
99 INAP								15.7	13.7				2.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_16 Left-right rating of Centrumpartij												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Left					9.3	14.6	14.7						2.8
2					1.8	1.7	3.7						0.5
3					1.6	0.9	2.1						0.3
4					1.0	0.9	1.6						0.3
5					2.7	1.3	3.5						0.5
6					2.4	1.0	1.2						0.3
7					0.7	1.0	1.1						0.2
8					1.7	1.0	2.2						0.4
9					3.3	2.5	5.6						0.8
10 Right					36.2	33.6	35.9						7.6
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		16.8	14.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	80.7
96 DK					38.7	24.1	13.0						5.3
97 NA					0.5	0.6	1.3						0.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

In 1989 survey, the question referred to "CD/Centrumpartij". Rating of CD is shown in V46_19.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_17 Left-right rating of EVP												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Left						3.8							0.3
2						5.1							0.4
3						5.6							0.4
4						5.4							0.4
5						5.2							0.4
6						4.2							0.3
7						5.8							0.4
8						7.1							0.5
9						7.1							0.5
10 Right						9.1							0.7
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	16.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	94.1
96 DK						24.8							1.8
97 NA						0.1							0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_18 Left-right rating of GroenLinks												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Left										5.6		4.8	1.0
1 Left							31.6	15.9	13.5	17.5	15.9	12.2	8.7
2							29.3	26.9	25.0	27.8	31.9	22.6	13.4
3							10.0	16.7	18.8	16.0	28.8	19.4	9.0
4							4.7	7.7	8.9	6.6	11.3	11.7	4.3
5							3.1	3.4	4.8	4.4	4.1	6.1	2.2
6							0.8	1.7	2.6	1.3	1.0	2.5	0.9
7							0.5	1.0	1.8	0.4	1.3	1.1	0.5
8							0.2	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.7	0.3
9							0.2	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
10 Right							0.1	0.2	0.1		0.1	0.1	0.1
94 DK party									0.2			8.7	1.0
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	14.1			17.5			52.3
96 DK							5.2	9.8	9.6	2.2	5.0		2.5
97 NA							0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	1.7	0.2
99 INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_19 Left-right rating of Centrumdemocraten												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Left								9.2	7.6				1.4
2								1.4	1.2				0.2
3								0.9	1.3				0.2
4								0.7	1.0				0.1
5								0.8	1.3				0.2
6								0.7	1.0				0.1
7								0.7	1.2				0.2
8								1.4	1.9				0.3
9								5.5	5.7				1.0
10 Right								43.2	44.5				7.5
94 DK party									2.2				0.2
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0	82.9
96 DK								19.2	17.1				3.1
97 NA								0.7	0.3				0.1
99 INAP								15.7	13.7				2.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

1989 survey asked for rating of "CD/Centrumpartij". This variable is included under V46_16.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_20 Left-right rating of AOV/Unie 55+												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Left									0.3				0.0
2									1.2				0.1
3									2.6				0.2
4									4.7				0.4
5									12.1				1.1
6									14.9				1.4
7									8.9				0.8
8									5.1				0.5
9									1.1				0.1
10 Right									0.5				0.0
94 DK party									5.0				0.5
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	90.8
96 DK									29.8				2.7
97 NA									0.1				0.0
99 INAP									13.7				1.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_21 Left-right rating of ChristenUnie												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Left										0.4		0.4	0.1
1 Left										0.8	0.7	1.0	0.2
2										2.2	1.5	2.3	0.5
3										3.1	2.8	4.4	0.9
4										5.3	5.8	8.4	1.7
5										10.1	9.4	15.9	3.2
6										8.9	11.9	13.9	3.0
7										14.2	16.4	12.7	3.6
8										15.6	14.9	8.0	3.1
9										8.9	11.6	4.1	1.9
10 Right										3.5	5.7	1.3	0.8
94 DK party												17.5	2.0
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	17.5			76.0
96 DK										9.3	19.1		1.8
97 NA										0.3	0.2	1.7	0.2
99 INAP												8.4	1.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_22 Left-right rating of Leefbaar Nederland												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Left										0.3			0.0
1 Left										0.6	2.0		0.2
2										1.4	2.5		0.3
3										2.7	3.9		0.4
4										3.8	5.3		0.6
5										11.6	9.4		1.5
6										8.6	9.2		1.2
7										16.6	14.0		2.2
8										16.7	17.7		2.4
9										9.8	15.2		1.7
10 Right										4.0	6.9		0.7
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	17.5		100.0	87.5
96 DK										6.2	13.6		1.3
97 NA										0.2	0.2		0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_23 Left-right rating of Lijst Pim Fortuyn												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Left										0.4		1.6	0.2
1 Left										0.6	2.2	3.1	0.5
2										1.4	3.5	2.7	0.6
3										1.5	3.1	3.4	0.7
4										1.6	2.7	3.0	0.6
5										6.4	5.0	4.6	1.3
6										5.1	4.9	5.0	1.3
7										12.6	11.1	8.1	2.6
8										21.0	17.9	14.5	4.4
9										18.4	27.5	15.3	4.8
10 Right										9.4	14.9	7.7	2.5
94 DK party												21.0	2.4
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	17.5			76.0
96 DK										3.8	7.2		0.7
97 NA										0.3	0.2	1.7	0.2
99 INAP												8.4	1.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_24 Left-right rating of Partij vd Vrijheid												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Left												1.6	0.2
1 Left												2.7	0.3
2												2.5	0.3
3												3.2	0.4
4												2.3	0.3
5												3.7	0.4
6												3.5	0.4
7												5.0	0.6
8												11.4	1.3
9												18.6	2.1
10 Right												20.8	2.4
94 DK party												14.6	1.7
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		88.5
97 NA												1.7	0.2
99 INAP												8.4	1.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Topic	Left-right rating												
Item	V46_25 Left-right rating of EenNL												
Note	Refer to V46_3 for complete question text and additional information.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Left												1.0	0.1
1 Left												1.6	0.2
2												2.1	0.2
3												2.2	0.2
4												2.2	0.3
5												4.3	0.5
6												3.1	0.4
7												6.6	0.8
8												11.7	1.3
9												12.5	1.4
10 Right												8.1	0.9
94 DK party												34.6	4.0
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		88.5
97 NA												1.7	0.2
99 INAP												8.4	1.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the scale range varies between election studies from 1-10 to 0-10.

Political cynicism and efficacy

Topic	Political cynicism												
Item	V47_1 Political cynicism 1: Politicians promise more than they can deliver												
Question	Some people say that there is much to criticize in politics, others say that it is not so bad. Could you say to what extent you agree or disagree with the following statements? Although they know better, politicians promise more than they can deliver. Sommigen zeggen dat er veel is aan te merken op de politiek, anderen zeggen dat het wel meevalt. Kunt u zeggen in hoeverre u het met de volgende uitspraken eens of oneens bent? Tegen beter weten in beloven politici meer dan ze kunnen waarmaken.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Fully agree			17.3	20.0		19.6	17.6	20.8	14.6	18.3	19.3	20.2	14.1
2 Agree			40.2	35.0		50.9	54.7	54.8	60.4	54.9	68.2	63.5	40.2
3 Disagree			13.8	10.8		9.9	12.3	7.8	10.0	8.0	10.9	6.5	7.4
4 Fully disagree			2.0	1.4		1.1	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.7	0.5	0.2	0.6
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	22.7	29.7	100.0	16.8	14.1			17.5			33.0
96 DK			3.6	2.9		1.6	0.7	0.6	1.1	0.6	1.1		1.0
97 NA			0.3	0.2						0.1			0.0
98 DK/NA												1.2	0.1
99 INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The exact question wording may differ across studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic		Political cynicism												
Item		V47_2 Political cynicism 2: Ministers primarily self-interested												
Question		Ministers and state secretaries are primarily concerned about their personal interests. Ministers en staatssecretarissen zijn vooral op hun eigenbelang uit.												
Note		Refer to V47_1 for complete question text.												
		1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1	Fully agree			4.4	5.4		7.1	6.1	5.9	3.1	4.4	4.2	4.9	3.8
2	Agree			16.3	12.9		19.3	19.9	22.0	21.0	21.5	21.8	29.6	15.6
3	Disagree			42.8	37.4		45.2	53.2	49.9	55.9	47.8	65.5	52.5	37.4
4	Fully disagree			6.4	8.3		6.5	5.4	4.5	3.8	6.1	4.5	1.6	3.9
95	Dropped	100.0	100.0	22.7	29.7	100.0	16.8	14.1			17.5			33.0
96	DK			6.8	6.1		5.2	1.3	2.0	2.5	2.7	3.9		2.5
97	NA			0.5	0.1					0.0	0.1	0.1		0.1
98	DK/NA												3.0	0.4
99	INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic		Political cynicism												
Item		V47_3 Political cynicism 3: Friends more important than abilities to become MP												
Question		One is more likely to become a member of parliament because of one's political friends than because of one's abilities. Kamerlid word je eerder door je politieke vrienden dan door je bekwaamheden.												
Note		Refer to V47_1 for complete question text.												
		1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1	Fully agree			4.7	5.9		7.2	6.2	5.0	3.7	7.7	7.2	4.2	4.2
2	Agree			24.5	16.4		23.4	24.6	26.3	28.0	31.5	32.4	34.3	20.3
3	Disagree			31.6	28.2		35.2	46.0	42.2	47.5	34.9	50.4	42.2	29.8
4	Fully disagree			5.0	6.4		6.4	4.7	4.0	2.3	3.5	3.2	1.1	3.0
95	Dropped	100.0	100.0	22.7	29.7	100.0	16.8	14.1			17.5			33.0
96	DK			11.0	13.1		11.0	4.3	6.7	4.7	4.8	6.8		5.1
97	NA			0.4	0.3		0.1	0.1		0.0	0.2		0.0	0.1
98	DK/NA												9.8	1.1
99	INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic		Political cynicism												
Item		V47_4 Political cynicism score												
Question		Constructed from V47_1, V47_2, and V47_3 (see Appendix 4.3.3).												
		1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0	Low			12.1	11.2		8.8	9.9	5.9	9.1	6.0	9.0	5.3	6.4
1				31.1	29.9		34.8	36.7	37.2	38.3	32.5	44.5	35.1	26.6
2				19.9	17.6		23.7	24.6	25.7	23.6	26.1	29.8	31.1	18.6
3	High			12.2	10.2		15.1	14.4	15.4	15.1	17.8	16.4	19.7	11.5
95	Dropped	100.0	100.0	22.7	29.7	100.0	16.8	14.1			17.5			33.0
96	DK			1.8	1.3		0.8	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3		0.4
97	NA			0.2	0.1						0.1			0.0
98	DK/NA												0.3	0.0
99	INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to Appendix 4.3.3 for description of the score construction.

Topic	External political efficacy												
Item	V48_4 Political efficacy 4: So many people vote, my vote does not matter												
Question	So many people vote in elections that my vote does not matter. Er stemmen zoveel mensen bij verkiezingen dat mijn stem er niet toe doet.												
Note	Refer to V48_1 for complete question text.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Agree / True	15.0	12.2	10.7	10.2	9.5	7.3	6.7	6.6	7.9	6.3	5.8		8.1
2 Disagree / Not true	77.1	81.1	84.9	83.8	86.8	73.9	78.9	77.0	78.1	75.6	93.3		71.2
95 Dropped						16.8	14.1			17.5		100.0	15.2
96 DK	6.4	5.1	4.1	5.9	3.6	2.0	0.2	0.7	0.3	0.6	0.9		2.6
97 NA	1.5	1.6	0.4	0.2						0.1			0.3
99 INAP								15.7	13.7				2.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	External political efficacy												
Item	V48_5 Political efficacy 5: MPs quickly lose contact with citizens												
Question	Usually our representatives in the Second Chamber quickly lose contact with the people in the country. Meestal verliezen onze vertegenwoordigers in de Tweede Kamer al snel het contact met de mensen in het land.												
Note	Refer to V48_1 for complete question text.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Agree / True									58.2	64.3	73.4		14.8
2 Disagree / Not true									24.6	15.4	22.5		4.8
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		17.5		100.0	78.3
96 DK									3.5	2.8	4.0		0.8
97 NA									0.0	0.1	0.1		0.0
99 INAP									13.7				1.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	External political efficacy												
Item	V48_6 External political efficacy score												
Question	Constructed from V48_1 to V48_5 (see Appendix 4.3.3).												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Low	16.7	15.1	10.5	11.8	9.2	5.2	3.8	4.2	4.5	3.9	3.7	21.2	9.9
1	32.2	34.5	25.3	26.0	29.3	20.0	18.3	18.7	15.8	19.2	16.8	17.7	22.9
2	22.2	21.6	22.3	18.9	23.9	18.0	14.6	16.3	13.1	16.4	18.6	19.6	18.8
3	15.3	16.8	20.4	18.6	18.9	17.5	21.0	18.8	16.5	15.2	19.0	32.7	19.6
4	13.5	12.1	21.6	24.6	18.6	22.5	28.2	26.3	20.8	18.8	26.9		18.6
5 High									15.4	8.9	14.9		3.0
95 Dropped						16.8	14.1			17.5			3.7
96 DK									0.1	0.1	0.1		0.0
97 NA										0.1			0.0
98 DK/NA												0.4	0.0
99 INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to Appendix 4.3.3 for description of the score construction.

Note that scales are based on unequal number of source items across different election studies.

Topic	Internal political efficacy												
Item	V49_1 Internal efficacy 1: Consider myself qualified for politics												
Question	Would you tell me whether you fully agree, agree, disagree or fully disagree with the following statements. I am well qualified to play an active role in politics. Ik lees u weer een aantal uitspraken voor. Wilt u van deze uitspraken aangeven of u het daar helemaal mee eens; mee eens; mee oneens; of helemaal mee oneens bent: Ik vind mezelf heel goed in staat om een actieve rol te spelen in de politiek.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Fully agree								2.0	1.8	3.4	3.5	2.1	1.0
2 Agree								15.5	19.0	19.7	24.2	17.5	8.0
3 Disagree								34.7	42.5	32.6	47.3	45.6	17.3
4 Fully disagree								30.4	22.7	25.1	23.8	25.8	10.9
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			17.5			58.9
96 DK								1.7	0.3	1.7	1.3		0.4
97 NA									0.0	0.1			0.0
98 DK/NA												0.6	0.1
99 INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The exact question wording may differ across studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic	Internal political efficacy												
Item	V49_2 Internal efficacy 2: Good understanding of political problems												
Question	I have a good understanding of the important political problems in our country. Ik heb een goed beeld van de belangrijkste politieke problemen in ons land.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Fully agree								4.0	3.1	6.1	6.3	3.6	1.9
2 Agree								50.3	51.8	54.6	68.5	49.3	22.8
3 Disagree								21.2	26.2	17.5	20.5	32.4	10.4
4 Fully disagree								7.0	4.7	2.5	2.0	5.7	2.0
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			17.5			58.9
96 DK								1.7	0.6	1.8	2.7		0.5
97 NA										0.1			0.0
98 DK/NA												0.6	0.1
99 INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The exact question wording may differ across studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic	Internal political efficacy												
Item	V49_3 Internal efficacy 3: Politics sometimes too complicated												
Question	Sometimes politics seems so complicated that people like me cannot really understand what is going on. Soms lijkt de politiek zo ingewikkeld dat mensen zoals ik niet echt kunnen begrijpen wat er speelt.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Fully agree								15.7	11.5	7.9	5.7	10.3	4.5
2 Agree								45.4	41.7	38.8	41.2	43.1	17.9
3 Disagree								20.3	30.1	29.6	46.1	34.6	13.4
4 Fully disagree								2.6	3.0	5.9	6.1	3.2	1.7
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			17.5			58.9
96 DK								0.3	0.1	0.3	0.9		0.1
97 NA										0.1			0.0
98 DK/NA												0.5	0.1
99 INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The exact question wording may differ across studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic	Internal political efficacy												
Item	V49_4 Internal political efficacy score												
Question	Constructed from V49_1, V49_2, and V49_3 (see Appendix 4.3.3).												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Low								24.9	22.5	14.5	17.8	26.8	9.3
1								32.7	31.5	30.2	29.0	30.3	13.1
2								17.8	19.8	24.2	33.7	23.6	9.8
3 High								8.8	12.6	13.5	19.4	10.9	5.3
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			17.5			58.9
96 DK										0.1	0.2		0.0
97 NA										0.1			0.0
98 DK/NA												0.0	0.0
99 INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to Appendix 4.3.3 for description of the score construction.

Political socialization

Political environment during adolescence													
Topic	Political environment during adolescence												
Item	V50_1 Discussed politics at home when adolescent												
Question	Now I would like to ask you a few questions about the period when you were 10 to 16 years old. At that time, were political Topics discussed often, fairly often, not often, or not at all in your home? Dan wil ik u nu enkele vragen stellen over de periode waarin u 10 tot 16 jaar was. Werd er toen bij u thuis veel, tamelijk veel, weinig of niet over politieke onderwerpen gesproken?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Often		7.0	4.4			8.4	10.8	12.1	11.5	13.2		11.4	6.7
2 Fairly often		13.2	7.5			15.0	17.7	19.9	18.3	24.1		20.1	11.5
3 Not often		39.4	32.1			39.0	43.1	46.9	44.6	41.8		46.2	28.0
4 Not at all		36.8	30.9			33.3	27.5	19.9	24.9	20.0		20.9	17.4
95 Dropped	100.0		22.7	100.0	100.0						100.0		35.2
96 DK		2.4	2.2			4.2	0.9	1.1	0.7	0.8			0.9
97 NA		1.1	0.3			0.1		0.1		0.1			0.1
98 DK/NA												0.8	0.1
99 INAP												0.7	0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The exact question wording may differ across studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Political environment during adolescence													
Topic	Political environment during adolescence												
Item	V50_2 Recalls party preference of father?												
Question	Do you know which party your father preferred then? Weet u nog aan welke partij uw VADER TOEN de voorkeur gaf?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes	67.5	68.3	54.6			73.9	77.1	79.1	76.1	80.8		75.7	56.3
2 No	26.0	21.3	13.0			11.2	22.0	17.9	16.7	10.5		17.2	13.6
95 Dropped			22.7	100.0	100.0						100.0		24.3
96 DK		9.3	9.6			14.6	0.9	0.6		3.7			2.9
97 NA	6.5	1.1	0.1			0.3			1.8	0.1			1.0
98 DK/NA												1.2	0.1
99 INAP								2.3	5.5	4.9		5.9	1.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The exact question wording may differ across studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic Item Question	Political environment during adolescence												
	V50_3 Party preference of father during adolescence – first answer												
	And which party was that?												
	En welke partij was dat?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
11 SDP/CPH/CPN	1.0	2.1	1.2			2.0	1.5	1.2	0.7	0.9		1.2	1.0
12 RSP/RSAP/RSV/OSP	0.2	0.1				0.1							0.0
13 PPR						0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2		0.1	0.1
14 PSP	0.2		0.1			0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3		0.1	0.1
15 Socialistische Partij	0.0	0.1	0.1										0.0
18 GroenLinks									0.5	0.3		0.3	0.1
19 SP						0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1		0.6	0.1
20 Socialists – unspecified/other	0.4	0.3											0.1
21 Vrije Socialistische Vereniging		0.1											0.0
22 SDAP	6.0	5.7	4.3			2.6	1.3	3.0	1.0	1.5		0.7	2.2
24 PvdA	11.1	10.6	12.4			19.6	21.2	21.6	24.9	20.7		23.6	14.4
25 DS '70	0.0					0.1							0.0
30 Catholic party – unspecified/other	0.7	0.7	0.2										0.1
31 RKSP	2.0	3.7	0.8			0.7	0.2	0.3					0.6
32 RKVP/KDP			0.1										0.0
33 KVP	24.0	20.6	18.9			16.7	18.5	17.4	12.8	15.7		11.2	13.3
40 Protestant party – unspecified/ other	0.2	0.3	0.4			0.1							0.1
41 SGP	1.4	1.5	1.0			1.5	1.9	0.9	1.4	1.9		1.9	1.2
42 ARP	8.8	8.8	6.5			5.8	5.7	5.3	4.0	4.2		3.4	4.5
43 CHU	6.3	6.3	4.3			3.9	5.0	5.4	3.8	4.7		2.5	3.6
44 GPV	0.2	0.2	0.2			0.6	0.6	0.6	1.0	1.5		0.6	0.5
45 RPF							0.4	0.3	0.5	0.5		0.3	0.2
49 ChristenUnie												0.2	0.0
50 Liberals – unspecified/other	0.5	1.0	0.2			0.3							0.2
51 Vrijheidsbond/LSP/LU	0.5	0.4				0.1							0.1
55 VDB	0.3	0.3	0.2				0.1						0.1
56 VVD	3.2	3.6	3.0			7.7	8.3	9.7	8.0	9.8		10.3	5.5
57 LIB.VOLKSP.	0.1	0.3											0.0
58 D66		0.1	0.2			0.4	0.8	0.6	1.6	0.9		1.6	0.6
61 CDA						8.7	9.9	10.8	15.1	14.5		15.1	6.6
71 NSB		0.1				0.1							0.0
73 Centrumdemocraten								0.1		0.1			0.0
81 Boerenpartij	0.1	0.4	0.3			0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3			0.2
83 LPF												0.0	0.0
91 NMP									0.0				0.0
92 Plattelandsbond		0.1											0.0
93 Unie 55+									0.0				0.0
94 AOV										0.1			0.0
95 PvdD												0.1	0.0
96 Foreign parties						0.1	0.2	0.7					0.1
100 Other	0.1		0.1			0.7	0.1			2.1		1.4	0.4
992 Blank	0.0					0.1						0.3	0.0
993 Uncodable	0.3	0.6	0.2						0.2				0.1
994 Refused to answer						0.3		0.2					0.0
995 Dropped				100.0	100.0						100.0		22.4
996 DK	26.0						0.2	0.5	16.7	0.5			4.5
997 NA	6.4	0.3	0.2			1.5	0.6		1.5	0.1		0.1	1.1
998 DK/NA												0.3	0.0
999 INAP		31.7	45.4			25.8	22.9	20.9	5.5	19.2		24.3	16.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.3. For party acronyms, see Appendix 4.4.2.

Topic Item Question	Political environment during adolescence												
	V50_6 Party preference of mother during adolescence – first answer												
	And which party was that?												
	En welke partij was dat?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
11 SDP/CPH/CPN		0.3				1.3	0.8	0.4	0.4	0.8		0.5	0.4
13 PPR						0.2	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.2		0.0	0.1
14 PSP		0.1				0.5	0.3	0.5	0.1	0.3		0.2	0.2
18 GroenLinks									0.7	0.6		0.7	0.2
19 SP						0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.4	0.1
20 Socialists – unspecified/other		0.3											0.0
22 SDAP		2.5				1.8	1.1	2.4	0.5	1.0		0.5	0.8
24 PvdA		6.5				16.6	18.1	18.8	21.9	19.1		22.2	10.7
25 DS '70							0.1	0.1					0.0
30 Catholic party – unspecified/other		0.1											0.0
31 RKSP		1.6				0.7	0.1	0.2					0.2
32 RKVP/KDP		0.1											0.0
33 KVP		15.9				17.1	18.4	17.3	13.9	16.6		11.1	9.0
40 Protestant party – unspecified/other		0.7				0.1							0.1
41 SGP		1.0				1.6	1.9	1.3	1.6	1.8		1.9	1.0
42 ARP		7.3				5.5	5.9	5.0	4.1	4.4		3.2	2.9
43 CHU		5.3				4.4	5.4	5.1	4.4	5.1		3.0	2.7
44 GPV		0.1				0.7	0.8	0.7	1.0	1.8		0.6	0.5
45 RPF							0.2	0.2	0.8	0.8		0.4	0.2
46 EVP								0.1		0.1			0.0
47 CDU		0.1											0.0
49 ChristenUnie												0.2	0.0
50 Liberals – unspecified/other		0.4				0.1							0.0
51 Vrijheidsbond/LSP/LU		0.5				0.1	0.1						0.1
55 VDB		0.3					0.1						0.0
56 VVD		2.2				5.8	5.9	7.9	6.9	7.1		7.8	3.8
57 LIB.VOLKSP.		0.1											0.0
58 D66		0.6				0.9	1.4	1.8	2.1	1.7		2.1	0.9
61 CDA						8.9	10.4	10.8	15.4	16.3		16.1	6.9
71 NSB		0.1				0.1							0.0
73 Centrumdemocraten							0.1			0.1			0.0
81 Boerenpartij		0.1				0.2	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.3			0.1
83 LPF												0.0	0.0
94 AOV									0.0				0.0
96 Foreign parties						0.1	0.2	0.6					0.1
100 Other		0.1				0.5				1.8		1.1	0.3
992 Blank		0.3				0.1						0.4	0.1
993 Uncodable		0.2							0.3				0.0
994 Refused to answer						0.3		0.2					0.0
995 Dropped	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0						100.0		41.5
996 DK							0.1	0.4	21.5	0.6			2.1
997 NA		1.0				1.5	0.7		1.9	0.1		0.2	0.4
998 DK/NA												0.4	0.0
999 INAP		52.2				30.9	27.7	25.8	2.0	19.3		26.9	14.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.3. For party acronyms, see Appendix 4.4.2.

Topic	Political environment during adolescence													
Item	V50_7 Party preference of mother during adolescence – second answer													
Question	Refer to V50_6 for complete question text.													
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total	
11 SDP/CPH/CPN										0.1		0.0	0.0	
13 PPR										0.1			0.0	
14 PSP								0.1		0.1			0.0	
18 GroenLinks										0.1			0.0	
19 SP												0.1	0.0	
22 SDAP										0.1			0.0	
24 PvdA									0.1	0.2		0.2	0.0	
33 KVP									0.0	0.1			0.0	
41 SGP										0.1			0.0	
42 ARP											0.2		0.0	
43 CHU								0.1		0.2			0.0	
44 GPV								0.1	0.1				0.0	
49 ChristenUnie												0.0	0.0	
56 VVD								0.1	0.1	0.2		0.3	0.1	
58 D66								0.2	0.1	0.2		0.1	0.0	
61 CDA								0.1	0.0	0.2			0.0	
100 Other								0.1					0.0	
991 No other choice								73.1	74.1	78.5		71.3	27.4	
992 Blank												0.4	0.0	
995 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0				100.0		63.0	
996 DK									21.5				2.0	
997 NA									1.9			0.2	0.2	
998 DK/NA												0.4	0.0	
999 INAP								26.4	2.0	20.1		26.9	7.1	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.3. For party acronyms, see Appendix 4.4.2.

Religion in society

Topic	Confessional organizations												
Item	V51_1 Should there be confessional parties?												
Question	Now I would like to ask you some questions about politics and religion. Do you think that political parties should be completely separated from religion, or do you think that there should also be confessional parties, that is, parties based on religion? When you think about these confessional political parties, do you find that there should be separate Roman Catholic and Protestant parties, or should these parties according to you be included in a general Christian association? Nu wil ik u enkele vragen stellen over politiek en godsdienst. Vindt u dat politieke partijen geheel los moeten staan van godsdienst, of bent u van mening dat er ook confessionele partijen moeten zijn, d.w.z. politieke partijen die gebaseerd zijn op godsdienst? Als u denkt aan deze confessionele politieke partijen.....vindt u dan dat er afzonderlijke rooms-katholieke en protestants-christelijke politieke partijen moeten zijn of moeten zij volgens u in een algemeen christelijk verband worden opgenomen?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Should be secular	30.1	30.0	51.2	41.7	61.7	47.7	49.4	51.9	34.3	35.4		43.0	40.2
2 Should also be interconfessional	34.6	28.1	37.0	30.5	28.2	25.3	25.9	22.3	33.7				22.3
3 Should also be religious									3.0				0.3
4 Should also be confessional	8.7	7.3	9.2	4.1	5.1	5.9	6.0	4.3	13.8	45.0		44.3	14.3
95 Dropped	20.6	32.1		21.4		16.8	14.1			17.5	100.0		15.9
96 DK	5.0	1.7	2.5	2.3	4.6	4.2	3.1	4.6	1.6	1.9			2.6
97 NA	1.0	0.7	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	1.4	1.1	0.0	0.2		1.7	0.6
98 DK/NA												2.6	0.3
99 INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.3. Note that the question wording of the source questions and the number of answer options differ across studies. The quoted question comes from the 1998 study. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic	Confessional organizations												
Item	V51_2 Should there be confessional trade unions?												
Question	And unions? Do you think that unions should be completely separated from religion, or do you think that there should also be confessional unions? En vakbonden? Vindt u dat vakbonden geheel los moeten staan van godsdienst, of bent u van mening dat er ook confessionele vakbonden moeten zijn?												
Note	Refer to V51_1 for complete question text.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Should be secular	34.1	33.1	55.1	45.7	64.4	54.2	56.6	57.6	51.8	48.7			41.0
2 Should also be interconfessional	29.4	22.0	28.8	26.4	22.7	18.2	18.8	17.5					15.4
3 Should also be religious									31.7				2.9
4 Should also be confessional	8.8	8.5	8.4	2.9	4.0	5.6	5.1	3.9		31.6			6.5
95 Dropped	20.6	32.1		21.4		16.8	14.1			17.5	100.0	100.0	27.4
96 DK	5.8	3.2	7.5	3.5	8.0	5.1	4.0	4.5	2.8	2.0			3.8
97 NA	1.2	1.2	0.2	0.2	0.8	0.1	1.4	0.9	0.0	0.2			0.5
99 INAP								15.7	13.7				2.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.3. Note that the question wording of the source questions and the number of answer options differ across different studies.

Topic	Confessional organizations												
Item	V51_3 Should there be confessional schools?												
Question	Do you think that schools should be completely separated from religion, or do you think that there should also be confessional schools, that is, schools based on religion? When you think about these confessional schools, do you find that there should be separate Roman Catholic and Protestant schools, or should they according to you be included in a general Christian association? Vindt u dat scholen geheel los moeten staan van godsdienst, of bent u van mening dat er ook confessionele scholen moeten zijn, d.w.z. scholen die gebaseerd zijn op godsdienst? Als u denkt aan deze confessionele scholen.....vindt u dan dat er afzonderlijke rooms-katholieke en protestants-christelijke scholen moeten zijn of moeten zij volgens u in een algemeen christelijk verband worden opgenomen?												
Note	Refer to V51_1 for complete question text.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Should be secular	29.4	26.7	38.4	28.9	40.6	34.8	35.9	38.1	26.1	28.7		32.8	30.6
2 Should also be interconfessional	28.9	24.0	30.3	30.2	30.8	24.4	27.3	25.8	32.7				21.3
3 Should also be religious									1.8				0.2
4 Should also be confessional	16.0	14.4	26.1	16.3	21.4	20.0	18.1	15.4	24.2	52.2		54.9	24.9
95 Dropped	20.6	32.1		21.4		16.8	14.1			17.5	100.0		15.9
96 DK	4.1	1.8	4.9	2.5	6.3	3.7	3.1	3.9	1.6	1.4			2.7
97 NA	1.0	0.9	0.3	0.6	0.9	0.2	1.5	1.0		0.2		1.7	0.7
98 DK/NA												2.2	0.2
99 INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.3. Note that the question wording of the source questions and the number of answer options differ across different studies.

Topic	Confessional organizations												
Item	V51_4 Should there be confessional broadcasting organizations?												
Question	Do you think that broadcasting organizations should be completely separated from religion, or do you think that there should also be confessional broadcasting organizations, that is broadcasting organizations based on religion? Vindt u dat omroepen geheel los moeten staan van godsdienst, of bent u van mening dat er ook confessionele omroepen moeten zijn, d.w.z. omroepen die gebaseerd zijn op godsdienst?												
Note	Refer to V51_1 for complete question text.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Should be secular			43.5	34.3	48.6	39.8	46.0	46.9	28.8	23.2			25.0
2 Should also be interconfessional			26.2	27.0	23.4	20.7	19.8	19.6	30.7				13.8
3 Should also be religious									2.9				0.3
4 Should also be confessional			22.9	13.8	18.0	17.2	15.1	11.4	21.5	57.2			14.5
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0		21.4		16.8	14.1			17.5	100.0	100.0	40.6
96 DK			7.1	3.4	9.4	5.5	3.2	5.3	2.5	2.0			3.0
97 NA			0.3	0.2	0.6	0.1	1.7	1.1		0.2			0.3
99 INAP								15.7	13.7				2.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.3. Note that the question wording of the source questions and the number of answer options differ across different studies.

Topic	Confessional attitudes												
Item	V52_1 Religion is a good guide in politics												
Question	Do you fully agree, agree, disagree or fully disagree with the following statement? Religion is a good guide in politics. Bent u het met de volgende uitspraak helemaal eens, mee eens, mee oneens of helemaal mee oneens? Het geloof is voor de politiek een goede wegwijzer.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Fully agree	8.5	7.5	10.1	11.9	13.6	8.0	8.4	5.0	5.3	7.4	6.2	4.9	8.0
2 Agree	19.1	16.1	24.1	19.9	22.7	22.0	24.1	22.1	27.5	24.0	25.9	25.5	22.7
3 Disagree	29.6	27.3	32.5	22.3	30.0	27.9	35.4	36.7	39.5	31.7	43.7	45.5	33.5
4 Fully disagree	12.2	9.0	18.6	19.9	27.0	20.9	16.2	17.4	11.4	15.9	22.6	11.2	16.3
95 Dropped	20.6	32.1		21.4		16.8	14.1			17.5			10.3
96 DK	8.7	6.0	14.2	4.4	6.6	4.3	1.7	3.0	2.7	3.3	1.7		4.7
97 NA	1.3	2.0	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.2		0.1	0.0	0.3		1.7	0.6
98 DK/NA												2.9	0.3
99 INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	Confessional attitudes												
Item	V52_2 Confessional attitude score												
Question	Constructed from V51_1-V51_4, and V52_1 (see Appendix 4.3.3).												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Low	22.4	21.1	29.0	21.8	35.2	26.4	30.1	34.3	12.0	13.4		24.6	22.8
1	12.5	10.2	14.3	12.3	16.2	14.2	13.7	12.6	13.1	12.0		21.6	13.3
2	8.3	7.3	13.1	9.1	13.8	11.3	11.0	10.3	14.8	12.2		22.6	11.8
3	20.0	14.0	10.8	7.4	9.5	8.7	7.0	6.6	13.3	13.1		20.9	11.8
4	16.2	15.3	13.3	9.8	8.9	8.6	8.6	8.2	18.5	15.9			10.4
5 High			19.3	18.2	16.4	14.0	15.6	12.3	14.4	15.6			10.3
95 Dropped	20.6	32.1		21.4		16.8	14.1			17.5	100.0		15.9
96 DK									0.2	0.3			0.0
97 NA										0.1		1.7	0.2
98 DK/NA												0.2	0.0
99 INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to Appendix 4.3.3 for description of the score construction.

Note that scales are based on unequal number of source items across different election studies.

Political information

Political knowledge – photo questions													
V53a_1 Politician 1: Photo recognition													
Question													
Here are photographs of a number of politicians. Could you tell me for each person the name, the party and the function within this party? Showcard [number] presented, showing a photograph of Politician 1. What is the name of this politician? Hier zijn foto's van een aantal politici. Wilt u van elke persoon de naam, de partij, en de functie binnen de partij zeggen? Naam?													
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Correct			58.6	63.2	50.4	68.7	66.0	47.9	40.5	63.2		92.5	48.0
2 Incorrect			1.9	2.0	2.1	1.4	2.6	4.6	4.8	10.4		0.4	2.5
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0									100.0		23.2
96 DK			39.5			12.5	17.3	31.0	53.9	26.3			15.1
97 NA				13.4	47.5	0.6		0.7	0.8	0.1			4.7
98 DK/NA												7.1	0.8
99 INAP				21.4		16.8	14.1	15.7					5.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to Appendix 4.3.3 for the names of politicians.

Political knowledge – photo questions													
V53a_2 Politician 1: Party													
Question													
What is the party of this politician? Partij?													
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Correct			33.2	58.4	42.3	70.9	59.0	62.2	53.3	58.2		90.9	46.2
2 Incorrect			27.3	3.3	8.5	2.1	12.8	3.7	12.2	24.0		2.4	8.0
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0									100.0		23.2
96 DK			39.5			9.4	14.1	18.2	34.1	17.7			11.0
97 NA				17.0	49.2	0.7		0.2	0.4	0.2			5.2
98 DK/NA												6.7	0.8
99 INAP				21.4		16.8	14.1	15.7					5.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V53a_1 for complete question text, and Appendix 4.3.3 for the names of politicians.

Political knowledge – photo questions													
V53a_3 Politician 1: Political function													
Question													
What is the function of this politician? Functie?													
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Correct			36.1	34.2	51.9	58.5	47.3	38.1	30.3	84.1		75.8	39.3
2 Incorrect			24.4	11.3	3.5	5.2	14.7	20.4	17.7	5.8		9.3	9.7
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0									100.0		23.2
96 DK			39.5				23.9	25.4	51.4	10.1			12.6
97 NA				33.1	44.6	19.6		0.3	0.7	0.1		0.6	7.9
98 DK/NA												14.3	1.6
99 INAP				21.4		16.8	14.1	15.7					5.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V53a_1 for complete question text, and Appendix 4.3.3 for the names of politicians.

Topic	Political knowledge – photo questions												
Item	V53a_4 Politician 2: Photo recognition												
Question	Showcard [number] presented, showing a photograph of Politician 2. What is the name of this politician? Naam?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Correct			98.4	77.3	65.7	43.5	55.5	51.3	33.5	27.6		86.0	47.0
2 Incorrect			0.1	0.2	3.1	3.3	3.2	4.3	5.0	6.2		1.6	2.2
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0									100.0		23.2
96 DK			1.5			34.6	27.2	27.9	60.7	66.0			18.0
97 NA				1.1	31.1	1.8		0.8	0.8	0.1			2.5
98 DK/NA												12.4	1.4
99 INAP				21.4		16.8	14.1	15.7					5.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V53a_1 for complete question text, and Appendix 4.3.3 for the names of politicians.

Topic	Political knowledge – photo questions												
Item	V53a_5 Politician 2: Party												
Question	What is the party of this politician? Partij?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Correct			91.9	74.7	42.8	38.1	40.0	60.3	40.3	49.7		78.5	45.4
2 Incorrect			6.6	0.7	13.0	13.6	25.3	5.4	12.3	12.4		5.9	7.7
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0									100.0		23.2
96 DK			1.5			29.6	20.6	18.3	47.1	38.0			12.8
97 NA				3.2	44.2	1.8		0.3	0.3				3.5
98 DK/NA												15.6	1.8
99 INAP				21.4		16.8	14.1	15.7					5.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V53a_1 for complete question text, and Appendix 4.3.3 for the names of politicians.

Topic	Political knowledge – photo questions												
Item	V53a_6 Politician 2: Political function												
Question	What is the function of this politician? Functie?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Correct			88.9	53.9	28.3	42.5	61.5	38.7	28.6	35.6		71.4	39.2
2 Incorrect			9.6	10.7	26.5	3.1	4.7	9.6	10.9	9.4		10.4	8.0
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0									100.0		23.2
96 DK			1.5				19.7	35.3	59.9	55.0			14.5
97 NA				14.0	45.2	37.7		0.7	0.6			0.1	7.3
98 DK/NA												18.0	2.1
99 INAP				21.4		16.8	14.1	15.7					5.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V53a_1 for complete question text, and Appendix 4.3.3 for the names of politicians.

Topic	Political knowledge – photo questions												
Item	V53a_7 Politician 3: Photo recognition												
Question	Showcard [number] presented, showing a photograph of Politician 3. What is the name of this politician? Naam?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Correct			97.6	77.6	88.6	51.6	17.0	51.0	64.7	73.3		38.5	47.3
2 Incorrect			0.1	0.0	0.7	3.1	3.4	2.4	3.8	10.9		3.8	2.4
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0									100.0		23.2
96 DK			2.3			27.1	65.5	30.3	31.3	15.8			13.8
97 NA				1.0	10.7	1.5		0.6	0.2				1.0
98 DK/NA												57.7	6.6
99 INAP				21.4		16.8	14.1	15.7					5.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V53a_1 for complete question text, and Appendix 4.3.3 for the names of politicians.

Topic	Political knowledge – photo questions												
Item	V53a_8 Politician 3: Party												
Question	What is the party of this politician? Partij?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Correct			84.9	74.6	86.1	51.3	30.9	61.4	59.2	82.2		55.9	49.9
2 Incorrect			12.8	1.4	2.1	7.6	10.6	3.4	16.5	9.0		9.5	6.3
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0									100.0		23.2
96 DK			2.3			22.7	44.4	19.2	24.2	8.8			9.7
97 NA				2.6	11.8	1.5		0.3	0.1	0.1			1.2
98 DK/NA												34.6	4.0
99 INAP				21.4		16.8	14.1	15.7					5.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V53a_1 for complete question text, and Appendix 4.3.3 for the names of politicians.

Topic	Political knowledge – photo questions												
Item	V53a_9 Politician 3: Political function												
Question	What is the function of this politician? Functie?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Correct			76.3	72.6	55.9	35.6	22.6	31.2	75.7	45.5		26.3	37.9
2 Incorrect			21.4	1.6	10.1	5.9	4.1	15.0	6.1	27.0		22.1	9.9
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0									100.0		23.2
96 DK			2.3				59.2	37.4	18.0	27.5			11.7
97 NA				4.3	34.0	41.7		0.7	0.1			0.1	5.8
98 DK/NA												51.5	5.9
99 INAP				21.4		16.8	14.1	15.7					5.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V53a_1 for complete question text, and Appendix 4.3.3 for the names of politicians.

Topic	Political knowledge – photo questions												
Item	V53a_10 Politician 4: Photo recognition												
Question	Showcard [number] presented, showing a photograph of Politician 4. What is the name of this politician? Naam?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Correct			47.6	63.9	89.5	48.8	66.1	22.5	26.1	52.7		19.5	35.8
2 Incorrect			4.6	0.6	0.3	6.5	1.3	5.0	7.7	8.3		4.6	3.3
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0									100.0		23.2
96 DK			47.7			26.2	18.5	55.7	65.4	39.0			20.9
97 NA				14.1	10.3	1.7		1.0	0.8				2.4
98 DK/NA												75.9	8.7
99 INAP				21.4		16.8	14.1	15.7					5.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V53a_1 for complete question text, and Appendix 4.3.3 for the names of politicians.

Topic	Political knowledge – photo questions												
Item	V53a_11 Politician 4: Party												
Question	What is the party of this politician? Partij?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Correct			44.7	62.4	91.2	51.6	72.9	59.5	35.1	68.7		26.8	42.2
2 Incorrect			7.5	1.9	1.0	8.7	3.1	2.1	14.9	9.5		15.6	5.9
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0									100.0		23.2
96 DK			47.7			21.4	9.8	22.4	49.6	21.8			14.3
97 NA				14.4	7.9	1.5		0.3	0.4	0.1			2.2
98 DK/NA												57.5	6.6
99 INAP				21.4		16.8	14.1	15.7					5.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V53a_1 for complete question text, and Appendix 4.3.3 for the names of politicians.

Topic	Political knowledge – photo questions												
Item	V53a_12 Politician 4: Political function												
Question	What is the function of this politician? Functie?												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Correct			33.1	46.0	74.8	51.3	40.1	29.7	35.7	70.7		20.5	33.1
2 Incorrect			19.1	7.6	5.1	6.3	21.4	6.4	10.7	6.0		8.0	7.7
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0									100.0		23.2
96 DK			47.7				24.3	47.6	53.2	23.3			16.4
97 NA				24.9	20.1	25.5		0.6	0.5			0.3	5.8
98 DK/NA												71.1	8.2
99 INAP				21.4		16.8	14.1	15.7					5.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V53a_1 for complete question text, and Appendix 4.3.3 for the names of politicians.

Topic	Political knowledge – photo questions												
Item	V53b_1 Political knowledge score 0-4												
Question	Constructed from V53a_1 to V53a_12.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Low			9.4	5.5	18.4	21.0	27.8	33.4	46.7	35.8		17.7	18.2
1			19.3	16.1	21.3	16.8	20.0	18.4	24.5	20.8		26.6	15.9
2			35.7	12.4	22.7	14.5	19.0	14.5	9.7	16.8		34.2	15.6
3			19.2	16.4	21.0	14.8	12.7	11.6	10.3	15.2		14.1	11.4
4 High			15.4	27.9	13.6	16.0	6.4	6.3	8.8	11.3		7.4	9.7
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0									100.0		23.2
96 DK			1.0										0.1
97 NA				0.3	3.0								0.2
99 INAP				21.4		16.8	14.1	15.7					5.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The questions about name, party and function of four politicians whose photographs were shown, are summarized in two distinct scale scores, V53b_1 and V53b_2. The Political Knowledge score 0-4 (V53b_1) was established by counting the number of times the respondent correctly answered all three questions regarding a politician in the series of questions.

Topic	Political knowledge – photo questions												
Item	V53b_2 Political knowledge score 0-12												
Question	Constructed from V53a_1 to V53a_12.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Low					0.5	6.2	4.6	8.4	12.3	3.1		2.9	3.2
1			0.5	0.3	1.6	2.9	3.3	3.4	9.8	4.0		2.1	2.4
2			2.2	0.3	3.1	3.2	4.7	4.6	10.5	5.2		3.7	3.2
3			2.7	1.2	4.0	5.3	4.4	5.1	11.0	6.4		5.6	3.9
4			5.8	1.7	6.2	4.8	5.8	4.7	7.0	7.2		6.8	4.2
5			8.6	3.3	8.4	4.2	6.1	5.6	6.8	6.9		10.6	5.2
6			16.5	4.0	10.3	6.4	8.7	5.7	5.4	8.0		14.8	6.9
7			9.3	4.6	7.5	5.4	8.2	6.2	5.5	8.9		12.6	5.9
8			8.8	6.0	8.1	6.0	10.9	8.7	5.5	10.2		11.5	6.5
9			10.2	8.8	10.9	6.4	8.2	7.7	5.8	10.0		9.5	6.6
10			8.1	7.5	10.3	6.9	7.4	8.8	4.7	8.8		6.3	5.8
11			10.9	13.6	12.5	9.5	7.1	8.9	6.9	9.9		6.4	7.2
12 High			15.4	26.8	13.6	16.0	6.4	6.3	8.8	11.3		7.4	9.6
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0									100.0		23.2
96 DK			1.0										0.1
97 NA				0.3	3.0								0.2
99 INAP				21.4		16.8	14.1	15.7					5.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The questions about name, party and function of four politicians whose photograph was shown, are summarized in two distinct scale scores, V53b_1 and V53b_2. The Political Knowledge score 0-12 (V53b_2) was established by counting the number of correct responses to all twelve questions.

Social and political participation

Topic		Social participation & isolation												
Item		V54_1 Member/supporter: Trade union												
Question		On this card several kinds of clubs and organizations are presented. Do these include organizations of which you are a member? You can name the number of the club or organization of which you are a member. (If respondent is a member:) Are you very active, fairly active, or not so active? Trade unions, such as FNV or CNV. Op deze kaart staan verschillende soorten verenigingen en organisaties. Zijn hier organisaties bij waar u lid van bent? Noemt u maar het nummer van de vereniging of de organisatie waar u lid van bent. ENQ: Bij elke vereniging en dergelijke waar OP lid van is, vragen: 'Bent u daarin zeer actief, tamelijk actief of niet zo actief?' Vakbonden of vakcentrales, zoals de FNV of het CNV.												
		1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1	Yes / Member						16.5	17.0	18.7		22.2		18.4	7.9
2	Very active		1.6	1.0	0.7	1.1				0.6				0.4
3	Reasonably active		5.0	2.5	2.1	2.4				2.3				1.1
4	Not active / Passive member		13.6	12.7	12.4	18.1				18.1				6.1
5	No / Not member		76.1	60.0	61.0	77.5	66.4	68.8	65.5	65.2	60.3		81.5	57.0
95	Dropped	100.0		22.7	21.4		16.8	14.1			17.5	100.0		24.3
96	DK		0.4				0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1				0.1
97	NA		3.3	1.1	2.5	0.8	0.2			0.0				0.6
98	DK/NA												0.0	0.0
99	INAP								15.7	13.7				2.5
	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the question wording and the number of answer options differ somewhat across different studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic		Social participation & isolation												
Item		V54_2 Other union members in household												
Question		Are other members of your household member of a trade union? Zijn andere leden van uw huishouden lid van een vakbond?												
Note		Refer to V54_1 for complete question text.												
		1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1	Yes						11.5	12.3	14.2	18.3	17.3		16.0	7.9
2	No						69.8	72.9	49.3	66.3	58.8		61.4	32.6
3	One person household								20.1		6.2		11.2	3.4
95	Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0					17.5	100.0		49.6
96	DK						0.9	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.3			0.3
97	NA						0.9			0.5			1.7	0.3
98	DK/NA												1.3	0.1
99	INAP						16.8	14.1	15.7	13.7			8.4	5.8
	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the question wording and the number of answer options differ somewhat across different studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic	Social participation & isolation												
Item	V54_3 Member/supporter: Professional organization												
Question	Professional organization. Beroeps- of standsorganisaties.												
Note	Refer to V54_1 for complete question text.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes / Member						8.6	9.4			10.7		11.9	3.6
2 Very active			0.5	0.7	1.0				0.5				0.2
3 Reasonably active			1.5	1.2	1.9				1.8				0.5
4 Not active / Passive member			4.1	5.2	5.7				6.2				1.8
5 No / Not member			70.4	68.6	90.3	72.4	76.2		77.7	71.8		78.0	51.9
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	22.7	21.4		16.8	14.1	100.0		17.5	100.0		38.9
96 DK						0.7	0.3		0.1				0.1
97 NA			0.7	3.0	1.0	1.5			0.0			1.7	0.7
99 INAP									13.7			8.4	2.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the question wording and the number of answer options differ somewhat across different studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic	Social participation & isolation												
Item	V54_4 Member/supporter: Neighborhood organization												
Question	Neighborhood or district organization. Buurt- of wijkvereniging												
Note	Refer to V54_1 for complete question text.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes / Member										17.4		25.0	4.3
2 Very active		1.6	1.9	1.9	1.7				2.0				0.7
3 Reasonably active		2.9	3.2	3.5	4.2				5.0				1.5
4 Not active / Passive member		6.1	6.5	6.6	10.4				7.4				3.0
5 No / Not member		87.0	64.8	63.9	82.4				71.8	65.1		64.9	42.6
95 Dropped	100.0		22.7	21.4		100.0	100.0	100.0		17.5	100.0		44.7
96 DK		0.2							0.1				0.0
97 NA		2.3	0.9	2.6	1.2				0.0			1.7	0.8
99 INAP									13.7			8.4	2.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the question wording and the number of answer options differ somewhat across different studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic	Social participation & isolation												
Item	V54_5 Member/supporter: Women's association												
Question	Women's organizations or – unions. Vrouwenverenigingen of –bonden.												
Note	Refer to V54_1 for complete question text.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes / Member										5.7			0.5
2 Very active		1.0	1.5	1.6	1.5				1.5				0.6
3 Reasonably active		3.9	3.1	2.9	2.8				1.9				1.2
4 Not active / Passive member		3.1	2.3	2.4	2.6				2.7				1.1
5 No / Not member		90.1	69.3	68.3	91.8				80.2	76.8			38.6
95 Dropped	100.0		22.7	21.4		100.0	100.0	100.0		17.5	100.0	100.0	56.2
96 DK		0.2							0.1				0.0
97 NA		1.7	1.1	3.4	1.4				0.0				0.6
99 INAP									13.7				1.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the question wording and the number of answer options differ somewhat across different studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic	Social participation & isolation												
Item	V54_6 Member/supporter: Sports organization												
Question	Sporting clubs. Sportverenigingen.												
Note	Refer to V54_1 for complete question text.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes / Member										29.6		36.3	6.6
2 Very active									10.8				1.0
3 Reasonably active									14.5				1.3
4 Not active / Passive member									7.7				0.7
5 No / Not member									53.3	53.0		53.7	15.5
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		17.5	100.0		72.4
96 DK									0.1				0.0
97 NA									0.0			1.7	0.2
99 INAP									13.7			8.4	2.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the question wording and the number of answer options differ somewhat across different studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic		Social participation & isolation												
Item		V54_7 Member/supporter: Environmental organization												
Question		Environment and nature conservation, such as Greenpeace, Natuurmonumenten. Milieu- en natuurbehoud, zoals Greenpeace, Natuurmonumenten.												
Note		Refer to V54_1 for complete question text.												
		1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1	Yes / Member										36.0		27.2	6.1
2	Very active									0.3				0.0
3	Reasonably active									2.1				0.2
4	Not active / Passive member									26.2				2.4
5	No / Not member									57.5	46.6		62.8	16.4
95	Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		17.5	100.0		72.4
96	DK									0.1				0.0
97	NA									0.0			1.7	0.2
99	INAP									13.7			8.4	2.2
	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the question wording and the number of answer options differ somewhat across different studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic		Social participation & isolation												
Item		V54_8 Member/supporter: Third world, human rights or peace												
Question		Third world, human rights and peace, such as Terre des Hommes or Amnesty International. Derde wereld, mensenrechten en vrede, zoals Terre des Hommes of Amnesty International.												
Note		Refer to V54_1 for complete question text.												
		1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1	Yes / Member										18.8		17.8	3.6
2	Very active									0.4				0.0
3	Reasonably active									2.8				0.3
4	Not active / Passive member									11.0				1.0
5	No / Not member									71.9	63.8		72.1	20.2
95	Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		17.5	100.0		72.4
96	DK									0.1				0.0
97	NA									0.0			1.7	0.2
98	DK/NA												0.1	0.0
99	INAP									13.7			8.4	2.2
	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the question wording and the number of answer options differ somewhat across different studies. For more details, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic		Acting against unjust bill												
Item		V57 Chance acting against unjust national bill												
Question		Suppose that the Second Chamber considers a bill that you feel is extremely unjust or wrong. How great is the chance that you would attempt to do something about it? Is this chance very big, big, small or very small? Stel: de Tweede Kamer behandelt een wetsvoorstel dat u zeer onrechtvaardig of verkeerd vindt. Hoe groot is de kans dat u zou proberen daar iets tegen te doen? Is die kans zeer groot, groot, klein of zeer klein?												
		1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1	Very great	2.6	2.0	1.8	1.1	2.9	2.5	1.7	3.8	3.7	3.1	4.2	2.7	2.6
2	Great	7.0	6.4	9.0	7.2	9.1	12.8	9.5	17.7	20.8	17.3	24.6	14.9	12.8
3	Small	61.8	50.9	16.4	21.0	26.0	26.3	37.4	39.0	38.2	33.5	45.3	43.5	37.1
4	Very small			42.8	39.0	58.5	40.4	37.1	21.7	23.1	27.2	24.1	28.4	27.8
95	Dropped	20.6	32.1	22.7	29.7		16.8	14.1			17.5			13.0
96	DK	5.7	4.8	5.8	1.7	3.6	1.1	0.1	2.0	0.5	1.3	1.7		2.3
97	NA	2.3	3.7	1.5	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.2		1.7	0.9
98	DK/NA												0.3	0.0
99	INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic		Political participation												
Item		V58_1 Did (not) contact cabinet minister												
Question		This card lists what you could do if the Second Chamber considers a bill that you felt to be unjust or wrong. Would you please have a look at these options and then tell me which of those you have ever used? You can mention the numbers of the options you have ever used. Contacted cabinet ministers. Op deze kaart staat wat U zou kunnen doen als de Tweede Kamer een wetsvoorstel behandelt dat volgens U onrechtvaardig of verkeerd is. Kunt U mij van elke mogelijkheid zeggen of U er wel eens gebruik van gemaakt hebt? U kunt daarvoor een van de cijfers noemen die onder aan de kaart staan. Contact opgenomen met ministers.												
		1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1	Yes		3.5	1.9	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.8	2.0	3.4				1.7
2	No		64.0	75.3	67.4	95.3	79.9	82.0	81.6	82.9				49.8
95	Dropped	100.0	32.1	22.7	29.7		16.8	14.1			100.0	100.0	100.0	45.6
96	DK		0.2		0.4	1.2	0.7		0.6					0.2
97	NA		0.3		0.4	0.4	0.5		0.1					0.1
99	INAP								15.7	13.7				2.5
Total		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note that the introductory text changed in studies from 2002 and 2006. The above quoted question text comes from the 1998 study. The following is the introductory question text from the 2002 study:

There are various ways to try to bring something to attention in politics or to exercise influence on politicians or the government. Would you indicate for each of the following possibilities which you have utilized during the past 5 years?

For more details about the exact question wording, consult the codebooks of the source studies.

Topic	Political participation												
Item	V58_2 Did (not) contact member of parliament												
Question	Contacted a member of the Second Chamber. Contact opgenomen met lid van de Tweede Kamer.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes		2.8	3.1	3.3	4.5	5.6	6.9	3.9	4.9				2.8
2 No		64.5	74.0	66.1	93.8	76.6	79.0	79.7	81.5				48.7
95 Dropped	100.0	32.1	22.7	29.7		16.8	14.1			100.0	100.0	100.0	45.6
96 DK		0.1		0.5	1.2	0.6		0.6					0.2
97 NA		0.5	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.4		0.1					0.1
99 INAP								15.7	13.7				2.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to 58_1 for complete question text and additional information.

Topic	Political participation												
Item	V58_3 Did (not) sign a petition												
Question	Signed a petition. Meegedaan aan handtekeningenactie.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes	33.7	28.6	31.1	31.4	49.9	38.6	53.0	46.6	56.6				30.4
2 No	44.2	38.6	45.5	38.0	48.4	43.9	32.7	37.0	29.7				29.5
95 Dropped	20.6	32.1	22.7	29.7		16.8	14.1			100.0	100.0	100.0	37.0
96 DK	0.9	0.3		0.8	1.5	0.4		0.6					0.4
97 NA	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1					0.2
99 INAP								15.7	13.7				2.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to 58_1 for complete question text and additional information.

Topic	Political participation												
Item	V58_4 Did (not) try to activate interest group												
Question	Tried to activate an interest group. Een organisatie ingeschakeld.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes		11.5	6.2	7.4	10.9	8.0	16.5	8.3	10.0				6.2
2 No		55.6	70.8	62.1	87.0	74.2	69.3	75.3	76.3				45.3
95 Dropped	100.0	32.1	22.7	29.7		16.8	14.1			100.0	100.0	100.0	45.6
96 DK		0.3		0.5	1.5	0.5		0.6					0.3
97 NA		0.5	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.5	0.1	0.1					0.2
99 INAP								15.7	13.7				2.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to 58_1 for complete question text and additional information.

Topic	Political participation												
Item	V58_5 Did (not) try to activate radio or TV												
Question	Get radio, television or newspaper involved. Radio, televisie of krant ingeschakeld.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes			0.9	1.6	2.3	1.9	4.4	3.6	4.8	10.6		9.3	3.6
2 No			76.3	67.8	95.8	80.2	81.4	80.0	81.5	71.9		80.6	60.6
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	22.7	29.7		16.8	14.1			17.5	100.0		31.8
96 DK				0.4	1.4	0.5		0.6					0.2
97 NA			0.1	0.4	0.5	0.6		0.1				1.7	0.3
99 INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to 58_1 for complete question text and additional information.

Topic	Political participation												
Item	V58_6 Did (not) try to activate political party												
Question	Get political party or organization involved. Politieke partij of organisatie ingeschakeld.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes	5.9	4.6	3.2	4.7	6.1	6.3	9.5	5.5	6.0	6.8		4.7	5.4
2 No	72.0	62.7	73.9	64.7	92.0	75.9	76.4	78.1	80.3	75.8		85.2	71.8
95 Dropped	20.6	32.1	22.7	29.7		16.8	14.1			17.5	100.0		18.6
96 DK	0.8	0.2		0.5	1.4	0.5		0.6					0.3
97 NA	0.8	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.6		0.1				1.7	0.4
99 INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to 58_1 for complete question text and additional information.

Topic	Political participation												
Item	V58_7 Did (not) contact mayor or alderman												
Question	Contacted mayor or alderman. Contact opgenomen met burgemeester of wethouder.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes		17.2	10.5	6.5	9.3	7.7	12.4	8.6	12.0				6.6
2 No		50.0	66.6	63.1	89.0	74.4	73.4	75.0	74.3				44.9
95 Dropped	100.0	32.1	22.7	29.7		16.8	14.1			100.0	100.0	100.0	45.6
96 DK		0.2		0.4	1.3	0.4		0.6					0.2
97 NA		0.5	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.7		0.1					0.2
99 INAP								15.7	13.7				2.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to 58_1 for complete question text and additional information.

Topic	Political participation												
Item	V58_8 Did (not) contact municipal councilor												
Question	Contacted a municipal councilor. Contact opgenomen met gemeenteraadslid.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes		15.7	8.8	7.5	10.2	9.4	16.3	9.0	12.4				7.0
2 No		51.8	68.3	62.0	88.0	72.8	69.6	74.6	73.9				44.5
95 Dropped	100.0	32.1	22.7	29.7		16.8	14.1			100.0	100.0	100.0	45.6
96 DK		0.1		0.4	1.3	0.4		0.6					0.2
97 NA		0.3	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.6		0.1					0.2
99 INAP								15.7	13.7				2.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to 58_1 for complete question text and additional information.

Topic	Political participation												
Item	V58_9 Did (not) join civic action group												
Question	Joined a civic action group. Meegedaan aan een actiegroep.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes	16.3	10.0	6.1	8.5	14.2	10.0	17.2	11.1	13.5	5.8		3.4	9.8
2 No	61.8	57.1	71.0	61.0	84.0	72.1	68.6	72.5	72.8	76.7		86.5	67.4
95 Dropped	20.6	32.1	22.7	29.7		16.8	14.1			17.5	100.0		18.6
96 DK	0.7	0.4		0.4	1.4	0.5		0.6					0.3
97 NA	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.6		0.1				1.7	0.4
99 INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to 58_1 for complete question text and additional information.

Topic	Political participation												
Item	V58_10 Did (not) join demonstration												
Question	Joined a protest action, protest march or demonstration. Meegedaan aan een protestactie, protestmars of demonstratie.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes		6.0	5.0	8.1	15.6	15.4	22.9	21.1	21.7	7.9		6.9	10.7
2 No		61.3	72.0	61.5	82.8	66.9	62.9	62.5	64.7	74.6		83.0	58.1
95 Dropped	100.0	32.1	22.7	29.7		16.8	14.1			17.5	100.0		27.2
96 DK		0.2		0.4	1.3	0.5		0.6					0.2
97 NA		0.5	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4		0.1				1.7	0.3
99 INAP								15.7	13.7			8.4	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to 58_1 for complete question text and additional information.

Topic	Political participation												
Item	V58_11 Did (not) try to activate newspaper												
Question	Tried to activate a newspaper. De krant ingeschakeld.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes			3.6	4.6	6.6	4.0	7.5	5.4	7.5				3.2
2 No			73.3	64.9	91.7	77.9	78.4	78.2	78.9				43.8
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	22.7	29.7		16.8	14.1			100.0	100.0	100.0	50.2
96 DK				0.4	1.3	0.5		0.6					0.2
97 NA			0.4	0.4	0.5	0.7		0.1					0.2
99 INAP								15.7	13.7				2.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to 58_1 for complete question text and additional information.

Topic	Political participation												
Item	V58_12 Did (not) lodge a complaint												
Question	Lodged a (formal) complaint. Een bezwaarschrift ingediend.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes			6.1	6.7	10.9	7.6	14.3	12.3	16.0				6.0
2 No			70.6	62.7	87.4	74.4	71.6	71.4	70.3				40.9
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	22.7	29.7		16.8	14.1			100.0	100.0	100.0	50.2
96 DK				0.6	1.3	0.5		0.6					0.2
97 NA			0.5	0.3	0.4	0.7		0.1					0.2
99 INAP								15.7	13.7				2.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to 58_1 for complete question text and additional information.

Topic	Political participation												
Item	V58_13 Did (not) contact department official												
Question	Contacted a department official. Contact opgenomen met ambtenaar van ministerie.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes					4.3	3.6	6.3	3.8	4.9				1.8
2 No					93.9	78.1	79.6	79.9	81.4				31.9
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		16.8	14.1			100.0	100.0	100.0	63.6
96 DK					1.3	0.6		0.6					0.2
97 NA					0.5	0.9		0.1					0.1
99 INAP								15.7	13.7				2.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to 58_1 for complete question text and additional information.

Topic	Political participation												
Item	V58_14 Did (not) participate in by government organized meeting/debate												
Question	Participated in by government organized meeting or debate. Meegedaan aan een door de overheid georganiseerde inspraakbijeenkomst, hoorzitting of discussiebijeenkomst.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes										12.0		9.8	2.1
2 No										70.6		80.1	15.1
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	17.5	100.0		81.6
97 NA												1.7	0.2
99 INAP												8.4	1.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to 58_1 for complete question text and additional information.

Topic	Political participation												
Item	V58_15 Did (not) contact a politician or civil servant												
Question	Contacted politician or civil servant. Contact opgenomen met een politicus of ambtenaar.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes										11.4		10.0	2.1
2 No										71.2		80.0	15.1
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	17.5	100.0		81.6
97 NA												1.7	0.2
99 INAP												8.4	1.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to 58_1 for complete question text and additional information.

Topic	Political participation												
Item	V58_16 Did (not) use the Internet, email or SMS												
Question	Joined a political discussion or action via the internet, email or SMS. Via internet, email of SMS meegedaan aan een politieke discussie of actie.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes										8.1		16.1	2.5
2 No										74.4		73.8	14.7
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	17.5	100.0		81.6
97 NA												1.7	0.2
99 INAP												8.4	1.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to 58_1 for complete question text and additional information.

Topic	Political participation												
Item	V58_17 Did (not) perform Other activity												
Question	Something else. lets anders.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Yes	3.0	0.9								3.7		4.2	1.2
2 No	72.7	28.4								78.8		85.8	26.3
95 Dropped	20.6	32.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	17.5	100.0		68.4
96 DK	1.9	4.9											0.5
97 NA	1.8	33.6										1.7	2.6
99 INAP												8.4	1.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to 58_1 for complete question text and additional information.

Topic	Political participation												
Item	V58_18 Civic participation score – 13-item measure												
Question	Constructed from items V58_1 to V58_13 (see Appendix 4.3.3).												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Low	32.9	26.3	36.6	31.8	41.1	34.3	25.6	25.7	18.1				22.5
1	16.4	12.4	21.8	18.4	25.3	21.8	18.8	24.0	29.0				15.5
2	9.4	8.4	7.8	7.5	12.8	11.2	13.2	14.8	14.8				8.2
3	9.0	6.7	4.4	5.0	7.7	6.1	9.1	9.2	10.5				5.6
4	5.6	5.5	2.7	2.8	4.9	3.7	4.8	4.0	4.7				3.2
5	3.2	3.6	1.7	1.6	2.7	1.9	4.8	2.4	3.0				2.1
6	1.8	2.6	0.5	1.0	1.8	1.2	2.7	1.6	2.2				1.3
7	0.8	1.4	0.7	0.5	1.9	1.0	2.5	0.9	1.2				0.9
8	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.8	0.6	1.1	0.8	0.7				0.5
9		0.1	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	1.0	0.3	0.6				0.3
10		0.2	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.3	1.0	0.2	0.3				0.2
11				0.0	0.1	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.4				0.1
12 High			0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.4		0.5				0.1
13 High					0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2				0.1
95 Dropped	20.6	32.1	22.7	29.7		16.8	14.1			100.0	100.0	100.0	37.0
99 INAP								15.7	13.7				2.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Civic participation has been operationalized by somewhat different sets of items in different election studies. As a result, there are two summary measures, V58_18 and V58_19, based on different subsets of items. The Civic participation score – 13-item measure (V58_18) was established by counting the “positive” or affirmative responses to questions V58_1 to V58_13, and applies to studies from 1971 to 1998. The Civic participation score – 8-item measure (V58_19) was established by counting the “positive” or affirmative responses to questions V58_5, V58_6, V58_9, V58_10, V58_14, V58_15, V58_16, V58_17, and applies to studies from 2002 and 2006.

Topic	Expected effect political participation												
Item	V59_2 Perceived effect – contact Member of Parliament												
Question	Contact a member of the Second Chamber. Contact zoeken met lid van de Tweede Kamer.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Much	21.2	13.7	14.7	14.5	18.6								7.1
2 Little	28.8	31.7	36.2	28.5	37.5								13.6
3 No effect	20.9	16.6	21.1	22.8	38.9								10.0
95 Dropped	20.6	32.1	22.7	29.7		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	66.6
96 DK	6.6	4.8	5.1	4.4	4.9								2.2
97 NA	1.9	1.1	0.3	0.1	0.1								0.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V59_1 for complete question text.

Topic	Expected effect political participation												
Item	V59_3 Perceived effect – contact cabinet ministers												
Question	Contact cabinet ministers. Contact zoeken met ministers.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Much	9.2	11.9	13.3	11.0	14.5								5.0
2 Little	28.5	28.0	27.7	23.4	30.7								11.7
3 No effect	33.6	22.5	31.0	31.1	48.7								14.1
95 Dropped	20.6	32.1	22.7	29.7		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	66.6
96 DK	6.0	4.6	4.8	4.7	6.0								2.2
97 NA	2.1	0.9	0.4	0.1	0.1								0.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V59_1 for complete question text.

Topic	Expected effect political participation												
Item	V59_4 Perceived effect – contact department official												
Question	Contact a department official. Contact zoeken met ambtenaar van ministerie.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Much	8.2	3.5	5.6	4.6	6.4								2.5
2 Little	23.8	28.0	29.1	23.9	30.4								11.3
3 No effect	38.0	31.3	36.2	36.8	56.1								16.7
95 Dropped	20.6	32.1	22.7	29.7		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	66.6
96 DK	7.2	4.3	6.1	4.8	6.8								2.5
97 NA	2.1	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.3								0.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V59_1 for complete question text.

Topic	Expected effect political participation												
Item	V59_5 Perceived effect – contact councilor												
Question	Contact a city-council member. Contact zoeken met gemeenteraadslid.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Much					8.6								0.6
2 Little					29.6								2.0
3 No effect					56.8								3.8
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	93.2
96 DK					4.7								0.3
97 NA					0.4								0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V59_1 for complete question text.

Topic	Expected effect political participation												
Item	V59_6 Perceived effect – join civic action group												
Question	Join a civic action group. Meedoen met een aktiegroep.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Much	22.2	10.7	15.9	17.6	22.9								7.8
2 Little	30.5	27.7	30.5	26.9	34.8								12.7
3 No effect	18.9	25.0	26.4	22.3	37.0								10.6
95 Dropped	20.6	32.1	22.7	29.7		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	66.6
96 DK	6.0	3.5	3.8	3.2	4.9								1.8
97 NA	1.8	0.9	0.6	0.2	0.4								0.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V59_1 for complete question text.

Topic	Expected effect political participation												
Item	V59_7 Perceived effect – sign petition												
Question	Sign a petition. Meedoen aan handtekeningenaktie.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Much		14.6	23.7	21.9	29.7								7.1
2 Little		33.5	35.5	31.4	43.2								11.2
3 No effect		15.9	15.0	14.5	23.2								5.3
95 Dropped	100.0	32.1	22.7	29.7		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	75.3
96 DK		3.2	2.7	2.5	3.7								0.9
97 NA		0.7	0.3	0.0	0.3								0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V59_1 for complete question text.

Topic	Expected effect political participation												
Item	V59_8 Perceived effect – join demonstration												
Question	Join a demonstration. Meedoen aan demonstratie.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Much		7.6	9.7	11.1	20.5								3.8
2 Little		26.2	27.8	25.8	32.3								8.8
3 No effect		29.2	35.8	30.1	43.2								10.8
95 Dropped	100.0	32.1	22.7	29.7		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	75.3
96 DK		3.8	3.7	3.2	3.8								1.1
97 NA		1.1	0.3	0.1	0.3								0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V59_1 for complete question text.

Topic	Expected effect political participation												
Item	V59_9 Perceived effect – try activate newspaper												
Question	Try to activate a newspaper. Proberen krant in the schakelen.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Much		24.1	17.1	15.4	22.7								7.1
2 Little		28.8	31.3	27.2	31.0								10.5
3 No effect		18.6	24.7	24.2	41.4								9.3
95 Dropped	20.6	100.0	22.7	29.7		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	71.2
96 DK		5.8	3.9	3.4	4.8								1.6
97 NA		2.1	0.3	0.1	0.1								0.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V59_1 for complete question text.

Topic	Expected effect political participation												
Item	V59_10 Perceived effect – try activate radio or TV												
Question	Try to activate radio or TV. Proberen radio/televisie in te schakelen.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Much		26.5	24.4	23.3	27.7								9.1
2 Little		26.6	26.1	22.1	28.3								9.2
3 No effect		18.3	22.5	21.1	38.7								8.6
95 Dropped	20.6	100.0	22.7	29.7		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	71.2
96 DK		6.1	3.9	3.7	5.1								1.7
97 NA		1.9	0.3	0.1	0.2								0.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V59_1 for complete question text.

Topic	Expected effect political participation												
Item	V59_11 Perceived effect – try activate political party												
Question	Try to activate a political party. Proberen politieke partij in te schakelen.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Much	25.1	22.2	24.1	23.0	32.5								10.7
2 Little	29.0	28.3	27.7	24.2	28.7								11.7
3 No effect	17.2	12.3	20.3	18.4	32.8								8.4
95 Dropped	20.6	32.1	22.7	29.7		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	66.6
96 DK	6.0	4.3	5.0	4.7	5.5								2.2
97 NA	2.0	0.8	0.3	0.1	0.5								0.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V59_1 for complete question text.

Topic	Expected effect political participation												
Item	V59_12 Perceived effect – try activate interest group												
Question	Try to activate an interest group. Proberen organisatie in te schakelen.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Much	16.5	15.0	14.7	16.1	22.8								7.2
2 Little	31.8	31.8	33.2	26.5	33.0								13.2
3 No effect	22.4	15.9	23.1	22.2	36.9								10.1
95 Dropped	20.6	32.1	22.7	29.7		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	66.6
96 DK	6.6	4.5	6.1	5.4	7.1								2.5
97 NA	2.0	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.3								0.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V59_1 for complete question text.

Topic	Expected effect political participation												
Item	V59_13 Perceived effect – lodge a complaint												
Question	Lodge a (formal) complaint. Een bezwaarschrift indienen.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Much			15.0	10.5	15.1								3.3
2 Little			31.9	27.7	34.2								7.7
3 No effect			25.6	27.2	44.6								7.8
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	22.7	29.7		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	79.9
96 DK			4.5	4.7	6.1								1.2
97 NA			0.3	0.1	0.1								0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V59_1 for complete question text.

Topic	Expected effect political participation												
Item	V59_14 Perceived effect – acting with others												
Question	Trying to do something together with other people. Proberen samen met andere mensen om iets te doen.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Much	22.5												2.5
2 Little	30.5												3.3
3 No effect	18.8												2.1
95 Dropped	20.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	91.3
96 DK	5.7												0.6
97 NA	2.0												0.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V59_1 for complete question text.

Topic	Expected effect political participation												
Item	V59_15 Perceived effect – try to activate media												
Question	Try to get the help of newspapers, radio or television. Proberen krant, radio of televisie in te schakelen.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Much		23.1											1.5
2 Little		26.7											1.8
3 No effect		13.6											0.9
95 Dropped	100.0	32.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	95.5
96 DK		3.7											0.2
97 NA		0.8											0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V59_1 for complete question text.

Topic	Expected effect political participation												
Item	V59_16 Perceived effect – other means												
Question	Something else, namely... lets anders, nl:.....												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Much		0.7											0.0
2 Little		3.4											0.2
3 No effect		8.3											0.6
95 Dropped	100.0	32.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	95.5
96 DK		17.4											1.2
97 NA		38.1											2.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Refer to V59_1 for complete question text.

Topic	Expected effect political participation												
Item	V59_17 Sense of civic competence score												
Question	Constructed from V59_1 to V59_16.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Low	9.9	6.6	4.8	6.2	12.7								3.4
1	1.7	2.0	3.0	3.4	5.3								1.3
2	3.6	3.2	2.3	3.0	4.8								1.4
3	4.3	3.5	3.7	3.6	5.5								1.7
4	5.6	5.0	5.1	4.1	4.4								2.1
5	7.0	5.2	5.9	4.6	6.2								2.5
6	8.6	7.1	5.7	4.2	6.2								2.7
7	8.5	7.0	6.8	4.9	7.4								2.9
8	7.2	6.7	8.6	7.1	8.4								3.2
9	7.0	5.2	8.0	6.6	7.7								3.0
10	15.9	13.8	6.4	7.4	7.8								4.5
11		2.7	7.5	6.2	6.7								1.9
12 High			9.4	8.8	5.8								2.0
13 High					10.9								0.7
95 Dropped	20.6	32.1	22.7	29.7		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	66.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: The questions concerning perceived effects of political actions (V59_1 to V59_16) can be considered as operationalizations of the single construct 'sense of civic competence'. V59_17 Sense of civic competence score is constructed by counting "positive" responses (i.e., answers 1 and 2) to questions V59_1 to V59_16.

Sense of civic competence has been operationalized by somewhat different sets of items in different election studies. As a result, the summary measure V59_17 is based on different subsets of items, and the scores range from 0 to 13.

The source studies provide details about scalability of the scale items. For more details, consult the original codebooks.

Topic	Legitimacy of social protest												
Item	V60_7 Social protest and govt reaction – item 7												
Question	A building has been vacated for a long time. A group of people decides to squat in it. Do you approve or disapprove? Een gebouw staat al geruime tijd leeg. Een aantal mensen besluit dit gebouw te kraken. Keurt u dat goed of keurt u dat af?												
Note	Refer to V60_1 for complete question text.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Approve				31.6									3.2
2 Don't care much				31.6									3.2
3 Disapprove				2.0									0.2
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	29.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	92.9
96 DK				4.7									0.5
97 NA				0.3									0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Topic	Legitimacy of social protest												
Item	V60_8 Legitimacy of social protest score												
Question	Constructed from V60_1 to V60_7 (see Appendix 4.3.3).												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
0 Protest not legitimate	12.8	8.6	10.5	7.1									3.5
1	19.1	15.5	12.3	9.8									5.1
2	17.6	14.0	13.3	10.2									5.0
3	15.3	10.1	13.5	11.0									4.6
4	9.6	9.6	11.5	11.0									3.7
5	4.9	4.6	8.7	8.3									2.4
6		5.4	7.5	6.2									1.6
7 Protest legitimate				6.6									0.7
95 Dropped	20.6	32.1	22.7	29.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	73.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Legitimacy of social protest score (V60_8) is constructed by counting “positive” responses to questions V60_1 to V60_7 (scale range is 0-7). “Positive” is defined as responses approving of social protest, and disapproving of punitive government reactions (see Appendix 4.3.3).

Legitimacy of social protest has been operationalized by somewhat different sets of items in different election studies. As a result, the summary measure V60_8 is based on different subsets of items.

The source studies provide details about scalability of the scale items. For more details, consult the original codebooks.

Other

Topic	Time at which respondent voted												
Item	V61 Time at which respondent voted												
Question	You said you voted. At what time did you do this?												
	Hoe laat heeft u gestemd?												
Routing	Asked if V15_1=1.												
	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006	Total
1 Between 7.30 and 9.00 am								11.5	8.0	11.7	12.4	8.6	4.3
2 Between 9.00 and 12.00 am								19.8	15.5	19.0	23.7	16.1	7.7
3 Between 12.00 and 6.00 pm								27.4	25.7	25.3	33.2	27.8	11.7
4 Between 6.00 and 7.00 pm								17.6	10.8	7.9	12.6	8.6	4.7
5 Between 7.00 and 9.00 pm									10.3	10.2	12.0	13.8	4.1
95 Dropped	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0						57.4
96 DK								1.5	0.0	0.1	0.9		0.2
97 NA												1.7	0.2
99 INAP								22.3	29.7	25.9	5.2	23.4	9.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Note: Conversion of the original codes shown in Appendix 4.3.3.

4 Appendix

4.1 Source election studies

Table 4.11 presents the basic information about the source election studies, i.e., the studies that the cumulative data-set is built from. Please note that most variables from the 1971-1989 studies come from the 1971-1989 cumulative data-file (study number P1110, as coded in variable “A7 Source data file number”).

Table 4.1-1 Source election studies

Study	Date and fieldwork	Principal investigators	Steinmetz/DANS study number	Respondents	Variables
1971	Feb-May NV v/h NSS (The Hague)	R.J. Mokken F.M. Roschar	P0131	2495	795
1972	Nov-Dec 1972 June-Aug 1973 NV v/h NSS (The Hague)	L.P.J. De Bruyn J.W. Foppen	P0353	1526	782
1977	March-Aug Interact b.v. (Dongen)	G.A. Irwin J. Verhoef C.J. Wiebrens	P0354	1856	582
1981	Jan-June Intomart b.v. (Hilversum)	C. van der Eijk B. Niemöller A.Th.J. Eggen	P0350	2305	796
1982	Sept-Oct Intomart b.v. (Hilversum)	C. van der Eijk M.J. Koopman B. Niemöller	P0633	1541	334
1986	March-June InterView (Amsterdam)	C. van der Eijk G.A. Irwin B. Niemöller	P0866	1630	385
1989	July-Nov CBS (Voorburg)	J.J.A. Thomassen B. Niemöller J.W. van Deth C. van der Eijk G.A. Irwin Statistics Netherlands	P1000	1754	310
1994	March-June CBS (Voorburg)	C.W.A.M. Aarts H. Anker J.J.M. van Holsteyn E.V. Oppenhuis P.C.P.M. Pennings K. Wittebrood Statistics Netherlands	P1208	1812	490
1998	March-July ITS (Nijmegen)	C.W.A.M. Aarts H. van der Kolk M. Kamp	P1415	2101	957
2002	April-June TNS-NIPO (Amsterdam)	G.A. Irwin J.J.M. van Holsteyn J.M. den Ridder	P1628	3178	1564
2003	Jan-March TNS-NIPO (Amsterdam)	G.A. Irwin J.J.M. van Holsteyn J.M. den Ridder	P1628	3178	1564
2006	Oct-Dec CBS (Voorburg-Heerlen)	C.W.A.M. Aarts H. van der Kolk M. Rosema M. Brinkman	P1719	2806	696

Note: DPES 2002 and 2003 studies were released as a single data base and codebook.

4.2 Tables of source variables

The following tables present the variable identification labels (variable names in the source NKO/DPES files) from the original studies in order to facilitate cross-references to the more extensive documentation in the twelve distinct codebooks.

In order to achieve comparability over election studies a number of variables had to be transformed. These changes are described in the section that presents variable details, and in Appendix 4.3.

The following tables do not show the source for constructed variables. For example, variable D4c Respondent's date of birth – Year in 1994 was constructed on the basis of the variable Respondent's age (var172 in the 1994 source file).

4.2.1 Administrative and contextual variables

Administrative variables		1971-1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006
A1	Study number (Year)	var2001					
A2	Study respondent identification number	var2002	var002	v0002	ridn	ridn03	rnr
A3	Type of interview record	var2003	var003	v0003	type	ir03	v004
A4	Pre- and post-election wave participation						v002
A5	Unique respondent number						v003
A6	Data file version (date of release)						
A7	Source data file number	var2001	var001	v0001	stud	stud	study

Contextual variables		1971-1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006
C1	Degree of urbanization	var2267	var180	v0013	urb02	urb03	v451
C2	Size of municipality	var2268					
C3	Province	var2269					
C4	Region	var2270		v0010	reg02	reg03	v450
C5	Municipality	var2271		v0011	mun02	munf03	

4.2.2 Socio-demographic background variables

	1971-89	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006
Demographic background of respondent						
D1 Sex of respondent	var2218	var176	v0288	v0459	x0498	v420
D2 Age of respondent	var2219	var172	v0316	v0457	x0496	v421
D3 Age category of respondent	agecat					
D4a Respondent's date of birth – Day	var2224			v0456	x0495	
D4b Respondent's date of birth – Month	var2225		v0350	v0456	x0495	
D4c Respondent's date of birth – Year	var2226		v0350	v0456	x0495	v421
D5 Electoral cohort of respondent	var2227	var173		v0458	x0497	v424
D6 Marital status of respondent	var2228	var177	v0351	v0460	x0499	v422
Composition of household – presence of persons						
D7 Number of household members	var2220	var175	v0280	v0417	x0461	v411
D8 Number of persons in household above18	var2221					v412
D9 Status of respondent in household	var2222					v423
D10 Typology of household composition	var2223	var004	v0281	v0418	x0462	v410
Occupation and Profession – Respondent						
D11 Respondent is <NO> housewife	var2229	var181	v0356, v0357			
D13 Current employment status of respondent	var2231	var181, var183	v0354	v0464	x0503	v433
D14a Profession and function of respondent	var2232	var189	v0361			
D14b Occupation of respondent: EGP classification		var189	v0361			
D14c Occupation of respondent: ISCO(88) classification			v0362			v436
D15 Sector in which respondent is currently employed	var2231		v0355	v0465	x0504	
D16a Branch of industry Respondent's job is in	var2233					
D16b Occupation of respondent: SBI 1993 classification		sbitoph				v435
D17a Number of persons employed by self-employed respondent	var2234	var184	v0364	v0473	x0512	
D17b Number of persons supervised by respondent	var2234	var186	v0363	v0471	x0510	
D18 Number of hours respondent works per week	var2235	var182	v0365	v0474	x0513	v434
D20 Previous profession and function of respondent	var2237	var197	v0370			
D21 Does respondent work part time?	var2238					
Occupation and Profession – Respondent's father						
D22 Employment status of father during adolescence	var2239					
D23 Sector in which father was employed	var2240		v0462			
D24 Father's profession and function during adolescence	var2241	var249	v0468			
D25a Branch of industry father's job was in during adolescence	var2242					
D25b Father's occupation during adolescence: SBI 1993 classification						
D25c Father's occupation during adolescence: ISCO(88) classification			v0469			
D26 Number of persons supervised or employed by respondent's father	var2243	var245, var247	v0470, v0471			
Occupation and Profession – Head of Household						
D27 Identity of Head of Household	var2244	var218	v0347			
D28a Employment status of Head of Household	var2245					
D28b Sector in which Head of Household is currently employed	var2245		v0438	v0536		
D30 Reason Head of Household does not work			v0439	v0547		
D31 Profession and function of Head of Household	var2246	var230	v0443			
D32a Branch of industry Head of Household's job is in	var2247					
D32b Head of Household's occupation: SBI 1993 classification		var228				

Table continues on the next page

	1971-89	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006		
D32c	Head of Household's occupation: ISCO(88) classification		v0444					
D33	var2248	var225, var227	v0445, v0446	v0541, v0543				
D34	Number of hours Head of Household works per week		v0447	v0544				
D36	Head of Household's former profession and function		var2250	var238	v0452			
Education								
D37	Highest education (completed) of respondent		var2252	var174	v0352	v0463	x0502	v430
D38	Education respondent started afterwards		var2253		v0353			v431
D39	Highest education (completed) of father		var2254	var239	v0460			
D41	Highest education (completed) of Head of Household		var2256	var220	v0435	v0534		
D42	Education Head of Household started afterwards		var2257		v0436			
Social class								
D43	Social class – self image		var2258	var178	v0394	v0505	x0544	v428
Religion								
D44	Religious denomination of respondent		var2259	var159	v0377	v0489	x0528	v426
D45	Dutch Reformed denomination of respondent		var2260	var160	v0378	v0490	x0529	
D46	Calvinist denomination of respondent		var2261	var161	v0379	v0489	x0528	
D47	Respondent's attendance of religious services		var2262	var162	v0382	v0493	x0532	v427
D48	Denomination in which respondent was raised		var2263	var163	v0385	v0503	x0542	
Income								
D49	Net annual income of respondent's household		var2264	var179	v0348	v0557	x0545	v413
Other background characteristics of respondent								
D50	Health insurance – Head of Household		var2265					
D51	Is respondent houseowner?		var2266					

4.2.3 Survey variables

		1971-89	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006
Political interest							
Political interest and communication							
V1_1	Reads about national news?	var2074	var005	v0030	v0001	x0028	v011
V1_2	Talks about national news?	var2075	var006	v0031	v0002	x0029	v012
V1_3	Reads about foreign news?	var2076	var007	v0032	v0003	x0030	v013
V1_4	Interested in politics?	var2077	var008	v0033	v0004	x0031	v014
V1_5	Political interest score	var2078	var009	v0034	v0005	x0032	v015
Daily newspapers							
V2_1	Daily newspaper – Algemeen Dagblad	*	var010	v0035	v0028	x0063	v016
	to	*	to	to	to	to	
V2_11	Daily newspaper – No daily newspaper	*	var013	v0039	v0033	x0069	v026
Watching TV programs							
V3_1	Frequency watching NOS TV newscast	*	var014	v0040	v0034	x0070	v027
V3_2	Frequency watching RTL4 TV newscast		var014	v0040	v0034	x0070	v027
Interest and activities in campaign							
V4_1	Reads campaign news in newspaper	*	var274	v0600	v0620	x0184	v503
V4_2	Has seen electoral debates	*	var275	v0601	v0622	x0185	v504
Activities in campaign							
V5	Number of campaign activities	var2153	var276	v0604	v0629		
Perception of poll results							
V6_1	Frequency of encountering poll results	*		v0100	v0181	x0188	v100
V6_2	Perceived accuracy of poll results	*		v0101	v0182		v101
Voting and party identification							
Party adherence							
V7_1	Respondent is (not) adherent to a party?	var2057	var021	v0050	v0087		v060
V7_2	Respondent is adherent to (which party?)	var2058	var022	v0051	v0103		v063
Convinced adherent							
V8_1	Respondent is (not) convinced adherent	var2059	var023	v0055	v0108		v061
V8_2	Respondent is (not) more attracted to a party?	var2060	var024	v0056	v0109		v062
V8_3	Respondent is more attracted to (party)	var2061	var025	v0057	v0110		v063
Features of PID							
V9_1	Strength of party adherence		var026	v0058	v0112		v065
V9_2	Direction of party adherence		var027	v0059			v064
Party membership							
V10_1	Respondent is (not) a member of a party	var2065	var028	v0060	v0113		v067
V10_2	Party respondent is member of	var2066	var029	v0061	v0126		v068
V10_3	Respondent has (never) been member of a party	var2067		v0062	v0127		
Vote intention							
V11_1	Respondent intends to vote in [current] parliamentary elections?	var2004	var037	v0075	v0155		v080
V11_2	Vote intention [current] parliamentary elections	var2005	var038	v0076	v0156		v081
Vote intention if obliged							
V13	Vote intention if non-voter is obliged to vote	var2006	var054	v0083	v0179		v082
Previous election voting behaviour Second Chamber							
V14_1	Did (not) vote in [previous] parliamentary elections	var2042	var055	v0165	v0235		v220
V14_2	Party voted for in [previous] parliamentary elections	var2043	var056	v0166	v0236		v221
Current election voting behavior							
V15_1	Did (not) vote in [current] parliamentary elections	var2007	var280	v0610	v0646	x0195	v510
V15_2	Party voted for in [current] parliamentary elections	var2008	var281	v0611	v0647	x0196	v512

Table continues on the next page

		1971-89	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006
Party choice – reasons							
V16_1	Party choice – first reason	var2009	var284	v0612	v0674	x0223	v516
V16_2	Party choice – second reason	var2010	var285	v0613	v0675	x0224	
When decided							
V17	Party choice – when decided?	var2011	var288	v0616	v0677	x0230	v517
Preferential vote							
V18_1	Did (not) cast preferential vote			v0617	v0678	x0231	v520
V18_2	Reason for preferential vote (main categories)			v0618	v0679	x0232	v521
Vote by proxy							
V19_1	Did respondent authorize someone to vote by proxy?			v0620	v0681		v511
V19_2	Person authorized to vote by proxy			v0621	v0682		v530
V19_3	Has been authorized by someone to vote by proxy			v0622	v0684		v531
V19_4	Person who authorized respondent to vote by proxy			v0623	v0693		v532
Earlier voting – 2nd wave							
V20	Previous voting behavior of respondent	var2012	var289	v0625	v0702	x0236	
Party previously voted							
V21_1	Previously voted for PvdA	var2020	var290	v0626	v0731	x0265	
	to	to	to	to	to	to	
V21_16	Previously voted for Other	var2040	var293	v0630	v0736	x0271	
Considered not to vote							
V22_1	Considered not to vote	var2013	var294	v0631	v0737		v535
V22_2	Did (not) hesitate about party choice	var2013	var295	v0632	v0738	x0272	v536
Alternative parties							
V22p_1	Considered to vote for PvdA	var2014	var296	v0633	v0754	x0290	V537
	to			to	to	to	to
V22p_16	Considered to vote for Other			v0637	v0757	x0293	v550
Reasons for non-voting							
V23_1	Reasons for non-voting – first reason	var2015	var297	v0638	v0758	x0317	
V23_2	Reasons for non-voting – second reason	var2016		v0639	v0759		
V23_3	Reasons for non-voting – third reason			v0640	v0760		
When decided not to vote							
V24_1	Did not vote: when decided	var2017	var298	v0641	v0779	x0318	v571
V24_2	Preferred party of non-voters	var2018	var299	v0642	v0780	x0319	v572
Voting behaviour municipal elections							
V26_1	Did (not) vote in most recent municipal elections		var082	v0167	v0240 & v0241		v226
V26_2	Party voted for in most recent municipal elections		var083	v0168	v0242 & v0243		
Coalition preference before elections							
V28_1	PvdA preferred in new government coalition?	var2070	var113	v0230	v0327		v261
V28_2	CDA preferred in new government coalition?	var2071	var114	v0231	v0328		v260
V28_3	VVD preferred in new government coalition?	var2069	var115	v0232	v0329		v262
V28_4	D66 preferred in new government coalition?	var2068	var112	v0233	v0330		v265
V28_5	GroenLinks preferred in new government coalition?			v0234	v0331		v263
V28_6	SGP preferred in new government coalition?			v0235	v0332		v267
V28_7	ChristenUnie (GPV, RPF) preferred in new government coalition?				v0333		v266
V28_8	Lijst Pim Fortuyn preferred in new government coalition?				v0335		v268
V28_9	SP preferred in new government coalition?			v0241	v0336		v264
V28_10	GPV preferred in new government coalition?			v0236			
V28_11	RPF preferred in new government coalition?			v0237			
V28_12	Other party preferred in new government coalition			v0243	v0337		v273

Table continues on the next page

		1971-89	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006
Preferred government coalition							
V29_1	Preferred government coalition (pre-election)						
V29_2	Does it matter which parties are in coalition?	var2073	var117	v0244	v0351		v290
Faith in prospective prime ministers							
V30_1	Faith in [candidate 1] as Prime Minister	*	var340	v0765	v0900	x0370	v700
V30_2	Faith in [candidate 2] as Prime Minister	*	var341	v0766	v0901	x0371	v701
V30_3	Faith in [candidate 3] as Prime Minister	*	var342	v0767	v0902	x0372	v702
V30_4	Faith in [candidate 4] as Prime Minister	*	var343	v0768	v0903	x0373	v703
V30_5	Faith in [candidate 5] as Prime Minister				v0904		v704
Sympathy score for political parties and politicians							
Sympathy scores for political parties							
		*1986					
V31_1	Sympathy score: PvdA	var065	var091	v0170	v0281	x0165	v310
V31_2	Sympathy score: VVD	var066	var092	v0171	v0282	x0166	v311
V31_3	Sympathy score: D66	var067	var093	v0172	v0283	x0167	
V31_4	Sympathy score: GroenLinks		var094	v0173	v0284	x0168	v315
V31_5	Sympathy score: CDA	var070	var095	v0174	v0280	x0164	v313
V31_6	Sympathy score: SGP	var071	var096	v0175	v0287	x0170	
V31_7	Sympathy score: GPV	var072	var097	v0176			
V31_8	Sympathy score: RPF	var073	var098	v0177			
V31_9	Sympathy score: Centrumdemocraten		var099	v0178			
V31_10	Sympathy score: SP			v0179	v0289	x0172	v314
V31_11	Sympathy score: AOV			v0180			
V31_12	Sympathy score: Leefbaar Nederland				v0285		
V31_13	Sympathy score: Lijst Pim Fortuyn				v0286	x0169	
V31_14	Sympathy score: ChristenUnie				v0288	x0171	v312
Sympathy scores for politicians							
V32_1	Sympathy score: Politician 1	*	var100	v0181	v0290	x0173	
V32_2	Sympathy score: Politician 2	*	var101	v0182	v0291	x0174	
V32_3	Sympathy score: Politician 3	*	var102	v0183	v0292	x0175	
V32_4	Sympathy score: Politician 4	*	var103	v0184	v0293	x0176	
V32_5	Sympathy score: Politician 5	*	var104	v0185	v0294	x0177	
V32_6	Sympathy score: Politician 6	*	var105	v0186	v0295	x0178	
V32_7	Sympathy score: Politician 7	*	var106	v0187	v0296	x0179	
V32_8	Sympathy score: Politician 8	*	var107	v0188	v0297	x0180	
V32_9	Sympathy score: Politician 9	*	var108	v0189	v0298	x0181	
V32_10	Sympathy score: Politician 10	*	var109		v0299	x0182	
V32_11	Sympathy score: Politician 11	*	var110		v0300	x0183	
V32_12	Sympathy score: Politician 12		var111				
Probability of ever voting							
Probability of ever voting for various parties							
V33_1	Probability of future vote for PVDA	var2044	var472	v0830	v0933	x0405	v110
V33_2	Probability of future vote for VVD	var2045	var473	v0831	v0934	x0406	v112
V33_3	Probability of future vote for D66	var2046	var474	v0832	v0935	x0407	v113
V33_4	Probability of future vote for CDA	var2049	var476	v0834	v0932	x0404	v111
V33_5	Probability of future vote for SGP	var2050	var477	v0835	v0937	x0409	v115
V33_6	Probability of future vote for SP			v0839	v0940	x0412	v117
V33_7	Probability of future vote for GPV	var2052	var478	v0836			
V33_8	Probability of future vote for RPF	var2053	var479	v0837			
V33_9	Probability of future vote for GroenLinks	var2056	var475	v0833	v0936	x0408	v114
V33_10	Probability of future vote for ChristenUnie				v0938	x0410	v116
V33_11	Probability of future vote for Lijst Pim Fortuyn				v0941	x0413	v118

Table continues on the next page

		1971-89	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006
Satisfaction with politics							
Government policy satisfaction							
V34_1	Effect government policy on economic situation	var2095	var032	v0070	v0149		v070
V34_2	Effect government policy on employment	var2096	var033	v0071	v0150		v071
V34_3	Effect government policy on respondent's finances	var2097	var034	v0072	v0151		v072
V34_4	General satisfaction with government	var2098	var035	v0073	v0152	x0122	v073
V34_5	Government policy satisfaction score		var036	v0074	v0153		v074
Satisfaction with (Dutch) democracy							
V35_1	Satisfaction with democracy in the Netherlands			v0745	v0154	x0123	v695
V35_2	Parties necessary for functioning of democracy			v0749	v0893	x0342	
Political issues							
National problems							
V36_1	Most important national problem – first answer	var2162	var016	v0045	v0077	x0112	v041
V36_2	Most important national problem – second answer	var2163	var017	v0046	v0078	x0113	v042
V36_3	Most important national problem – third answer	var2164	var018	v0047	v0079	x0114	v043
V36_4	Most important national problem – fourth answer	var2165	var019	v0048	v0080	x0115	v044
V36_5	Most important national problem – fifth answer	var2166	var020	v0049	v0081	x0116	v045
Political issues – euthanasia							
V37_1	Euthanasia – position of CDA	var2202	var057	v0110	v0204		v130
V37_2	Euthanasia – position of PvdA	var2203	var058	v0111	v0205		v131
V37_3	Euthanasia – perception of VVD	var2204	var059	v0112	v0206		v132
V37_4	Euthanasia – perception of D66	var2205	var060	v0113	v0207		
V37_5	Euthanasia – position of GroenLinks			v0114			
V37_6	Euthanasia – position of GPV			v0115			
V37_7	Euthanasia – position of Lijst Pim Fortuyn				v0208		
V37_8	Euthanasia – position of SP						v133
V37_9	Euthanasia – position of ChristenUnie						v134
V37_10	Euthanasia – respondent's preference	var2206	var061	v0116	v0209	x0124	v135
Political issues – differences in incomes							
V38_1	Income differences – position of CDA	var2183	var067	v0117	v0210		v140
V38_2	Income differences – position of PvdA	var2184	var068	v0118	v0211		v141
V38_3	Income differences – perception of VVD	var2185	var069	v0119	v0212		v142
V38_4	Income differences – perception of D66	var2186	var070	v0120	v0213		
V38_5	Income differences – position of GroenLinks			v0121			
V38_6	Income differences – position of GPV			v0122			
V38_7	Income differences – position of Lijst Pim Fortuyn				v0214		
V38_8	Income differences – position of SP						v143
V38_9	Income differences – position of ChristenUnie						v144
V38_10	Income differences – respondent's preference	var2187	var071	v0123	v0215	x0125	v145
Political issues – crime							
V39_1	Crime – perception of CDA		var062		v0222		v160
V39_2	Crime – perception of PvdA		var063		v0223		v161
V39_3	Crime – perception of VVD		var064		v0224		v162
V39_4	Crime – perception of D66		var065		v0225		
V39_5	Crime – position of Lijst Pim Fortuyn				v0226		
V39_6	Crime – position of SP						v163
V39_7	Crime – position of ChristenUnie						v164
V39_8	Crime – respondent's preference		var066		v0227		v165
Political issues – nuclear plants							
V40_1	Nuclear plants – position of CDA	var2172	var072	v0737	v0869		v170
V40_2	Nuclear plants – position of PvdA	var2173	var073	v0738	v0870		v171
V40_3	Nuclear plants – perception of VVD	var2174	var074	v0739	v0871		v172

Table continues on the next page

		1971-89	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006
V40_4	Nuclear plants – perception of D66	var2175	var075	v0740	v0872		
V40_5	Nuclear plants – position of GroenLinks			v0741	v0873		
V40_6	Nuclear plants – position of GPV			v0742			
V40_7	Nuclear plants – position of Lijst Pim Fortuyn				v0874		
V40_8	Nuclear plants – position of SP						v173
V40_9	Nuclear plants – position of ChristenUnie						v174
V40_10	Nuclear plants – respondent's preference	var2176	var076	v0743	v0875		v175
V40_11	Closing nuclear plants – Yes or No?	var2177					
Political issues – ethnic minorities							
V41_1	Ethnic minorities – position of CDA		var077	v0138	v0876	x0142	v180
V41_2	Ethnic minorities – position of PvdA		var078	v0139	v0877	x0143	v181
V41_3	Ethnic minorities – perception of VVD		var079	v0140	v0878	x0144	v182
V41_4	Ethnic minorities – perception of D66		var080	v0141	v0879	x0145	
V41_5	Ethnic minorities – position of GroenLinks			v0142	v0880	x0148	
V41_6	Ethnic minorities – position of GPV			v0143			
V41_7	Ethnic minorities – position of Lijst Pim Fortuyn				v0881	x0146	
V41_8	Ethnic minorities – position of SP					x0147	v183
V41_9	Ethnic minorities – position of ChristenUnie						v184
V41_10	Ethnic minorities – respondent's preference		var081	v0144	v0882	x0149	v185
Political (position) issues – European unification							
V42_1	European unification – position of CDA		var324	v0131	v0883		v190
V42_2	European unification – position of PvdA		var325	v0132	v0884		v191
V42_3	European unification – perception of VVD		var326	v0133	v0885		v192
V42_4	European unification – perception of D66		var327	v0134	v0886		
V42_5	European unification – position of GroenLinks		var328	v0135	v0887		
V42_6	European unification – position of SGP-GPV-RPF		var329	v0136			
V42_7	European unification – position of Centrumdemocraten		var330				
V42_8	European unification – position of Lijst Pim Fortuyn				v0888		
V42_9	European unification – position of SP						v193
V42_10	European unification – position of ChristenUnie						v194
V42_11	European unification – respondent's preference		var331	v0137	v0889		v195
Political issues – asylum seekers							
V43_1	Asylum seekers – position of CDA			v0124	v0216	x0126	v150
V43_2	Asylum seekers – position of PvdA			v0125	v0217	x0127	v151
V43_3	Asylum seekers – perception of VVD			v0126	v0218	x0128	v152
V43_4	Asylum seekers – perception of D66			v0127	v0219	x0129	
V43_5	Asylum seekers – position of GroenLinks			v0128		x0132	
V43_6	Asylum seekers – position of GPV			v0129			
V43_7	Asylum seekers – position of Lijst Pim Fortuyn				v0220	x0130	
V43_8	Asylum seekers – position of SP					x0131	v153
V43_9	Asylum seekers – position of ChristenUnie						v154
V43_10	Asylum seekers – respondent's preference			v0130	v0221	x0133	v155
Political issues – abortion							
V44_1	Abortion – position of CDA	var2167					
V44_2	Abortion – position of PvdA	var2168					
V44_3	Abortion – perception of VVD	var2169					
V44_4	Abortion – perception of D66	var2170					
V44_5	Abortion – respondent's preference	var2171					
Political orientations							
Postmaterialism							
V45_1	(Post-) materialist priorities – first choice		var487	v0655	v0796	x0325	
V45_2	(Post-) materialist priorities – second choice		var488	v0656	v0797	x0326	

Table continues on the next page

		1971-89	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006
V45_3	(Post-) materialist priorities – third choice		var489	v0657	v0798	x0327	
V45_4	(Post-) materialist priorities – fourth choice		var490	v0658			
Left-right rating							
V46_1	Left-right selfrating (1st wave)	var2079	var139	v0160	v0234	x0374	
V46_2	Left-right selfrating (post-election wave)		var390	v0770	v0905		v691
V46_3	Left-right rating of PvdA	var2080	var391	v0775	v0906	x0375	v680
V46_4	Left-right rating of VVD	var2081	var392	v0776	v0907	x0376	v681
V46_5	Left-right rating of D66	var2082	var393	v0777	v0908	x0377	v682
V46_6	Left-right rating of PPR	var2083					
V46_7	Left-right rating of CPN	var2084					
V46_8	Left-right rating of CDA	var2085	var395	v0779	v0910	x0379	v683
V46_9	Left-right rating of DS70	var2086					
V46_10	Left-right rating of SGP	var2087	var396	v0780	v0911	x0380	v685
V46_11	Left-right rating of PSP	var2088					
V46_12	Left-right rating of SP			v0784	v0914	x0383	v687
V46_13	Left-right rating of Boerenpartij	var2089					
V46_14	Left-right rating of GPV	var2090	var397	v0781			
V46_15	Left-right rating of RPF	var2091	var398	v0782			
V46_16	Left-right rating of Centrumpartij	var2092					
V46_17	Left-right rating of EVP	var2093					
V46_18	Left-right rating of GroenLinks	var2094	var394	v0778	v0909	x0378	v684
V46_19	Left-right rating of Centrumdemocraten		var399	v0783			
V46_20	Left-right rating of AOV/Unie 55+			v0785			
V46_21	Left-right rating of ChristenUnie				v0912	x0381	v686
V46_22	Left-right rating of Leefbaar Nederland				v0913	x0382	
V46_23	Left-right rating of Lijst Pim Fortuyn				v0915	x0384	v688
V46_24	Left-right rating of Partij vd Vrijheid						v689
V46_25	Left-right rating of EenNL						v690
Political cynicism and efficacy							
Political cynicism							
V47_1	Political cynicism 1: Politicians promise more than they can deliver	var2102	var411	v0800	v0926	x0395	v750
V47_2	Political cynicism 2: Ministers primarily self-interested	var2103	var412	v0801	v0927	x0396	v751
V47_3	Political cynicism 3: Friends more important than abilities to become MP	var2104	var413	v0802	v0928	x0397	v752
V47_4	Political cynicism score		var414	v0803	v0929	x0398	v753
External political efficacy							
V48_1	Political efficacy 1: MPs do (not) care about opinions of people like me	var2105	var406	v0790	v0916	x0385	v740
V48_2	Political efficacy 2: Parties only interested in my vote, not in my opinion	var2106	var407	v0791	v0917	x0386	v741
V48_3	Political efficacy 3: People like me have no influence on politics	var2107	var408	v0792	v0918	x0387	v742
V48_4	Political efficacy 4: So many people vote, my vote does not matter	var2108	var409	v0793	v0919	x0388	v901
V48_5	Political efficacy 5: MPs quickly lose contact with citizens			v0794	v0920	x0389	
V48_6	External political efficacy score	var2109	var410	v0795	v0921	x0390	v743
Internal political efficacy							
V49_1	Internal efficacy 1: Consider myself qualified for politics		var417	v0796	v0922	x0391	v745
V49_2	Internal efficacy 2: Good understanding of political problems		var421	v0797	v0923	x0392	v746
V49_3	Internal efficacy 3: Politics sometimes too complicated		var415	v0798	v0924	x0393	v747
V49_4	Internal political efficacy score		var432	v0799	v0925	x0394	v748

Table continues on the next page

		1971-89	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006
Political socialization							
Political environment during adolescence							
V50_1	Discussed politics at home when adolescent		var118	v0387	v0361		v353
V50_2	Recalls party preference of father?		var119		v0363		v355
V50_3	Party preference of father during adolescence – first answer		var120	v0390	v0387		v356 to v378
V50_4	Party preference of father during adolescence – second answer		var121	v0391	v0388		
V50_5	Recalls party preference of mother?		var122		v0390		v385
V50_6	Party preference of mother during adolescence – first answer		var123	v0392	v0414		v386 to v408
V50_7	Party preference of mother during adolescence – second answer		var124	v0393	v0415		
Religion in society							
Confessional organizations							
V51_1	Should there be confessional parties?	var2212	var481	v0860	v0960		v780
V51_2	Should there be confessional trade unions?	var2213	var482	v0861	v0961		
V51_3	Should there be confessional schools?	var2214	var483	v0862	v0962		v781
V51_4	Should there be confessional broadcasting organizations?	var2215	var484	v0863	v0963		
Confessional attitudes							
V52_1	Religion is a good guide in politics	var2216	var485	v0864	v0964	x0441	v782
V52_2	Confessional attitude score	var2217	var486	v0865	v0965		v783
Political information							
Political knowledge – photo questions							
V53a_1	Politician 1: Photo recognition	*	var344	v0190	v0301		v231
V53a_2	Politician 1: Party	*	var345	v0191	v0303		v233
V53a_3	Politician 1: Political function	*	var346	v0192	v0305		v235
V53a_4	Politician 2: Photo recognition	*	var347	v0193	v0307		v237
V53a_5	Politician 2: Party	*	var348	v0194	v0309		v239
V53a_6	Politician 2: Political function	*	var349	v0195	v0311		v241
V53a_7	Politician 3: Photo recognition	*	var350	v0196	v0313		v243
V53a_8	Politician 3: Party	*	var351	v0197	v0315		v245
V53a_9	Politician 3: Political function	*	var352	v0198	v0317		v247
V53a_10	Politician 4: Photo recognition	*	var353	v0199	v0319		v249
V53a_11	Politician 4: Party	*	var354	v0200	v0321		v251
V53a_12	Politician 4: Political function	*	var355	v0201	v0323		v253
V53b_1	Political knowledge score 0-4	*	var356	v0203	v0326		v254
V53b_2	Political knowledge score 0-12	*	var357	v0202	v0325		v255
Social and political participation							
Social participation & isolation							
V54_1	Member/supporter: Trade union	var2110	var461	v0724	v0811		v429
V54_2	Other union members in household		var463	v0729	v0837		v767
V54_3	Member/supporter: Professional organization	var2111		v0725	v0810		v758
V54_4	Member/supporter: Neighborhood organization	var2112		v0726	v0807		v760
V54_5	Member/supporter: Women's association	var2113		v0727	v0808		
V54_6	Member/supporter: Sports organization			v0728	v0809		v762
V54_7	Member/supporter: Environmental organization			v0720	v0801		v755
V54_8	Member/supporter: Third world, human rights or peace			v0723	v0804		v756
V54_9	Member/supporter: Other organizations	var2114					v764
Social isolation							
V55	Can one trust other people?			v0804	v0931	x0400	v900

Table continues on the next page

		1971-89	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006
Acting against unjust bill							
V57	Chance acting against unjust national bill	var2115	var444	v0842	v0942	x0416	v770
Political participation							
V58_1	Did (not) contact cabinet minister	var2133	var445	v0845			
V58_2	Did (not) contact member of parliament	var2134	var446	v0846			
V58_3	Did (not) sign a petition	var2135	var447	v0847			
V58_4	Did (not) try to activate interest group	var2136	var448	v0848			
V58_5	Did (not) try to activate radio or TV	var2137	var449	v0849	v0943		v771
V58_6	Did (not) try to activate political party	var2138	var450	v0850	v0944		v772
V58_7	Did (not) contact mayor or alderman	var2139	var451	v0851			
V58_8	Did (not) contact municipal councillor	var2140	var452	v0852			
V58_9	Did (not) join civic action group	var2141	var453	v0853	v0947		v775
V58_10	Did (not) join demonstration	var2142	var454	v0854	v0948		v776
V58_11	Did (not) try to activate newspaper	var2143	var455	v0855			
V58_12	Did (not) lodge a complaint	var2144	var456	v0856			
V58_13	Did (not) contact department official	var2145	var457	v0857			
V58_14	Did <not> participate in by government organized meeting/debate				v0945		v773
V58_15	Did <not> contact a politician or civil servant				v0946		v774
V58_16	Did <not> use the Internet, email or SMS				v0949		v777
V58_17	Did <not> perform Other activity	var2151			v0950		v778
V58_18	Civic participation score – 13 Item measure	var2152	var458	v0858			
V58_19	Civic participation score – 8 Item measure						
Expected effect political participation							
V59_1	Perceived effect – contact Mayor or Alderman	var2116					
V59_2	Perceived effect – contact Member of Parliamnt	var2117					
V59_3	Perceived effect – contact cabinet ministers	var2118					
V59_4	Perceived effect – contact department official	var2119					
V59_5	Perceived effect – contact councillor	var2120					
V59_6	Perceived effect – join civic action group	var2121					
V59_7	Perceived effect – sign petition	var2122					
V59_8	Perceived effect – join demonstration	var2123					
V59_9	Perceived effect – try activate newspaper	var2124					
V59_10	Perceived effect – try activate radio or TV	var2125					
V59_11	Perceived effect – try activate political part	var2126					
V59_12	Perceived effect – try activate interest group	var2127					
V59_13	Perceived effect – lodge a complaint	var2128					
V59_14	Perceived effect – acting with others	var2129					
V59_15	Perceived effect – try to activate media	var2130					
V59_16	Perceived effect – other means	var2131					
V59_17	Sense of civic competence score	var2132					
Legitimacy of social protest							
V60_1	Social protest and govt reaction – item 1	var2154					
V60_2	Social protest and govt reaction – item 2	var2155					
V60_3	Social protest and govt reaction – item 3	var2156					
V60_4	Social protest and govt reaction – item 4	var2157					
V60_5	Social protest and govt reaction – item 5	var2158					
V60_6	Social protest and govt reaction – item 6	var2159					
V60_7	Social protest and govt reaction – item 7	var2160					
V60_8	Legitimacy of social protest score	var2161					
Other							
V61	Time at which respondent voted		var515	v0624	v0695	x0226	v518

* (Star) in the column for the 1971-89 cumulative file denotes that the corresponding variables are taken from the individual files (i.e., those variables are not part of the 1971-89 cumulative data-set).

4.3 Tables of variable recodes

4.3.1 Administrative and contextual variables

A3 Type of interview record

A3 Type of interview record	1971-1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006
	var2003	var003	v0003	type	ir03	v004
1 First wave only	1 first interview only	2 only 1st interview	14 sample 1/w1-N: first wave (I) 13 sample 1/w1-C: interviewed CATI (I)	1 2002 first wave only	2 fresh	3 first wave only 4 first wave, drop off
2 First and second wave	2 first two interviews	1 1st and 2nd interview	12 sample 1/w1-w2: second wave (I) 11 sample 1/w1-w2-S: self-completion (I)	2 first and second wave 2002		2 first wave, second wave 1 first wave, second wave, drop off
3 First, second and third wave	3 all three interviews			3 first, second and third wave 2002/2003		
4 One interview only	5 one interview only					
5 Combined interview	4 combined interview					

A4 Pre- and post-election wave participation

A4 Pre- and post-election wave participation	1971-1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006
	var2003	var003	v0003	type	ir03	v004
1 Pre-election wave	1 first interview only	2 only 1st interview	14 sample 1/w1-N: first wave (I) 13 sample 1/w1-C: interviewed CATI (I)	1 2002 first wave only		3 first wave only 4 first wave, drop off
2 Pre- and post-election waves	2 first two interviews 3 all three interviews	1 1st and 2nd interv	12 sample 1/w1-w2: second wave (I) 11 sample 1/w1-w2-S: self-completion (I)	2 first and second wave 2002 3 first, second and third wave 2002/2003		2 first wave, second wave 1 first wave, second wave, drop off
3 Post-election wave	4 combined interview				2 fresh	

C1 Degree of urbanization

C1 Degree of urbanization	Codes in NKO 1971-1989 cumulative data-file	
	var2267 Degree of urbanisation	CBS Category*
1. Very strongly urban	13 C6 <HIGH>	The municipalities Amsterdam, Rotterdam, and 's-Gravenhage (=The Hague)
	12 C5	Large towns, over 100 000 inhabitants in urban center
2. Strongly urban	11 C4	Medium sized towns, 50 000-100 000 inhabitants in urban center
	10 C3	Medium sized towns, 30 000-50 000 inhabitants in urban center
3. Mildly urban	9 C2	Small towns, 10 000-30 000 inhabitants in urban center
	8 C1	Country-towns with 2000-10 000 inhabitants in urban center
	7 B3	Resident municipalities of commuters
4. Hardly urban	6 B2	As b1, but with 5000-20 000 inhabitants
	5 B1	Urbanized rural municipalities, smaller than 5000 inhabitants, over 50% of the economically active population working in manufacturing industry
5. Not urban	4 A4	Rural municipalities, 20-30% of the economically active population employed in the agrarian sector
	3 A3	Rural municipalities, 30-40% of the economically active population employed in the agrarian sector
	2 A2	Rural municipalities, 40-50% of the economically active population employed in the agrarian sector
	1 A1 <LOW>	Rural municipalities, over 50% of the economically active population employed in the agrarian sector

* Source: Codebook 1981, Appendix 8.

4.3.2 Socio-demographic background variables

D10 Typology of household composition.....	230
D13 Current employment status of respondent.....	230
D14a Profession and function of respondent.....	231
D20 Previous profession and function of respondent	231
D24 Father's profession and function during adolescence.....	231
D31 Profession and function of Head of Household.....	231
D36 Head of Household's former profession and function	231
D14c Occupation of respondent: ISCO(88) classification.....	232
D25c Father's occupation during adolescence: ISCO(88) classification	232
D32c Head of Household's occupation: ISCO(88) classification	232
D15 Sector in which respondent is currently employed.....	233
D28b Sector in which Head of Household is currently employed.....	233
D16a Branch of industry Respondent's job is in	234
D25a Branch of industry father's job was in during adolescence	234
D32a Branch of industry Head of Household's job is in	234
D16b Occupation of respondent: SBI 1993 classification.....	236
D25b Father's occupation during adolescence: SBI 1993 classification	236
D27 Identity of Head of Household	237
D28a Employment status of Head of Household.....	237
D30 Reason Head of Household does not work.....	237
D32b Head of Household's occupation: SBI 1993 classification.....	238
D37 Highest education (completed) of respondent	239
D39 Highest education (completed) of father	239
D41 Highest education (completed) of Head of Household	239
D49 Net annual income of respondent's household.....	240

D10 Typology of household composition

	1971-1989	1994	1998, 2002, 2003	2006
D10 Typology of household composition	Var2223 Typology of household composition	var004 Typology of household composition	Composition of household (v0281 I/37 ; v0418 I; x0462 III)	v410 Type of household
1 Single person household	1 Single person under 35 years 2 Single person, 35 years or older	1 single person	1 single person household 2 single person, others	1 single person household
2 Couple or 2 or more persons, no children	3 Married couple or household of two or more persons of 18 years or older; age of the housewife under 35 years 4 Married couple or household of two or more persons of 18 years of older; age of the housewife 35 years or older	2 couple	3 couple, no children 24 couple, no children, other(s)	2 unmarried couple without children 3 married couple without children
3 Couple or Household with children	5-12 Household with children (varying age)	3 couple with children	4-8, 14-16 Couple, child(ren) / Other(s)	4 unmarried couple with children 5 married couple with children
4 Single parent household	13. Single parent family	4 singl parnt wt child	9-13, 19-22 Single parent, child(ren) / Other(s)	6 single parent household
5 Other	14. Other type of household	5 other	25 other	7 other or unknown

D13 Current employment status of respondent

	1971-1989	1994	1998	2002, 2003	2006
D13 Current employment status of respondent	var2231 Employment status of respondent	var181 Q38a-b Daily activities of respondent	v0354 I/39a Current occupational status of respondent	Current occupational status of respondent (v0354 & v0464)	v433 Position in work community
1 Paid job (including part-time employment)	1 Empld by government 2 Empld-private emple 4 Employed-elsewhere	1 paid job	1 paid job	1 I am currently employed	3 paid employment 2 works less than 12 hours per week
2 Used to work	5 Was employed-disabled 6 Was empld-unemployed 7 Was employed-retired 8 Was empld-other		2 used to work	2 I was employed, but not anymore	
3 Does not work/has never worked	9 Never empld-study 10 Never empld-unempld 11 Never empld-other	(0 hours in "var182 Number of hours resp spends on work")	3 has never worked	3 I have never been employed	1 does not work
4 Self-employed	3 Self-employed	(2 Self-employed in "var183 Current occupational status of respondent")	(3 self-employed in "var0355 I/39aw Sector in which respondent is currently employed")	(3 self-employed in variables v0465 & x0504 "Sector in which respondent is currently employed")	5 self-employed
6 Other	97 Conscripted	2 military service 3 student 4 houseman, -wife 5 voluntary job 6 other			4 co working

D14a Profession and function of respondent
D20 Previous profession and function of respondent
D24 Father's profession and function during adolescence
D31 Profession and function of Head of Household
D36 Head of Household's former profession and function

D14a, D20, D24, D31, D36 Profession and function codes	1971-1989	1994	1998	2006
	var2232, var2237, var2241, var2246, var2250 Profession and function codes	var189, var197, var249, var230, var238 EGP occupation codes	v0361, v0370, v0468, v0443, v0452 EGP92 occupation codes	** ISCO codes
1 Manager / Controller	1 manager =>10 employee 2 manager <10 employee	1 higher controller 2 lower controller	1 I – higher controller 2 II – lower controller	1 Higher controller 2 Lower controller
2 Skilled nonmanual / Higher professional	3 higher professional	3 routine nonmanual	3 IIIa – skilled nonmanual	3 Skilled nonmanual
3 Employee / semi-skilled nonmanual / manual supervisor	5 higher level employee 6 middle level employee 7 lower level employee	7 manual supervisor	4 IIIb – semi-skilled nonmanual 8 V – manual supervisor	8 Manual supervisor
4 Self-employed		4 selfempl with empl 5 selfempl without empl	5 IVa – self-employed with employee(s) 6 IVb – self-employed without employees	
5 Farmer	4 farmer-marketgardenr	6 selfempl farmer	7 IVc – self-employed farmer	
6 Skilled manual	8 skilled laborer	8 skilled manual	9 VI – skilled manual	9 Skilled manual
7 Semi-skilled or unskilled manual	9 unskilled laborer	9 semi-skilled manual	10 VIIa – semi-skilled manual	10 Semi-skilled manual
8 Farm labor		10 farm labor	11 VIIb – farm labor	
9 Unclassified / uncodable	10 a profession 110-9930* 97 uncodable			

* These are originally unlabelled codes from studies 1971, 1972, and 1977.

** Based on Harry Ganzeboom's Tools for deriving status measures from ISKO-88 and ISCO-68. Retrieved on June 3, 2008, from: <http://home.fsw.vu.nl/hbg.ganzeboom/pisa/index.htm>.

D14c Occupation of respondent: ISCO(88) classification
D25c Father's occupation during adolescence: ISCO(88) classification
D32c Head of Household's occupation: ISCO(88) classification

2-digit ISCO	1994 – CBS codes
D14c, D25c, D32c ISCO(88) codes	var188 Respondent's current occupation var248 Father's occupation broephhk Hfdkw beroepcode 84 huidig beroep*
01 Armed forces	66. Military
10 Legislators, senior officials and managers	
11 Legislators and senior officials	12. Jurists, lawyers 31. Chief executive civil servants 20. Government functions on managerial and senior executive level
12 Corporate managers	40. Wholesale managers and deputy managers 51. Independent hotel keepers, restaurant keepers, cafe keepers, etc. 21. Managerial and senior executive functions not with the government
13 General managers	04. Aircraft officers, ships' officers 30. Supervising and managerial administrative personnel 50. Managers and deputy managers catering industries 60. Agricultural managers, horticultural managers, etc. 41. Retail managers and deputy managers
20 Professionals	17. Musicians, actors, performing artists
21 Physical, mathematical and engineering science professionals	02. Architects, high level engineers, related technicians 03. Middle level engineers, related technicians 08. Statisticians, mathematicians, systems analysts, related specializations
22 Life science and health professionals	06. Physicians, dentists, veterinarians
23 Teaching professionals	13. Teachers, instructors
24 Other professionals	09. Economists 11. Accountants 14. Ministers of religion and related functions 15. Authors, journalists, etc. 16. Sculptors, painters, photographers, artists
30 Technicians and associated professionals	
31 Physical and engineering science associate professionals	05. Biologists, biochemists, agricultural experts, related specializations
32 Life science and health associate professionals	07. Nurses and related specialists
33 Teaching associate professionals	
34 Other associate professionals	19. Professional and technical specialists not elsewhere specified 18. Professional sports(women) 57. Hairdressers, beauty specialists 01. Chemists, physicists, related technicians 33. Bookkeepers, cashiers, and other bookkeeping functions 34. Bookkeeping machine operators, accounting machine operators 35. Supervising and managerial transport and communications personnel 45. Supervising and managerial commercial personnel, purchasers 47. Insurance agents, real estate agents, other agents for commercial services, auctioneers 70. Supervising and managerial production staff
41 Office clerks	32. Secretaries, typists, etc. 39. Other administrative positions
42 Customer services clerks	52. Supervising domestic staff, etc. 53. Cooks, waiters, bartenders, etc. 59. Service functions
51 Personal and protective service workers	58. Firemen, police, guards, etc.
52 Models, sales persons and demonstrators	42. Independent wholesalers and agents 43. Independent shopkeepers 44. Independent retailers
61 Market-oriented skilled agricultural and fishery workers	61. Independent farmers, market gardeners, etc. 77. Food-makers and drink-makers 78. Tobacco processors
62 Subsistence agricultural and fishery workers	65. Unknown
70 Craft and related trade workers	75. Spinners, weavers, knitters, dyers, and other functions 76. Tanners, furriers, etc.
71 Extraction and building trade workers	95. Bricklayers, carpenters and other construction workers

Table continues on the next page

2-digit ISCO	1994 – CBS codes
72 Metal, machinery and related trade workers	72. Blast furnace workers, melting furnace workers, molders, other metal manufacturing workers 83. Smiths, instrumentmakers, mechanical metalworkers, etc. 84. Fitters, mechanics, instrument makers, assemblers of machines (excl. electr.)
73 Precision, handicraft, printing and related trade workers	88. Gold and silver smiths, diamond cutters, etc.
74 Other craft and related trades workers	81. Cabinetmakers and other woodworkers 87. Plumbers, pipe fitters, welders, sheet-metal and construction workers 73. Wood sawyers, paper makers, etc. 89. Glass blowers, pottery formers, and related functions 90. Rubber and plastic goods makers 99. Workers, not elsewhere specified 74. Chemical process-workers and related functions 79. Tailors, dressmakers, upholsterers, and related functions 80. Shoemakers, leather goods manufacturers 85. Electricians, assemblers of electrical goods, etc.
80 Masters; brigadiers in plants and factories; skilled factory workers NEC	91. Paper good workers and cartoning workers 92. Printers and related functions 93. Painters and related functions 94. Craft and industry occupations, not elsewhere specified
81 Stationary-plant and related operators	96. Power station engineers of stationary machines and installations
82 Machine operators and assemblers	
83 Drivers and mobile-plant operators	98. Drivers, deckhands, shunters, and related functions 97. Loaders, unloaders, packers, ground work and crane operators
91 Sales and services elementary occupations	46. Sales representatives, sales agents 48. Sales clerks and sellers (not including sales representatives) 36. Conductors (train, metro, bus, etc.) 37. Postal delivery staff 38. Switchboard operators, telegraphists, etc.
90 Elementary occupations	54. Domestic and service staff not elsewhere specified 55. Janitors, cleaning personnel 56. Laundry (wo)men, press (wo)men
92 Agricultural, fishery and related laborers	62. Agricultural workers 63. Rangers, foresters, forest workers, etc. 64. Fishermen, hunters, etc.
93 Laborers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transport	71. Mine workers, quarries, well drillers, etc. 82. Stonecutters, stonemasons, stonegrinders, etc.

* Available in 1994 Micro data-set.

D15 Sector in which respondent is currently employed
D28b Sector in which Head of Household is currently employed

	1971-1989
D15 Sector in which respondent is currently employed	var2231 Employment status of respondent
D28b Sector in which Head of Household is currently employed	var2245 Employment status of Head of Household
1 Public service	1 empld by government
2 Employed – private employer	2 empld-private emple (var2245: code “4 employed-elsewhere” refers predominantly to private employers, therefore D28b is recoded accordingly.)
3 Self-employed	3 self-employed
4 Cooperating in family business	
5 Other	4 employed-elsewhere 99 housewife, no job 97 conscripted
99 INAP	5 was employed-disabl 6 was empld-unemployed 7 was employed-retired 8 was empld-other 9 never empld-study 10 never empld-unempld 11 never empld-other

D16a Branch of industry Respondent's job is in
D25a Branch of industry father's job was in during adolescence
D32a Branch of industry Head of Household's job is in

1971-1989 Codes	1994 – CBS codes
Branch of industry codes	var188 Respondent's current occupation var248 Father's occupation
01 Agriculture, forestry, and fishery	60. Agricultural managers, horticultural managers, etc. 61. Independent farmers, market gardeners, etc. 62. Agricultural workers 63. Rangers, foresters, forest workers, etc. 05. Biologists, biochemists, agricultural experts, related specializations
02 Coal-mining	
03 Other mining of minerals (excluding oil and natural gas)	71. Mine workers, quarries, well drillers, etc.
04 Food industry (livestock farm products)	64. Fishermen, hunters, etc.
05 Food industry (other products)	77. Food-makers and drink-makers
06 Beverage and tobacco industries	78. Tobacco processors
07 Textile industry	79. Tailors, dressmakers, upholsterers, and related functions
08 Clothing industry	75. Spinners, weavers, knitters, dyers, and other functions
09 Leather and shoe industries	80. Shoemakers, leather goods manufacturers 76. Tanners, furriers, etc.
10 Wood processing and furniture industries	81. Cabinetmakers and other woodworkers
11 Paper industry	73. Wood sawyers, paper makers, etc. 91. Paper good workers and cartoning workers
12 Graphical industry and publishing firms	92. Printers and related functions
13 Petrochemical industry (including oil and gas production)	74. Chemical process-workers and related functions
14 Chemical, rubber and synthetics-processing industries	01. Chemists, physicists, related technicians 90. Rubber and plastic goods makers
15 Building materials, ceramics and glass-industries	89. Glass blowers, pottery formers, and related functions 82. Stonecutters, stonemasons, stonegrinders, etc.
16 Steel industry	83. Smiths, instrumentmakers, mechanical metalworkers, etc.
17 Metal products and engineering industries	72. Blast furnace workers, melting furnace workers, molders, other metal manufacturing workers 88. Gold and silver smiths, diamond cutters, etc.
18 Electrical engineering industry	84. Fitters, mechanics, instrument makers, assemblers of machines (excl. electr.) 85. Electricians, assemblers of electrical goods, etc. 96. Power station engineers of stationary machines and installations
19 Production of means of transportation	
20 Optical and other industries (wsw-workshops)	
21 Public utilities	13. Teachers, instructors 14. Ministers of religion and related functions 20. Government functions on managerial and senior executive level 31. Chief executive civil servants 66. Military
22 Construction and construction-engineering industry	02. Architects, high level engineers, related technicians 03. Middle level engineers, related technicians 95. Bricklayers, carpenters and other construction workers 93. Painters and related functions
23 Wholesale trade and retail trade	40. Wholesale managers and deputy managers 41. Retail managers and deputy managers 42. Independent wholesalers and agents 43. Independent shopkeepers 44. Independent retailers 45. Supervising and managerial commercial personnel, purchasers 46. Sales representatives, sales agents 48. Sales clerks and sellers (not including sales representatives)

Table continues on the next page

1971-1989 Codes	1994 – CBS codes
24 Hotels, pubs and restaurants	50. Managers and deputy managers catering industries 51. Independent hotel keepers, restaurant keepers, cafe keepers, etc. 52. Supervising domestic staff, etc. 53. Cooks, waiters, bartenders, etc. 54. Domestic and service staff not elsewhere specified 55. Janitors, cleaning personnel 56. Laundry (wo)men, press (wo)men
25 Repair of consumer goods	87. Plumbers, pipe fitters, welders, sheet-metal and construction workers
26 Navigation and aviation	04. Aircraft officers, ships's officers
27 Other transport and storage companies	35. Supervising and managerial transport and communications personnel 36. Conductors (train, metro, bus, etc.) 37. Postal delivery staff 97. Loaders, unloaders, packers, ground work and crane operators 98. Drivers, deckhands, shunters, and related functions
28 Communication companies	38. Switchboard operators, telegraphists, etc. 86. Operators of sound, radio, tv, or video equipment
29 Banking and insurance	47. Insurance agents, real estate agents, other agents for commercial services, auctioneers
30 Real estate	
31 Business-services	11. Accountants 09. Economists 12. Jurists, lawyers 33. Bookkeepers, cashiers, and other bookkeeping functions 34. Bookkeeping machine operators, accounting machine operators 39. Other administrative positions
32 Medical and veterinary services	06. Physicians, dentists, veterinarians 07. Nurses and related specialists
33 Culture and recreation	15. Authors, journalists, etc. 16. Sculptors, painters, photographers, artists 17. Musicians, actors, performing artists 18. Professional sports(wo)men
34 Service industries (non-business orientated)	57. Hairdressers, beauty specialists 58. Firemen, police, guards, etc. 59. Service functions
35 Other / Not classified	19. Professional and technical specialists not elsewhere specified 08. Statisticians, mathematicians, systems analysts, related specializations 21. Managerial and senior executive functions not with the government 30. Supervising and managerial administrative personnel 32. Secretaries, typists, etc. 65. Unknown 70. Supervising and managerial production staff 94. Craft and industry occupations, not elsewhere specified 99. Workers, not elsewhere specified

D16b Occupation of respondent: SBI 1993 classification
D25b Father's occupation during adolescence: SBI 1993 classification

SBI 1993 classification	1971-1989	1994	1994 (CBS codes)
	var2233, var2242 Branch of industry codes	sbitoph SBI-78 tak bedrijf huidig beroep*	var248 Father's occupation
1 Agriculture and fishery	01 agriculture, forestry, and fishery	0 Landbouw en visserij	05. Biologists, biochemists, agricultural experts, related specializations 60. Agricultural managers, horticultural managers, etc. to 64. Fishermen, hunters, etc. 65. Unknown
2 Industry	02 coal-mining to 20 optical and other industries (wsw-workshops) 22 construction and construction-engineering industry	1 Delfstoffenwinning 2 Industrie 3 Industrie 5 Bouwny/v-install bdr	01. Chemists, physicists, related technicians 02. Architects, high level engineers, related technicians 03. Middle level engineers, related technicians 19. Professional and technical specialists not elsewhere specified 30. Supervising and managerial administrative personnel 70. Supervising and managerial production staff to 84. Fitters, mechanics, instrument makers, assemblers of machines (excl. electr.) 87. Plumbers, pipe fitters, welders, sheet-metal and construction workers to 99. Workers, not elsewhere specified
3 Commercial service	23 wholesale trade and retail trade 24 hotels, pubs and restaurants 25 repair of consumer goods 26 navigation and aviation 27 other transport and storage companies 28 communication companies 29 banking and insurance 30 real estate 31 business-services	6 Handel/horeca/repag 7 Transp/opslag/commu 8 Bank/verzek/zak.dnst	04. Aircraft officers, ships's officers 09. Economists 11. Accountants 12. Jurists, lawyers 15. Authors, journalists, etc. 21. Managerial and senior executive functions not with the government 30. Supervising and managerial administrative personnel 33. Bookkeepers, cashiers, and other bookkeeping functions 35. Supervising and managerial transport and communications personnel 38. Switchboard operators, telegraphists, etc. to 57. Hairdressers, beauty specialists 85. Electricians, assemblers of electrical goods, etc. 86. Operators of sound, radio, tv, or video equipment
4 Non-commercial service	21 public utilities 32 medical and veterinary services 33 culture and recreation 34 service industries (non-business orientat ed)	4 Openbare nutsbedryven 9 Ovrq dienstverlening	06. Physicians, dentists, veterinarians 07. Nurses and related specialists 08. Statisticians, mathematicians, systems analysts, related specializations 13. Teachers, instructors 14. Ministers of religion and related functions 16. Sculptors, painters, photographers, artists 17. Musicians, actors, performing artists 18. Professional sports(wo)men 20. Government functions on managerial and senior executive level 31. Chief executive civil servants 32. Secretaries, typists, etc. 36. Conductors (train, metro, bus, etc.) 37. Postal delivery staff 58. Firemen, police, guards, etc. 59. Service functions 66. Military

* Taken from theidential 1994 Micro data-set.

D27 Identity of Head of Household

	1971-1989	1994	1998
D27 Identity of Head of Household	var2244 Head of HHold is <not> the respondent	var218 Identity of Head of Household	v0347 I/37 Main breadwinner in household
1 Respondent is H. of H.	1 H. of H. is the resp	1 respondent	1 respondent 3 respondent and partner equally
2 Respondent is NOT H. of H.	2 H. of H. osn't resp	2 someone else	2 partner 4 parent #1 5 parent #2 6 other situations

D28a Employment status of Head of Household

	1971-1989	1994	1998	2002
D28a Employment status of Head of Household	var2245 Employment status of Head of Household	var224 Current occupational status of Head of Household (grrouhkh in 1994 Micro data-set)	v0438 I/46aw Sector in which Head of Household is currently employed	v0536 I/4610 Occupation breadwinner: Sector
1 Paid job (including part-time employment)	1 Empld by government 2 Empld-private emple 4 Employed-elsewhere	1. employed	1 public service 2 on the payroll	1 public service 2 on a payroll
2 Used to work	5 Was employed-disabled 6 Was empld-unemployed 7 Was employed-retired 8 Was empld-other		("2 used to work" in "v0437 I/46a Current occupational status of Head of Household")	("2 was employed, but not anymore" in "v0535 I/4600 Occupational status breadwinner")
3 Does not work/has never worked	9 Never empld-study 10 Never empld-unempld 11 Never empld-housewif 12 Never empld-other	("3. student" or "4. houseman or housewife" in "VAR222 Daily activities of Head of Household")		("3 never been employed" in "v0535 I/4600 Occupational status breadwinner")
4 Self-employed	3 Self-employed	2. self-employed	3 self-employed	3 self-employed
6 Other	97 Conscripted	4 other 5. voluntary job in var222 6. other in var222	4 ambiguous	

D30 Reason Head of Household does not work

	1971-1989	1998	2002
D30 Reason Head of Household does not work	var2245 Employment status of Head of Household	v0439 I/46ah Reason Head of Household does not work	I/4630 Occupation breadwinner: Reason breadwinner does not work any longer
1 Permanently disabled or unfit to work	5 was employed-disabled	1 disability to work	1 permanent disabled or unfit to work
2 Retired / renteer	7 was employed-retired	3 retired	3 retired / renteer
3 Housekeeping / care for children / domestic work	8 was empld-housewife 11 never empld-housewif	4 domestic work	4 housekeeping / care for children
4 Other	6 was empld-unemployed 9 never employed-study 10 never empld-unempld 12 never empld-other 97 conscripted	2 job-seeking	5 other
99. INAP	1 empld by government 2 empld-private emple 3 self-employed 4 employed-elsewhere		

D32b Head of Household's occupation: SBI 1993 classification

D32b Head of Household's occupation: SBI 1993 classification	1971-1989	1994
	var2247 Branch of industry H. of H.'s job is in	var228 Branch of industry Head of Household's current occupation*
1 Agriculture and fishery	01 agriculture, forestry, and fishery	1. agriculture and fishery
2 Industry	02 coal-mining 03 other mining of minerals (excluding oil and natural gas) 04 food industry (livestock farm products) 05 food industry (other products) 06 beverage and tobacco industries 07 textile industry 08 clothing industry 09 leather and shoe industries 10 wood processing and furniture industries 11 paper industry 12 graphical industry and publishing firms 13 petrochemical industry (including oil and gas production) 14 chemical, rubber and synthetics-processing industries 15 building materials, ceramics and glass-industries 16 steel industry 17 metal products and engineering industries 18 electrical engineering industry 19 production of means of transportation 20 optical and other industries (wsw-workshops) 22 construction and construction-engineering industry	2. mining minerals 3. industry I 4. industry II 6. building industry, contractors
3 Commercial service	23 wholesale trade and retail trade 24 hotels, pubs and restaurants 25 repair of consumer goods 26 navigation and aviation 27 other transport and storage companies 28 communication companies 29 banking and insurance 30 real estate 31 business-services	7. trade, catering, repair consumer goods 8. transportation, communication, storage 9. banking, insurance, business services
4 Non-commercial service	21 public utilities 32 medical and veterinary services 33 culture and recreation 34 service industries (non-business orientated)	10. other services

* Taken from confidential 1994 Micro data-set.

D37 Highest education (completed) of respondent
D39 Highest education (completed) of father
D41 Highest education (completed) of Head of Household

	1971-1989	1994	1998	2002 & 2003	2006
D37, D39, D41 Highest education (completed)	var2252, var2254, var2256 Education codes	var174, var239, var220 Education codes	v0352, v0460, v0435 Highest education (completed) codes	v0463, x0502, v0534 Highest education (completed) codes	v430 Highest education (completed) codes
1 Elementary	1 LA <LOW> 2 LB	1 low	1 LA – elementary 2 LB – extended elementary	1 elementary 2 extended elementary	1 elementary
2 (Lower) Vocational	3 LV	2	3 LV – (lower) vocational	3 (lower) vocational	2 (lower) vocational
3 Secondary	4 U	3	4 U – secondary	4 middle level secondary	3 secondary
4 Middle level vocational, higher level secondary	5 UV 6 M 7 MV	4	5 UV – middle level vocational 6 M – higher level secondary 7 MV – higher middle level vocational	5 middle level vocational 6 higher level secondary	4 middle level vocational, higher level secondary
5 Higher level vocational, University	8 HBO 9 S 10 H <HIGH>	5 high	8 HBO – higher level vocational 9 S – university level vocational 10 H – university	7 higher level vocational 8 undergraduate level vocational 9 graduate level vocational 10 undergraduate level 11 graduate level	5 higher level vocational, university

D49 Net annual income of respondent's household

	1994 & 1998	2002 & 2003	2006
D49 Net annual income of respondent's household	var179 Q54 Income of respondent's household v0348 I/51 Net annual income of respondents household	v0557 I/510 Total net income per household x0545 III/2390 Total net income per household	v413 Disposable income of household (after taxes)
1 A Low	1 less than 17,000 f(Guilders)	1 A – 3 C	1 < 11166 (€)
2 B	2 17,000 – 21,000	4 D 5 E	2 11166 – < 14556
3 C	3 21,000 – 24,000	6 F 7 G	3 14556 – < 17201
4 D	4 24,000 – 28,000	8 H	4 17201 – < 19592
5 E	5 28,000 – 31,000	9 I 10 J	5 19592 – < 21519 6 21519 – < 23386
6 F	6 31,000 – 35,000	11 K	7 23386 – < 25155 8 25155 – < 26879
7 G	7 35,000 – 38,000	12 L	9 26879 – < 28597 10 28597 – < 30539
8 H	8 38,000 – 45,000	13 M	11 30539 – < 32474 12 32474 – < 34896 13 34896 – < 37262
9 J	9 45,000 – 52,000	14 N	14 37262 – < 39540 15 39540 – < 42199
10 K	10 52,000 – 59,000	15 O	16 42199 – < 45683 17 45683 – < 49899
11 L	11 59,000 – 73,000	16 P	18 49899 – < 55617 19 55617 – < 66501
12 M High	12 73,000 or more	17 Q – 24 X	20 66501 and more

4.3.3 Survey variables

V4_2 Has seen electoral debates.....	242
V6_2 Perceived accuracy of poll results.....	242
V16_1 Party choice – first reason.....	243
V16_2 Party choice – second reason.....	243
V23_1 Reasons for non-voting – first reason.....	246
V23_2 Reasons for non-voting – second reason.....	246
V23_3 Reasons for non-voting – third reason.....	246
V30 Faith in prospective prime ministers.....	247
V32 Sympathy scores for politicians.....	248
V34_1 Effect government policy on economic situation.....	248
V34_2 Effect government policy on employment.....	248
V34_3 Effect government policy on respondent's finances.....	248
V34_5 Government policy satisfaction score.....	248
V36_1 Most important national problem – first answer.....	249
V36_2 Most important national problem – second answer.....	249
V36_3 Most important national problem – third answer.....	249
V36_4 Most important national problem – fourth answer.....	249
V36_5 Most important national problem – fifth answer.....	249
V47_4 Political cynicism score.....	254
V48_6 External political efficacy score.....	254
V49_4 Internal political efficacy score.....	254
V50_3 Party preference of father during adolescence – first answer.....	255
V50_4 Party preference of father during adolescence – second answer.....	255
V50_6 Party preference of mother during adolescence – first answer.....	255
V50_7 Party preference of mother during adolescence – second answer.....	255
V51_1 Should there be confessional parties?.....	257
V51_2 Should there be confessional trade unions?.....	257
V51_3 Should there be confessional schools?.....	257
V51_4 Should there be confessional broadcasting organizations?.....	257
V52_2 Confessional attitude score.....	258
V53a Political knowledge – photo questions.....	258
V58 Civic participation.....	259
V60_8 Legitimacy of social protest score.....	259
V61 Time at which respondent voted.....	260

V4_2 Has seen electoral debates

	1986, 1989, 1994	1998	2002	2003	2006
V4_2 Has seen electoral debates	var179, var145, var275 Watched election debate on TV	v0601 II/3a Has seen electoral debates?	v0622 II/31 Has seen electoral debates	x0185 III/331 Has seen electoral debates	v504 Has seen electoral debates
1 seen debates entirely	1 watched	1 yes, all of it	1 yes, entirely	1 yes, saw more than one debate 2 yes, saw one whole debate	1 seen debates entirely
2 seen fragments		2 yes, parts of it	2 yes, for the greater part 3 yes, only for a small part	3 yes, saw major part of one debate 4 yes, saw little part of one debate	2 seen fragments
3 not seen at all	2 did not watch	3 no, not at all	4 no	5 no	3 not seen at all

V6_2 Perceived accuracy of poll results

	1986	1998	2002	2006
V6_2 Perceived accuracy of poll results	var090 Accuracy of election polls	v0101 I/18b Perceived accuracy of poll results	v0182 I/182 Perceived accuracy of poll results	v101 Perceived accuracy of poll results
1 Very poor	5 very poor	1 very well	1 very poor	1 very poor
2 Poor	4 poor	2 well	2 poor	2 poor
3 Moderate	3 mediocre		3 moderate	3 moderate
4 Good	2 good	3 bad	4 good	4 good
5 Very good	1 very good	4 very bad	5 very good	5 very good

V16_1 Party choice – first reason
V16_2 Party choice – second reason

	1971-1989	1994, 1998, 2006	2002 & 2003
Party choice – reasons	var2009, var2010	1994: var284, var285 1998: v0612, v0613 2006: v516	2002: v0648 to v0673 2003: x0197 to x0222
100 Representation of interests		100 Representation of interests	
101 Interests of workers, employees, working people	01 interests of workers, employees, working people	101 interests of workers, employees, working people	v0667 Party for the working man
102 Interests of shop owners, small business	02 interests of shopowners, small business, etc.	102 interests of shop owners, small business	
103 Interests of (free) enterprise, entrepreneurs, business (in general)	03 interests of (free) enterprise, entrepreneurs,	103 interests of (free) enterprise, entrepreneurs, business (in general)	
104 Recipients of (unemployment) benefits		104 recipients of (unemployment) benefits	
105 Interest of economically disadvantaged groups, the financial weak, minimum incomes		105 interests of economically disadvantaged groups, the financial weak,	v0665 Human/social/stands up for the weak
106 Interests of elderly	04 interests of pensioners, elderly people	106 interests of elderly	
107 Interests of youth	06 interests of youth	107 interests of youth	
108 Interests of women	07 interests of women	108 interests of women	
109 Groups other than 'people like me'		109 groups (not specified) other than "people like me"	
110 Respondent's own personal interests	10 respondent's own personal interests	110 respondent's own personal interests	
111 Representation of other interests	11 representation of other interests	111 representation of other interests	
112 general interest, the national interest	08 general interest	112 general interest, the national interest	
113 Representation of other interests	05 interests of ethnic and racial minorities (surinam, 09 regional interests	(1994: 113 (no label) (2006: 113 ordinary men) (2006: 114 local interests)	
150 Power relations		150 Power relations	
151 Satisfaction with coalition	12 satisfaction with incumbent coalition	151 satisfaction with coalition	v0653 Has done well in the most recent period
152 Dissatisfaction with coalition	13 dissatisfaction with incumbent coalition	152 dissatisfaction with coalition	v0658 To shake up the Netherlands/change/dissatisfaction with
153 Performance and policies of coalition without specification of (dis) satisfaction	14 performance and policies of the incumbent coalition	153 performance and policies of coalition without specification of	
154 Performance and policies of opposition without specification of (dis) satisfaction	15 satisfaction with performance and proposals of 16 dissatisfaction with performance and proposals of 17 performance and policies of the opposition without	154 performance and policies of opposition without specification of	
155 This party belongs in a government, this party should be included in a coalition		155 this party belongs in a government, this party should be included in a	
156 Other power relations issues		156 special support of party, strategic choice (1994: 156 thru 170) (2006: 156 protest vote; 157 wants left wing gov't; 158 wants a right wing gov't; 159 strategic vote)	v0651 Protest vote /discord v0657 Wanted a left/right government v0656 Counterweight/strategic vote/balance the Second Chamber

Table continues on the next page

	1971-1989	1994, 1998, 2006	2002 & 2003
Party choice – reasons	var2009, var2010	1994: var284, var285 1998: v0612, v0613 2006: v516	2002: v0648 to v0673 2003: x0197 to x0222
200 Beliefs & ideology		200 Beliefs (1994: unlabeled code 207)	v0655 Norms and values, religion
201 Religious beliefs	18 religious beliefs	201 religious beliefs	
202 Unspecified personal philosophy		202 unspecified personal philosophy	v0650 Fits with my ideas
203 Left ideological beliefs	19 left-ideological beliefs	203 left ideological beliefs	
204 Center ideological beliefs	21 center-ideological beliefs	204 center ideological beliefs	v0669 Center party (left nor right)
205 Right ideological beliefs	20 right-ideological beliefs	205 right ideological beliefs	
206 Liberal		206 liberal	
250 Candidates		250 Candidates	v0654 First candidate/attracted to people on the list
251 Candidates: Specified	22 Van Agt 23 Den Uyl 24 Wiegel 25 Terlouw 26 Marcus Bakker 27 Ria Beckers 28 other candidates mentioned specifically	251 party leader PvdA: Kok (posit. impact on p. ch.) to 264 other VVD candidates (negat. impact on p. ch.) 265 other specifically mentioned candidates (posit. impact on p. ch.) 266 other specifically mentioned candidates (negat. impact on p. ch.)	
252 Candidates: Unspecified	29 candidates: unspecified	267 candidates: unspecified (posit. impact on p. ch.) 268 candidates: unspecified (negat. impact on p. ch.)	
300 Party		300 Party	
301 Party identification, adherence, membership	30 party-identification	301 party identification, adherence, membership	v0649 Feeling/tradition/party membership v0662 Expression of support
302 General positive qualification concerning the party	31 general positive qualification concerning the party	302 general positive qualification concerning the party	v0670 Always intended to
303 Best party		303 best party	v0660 Best/good party
304 Party is least of all evils	32 party is least of all evils	304 party is least of all evils	v0663 Good alternative/less worst party
305 It is a large party		305 it is a large party	v0661 Big party/party should be the biggest/stable party
306 It is a small party		306 it is a small party	
307 New party		307 new party	
308 Party manifesto, policy of party, ideas of party	33 party-platform (in general, not specific)	308 party manifesto, policy of party, ideas of party	v0648 Good political program/ good ideas/clear/good policy
309 Other party-related reasons		309 (no label in 1994) 310 (no label in 1994) 311 (no label in 1994)	v0659 Trustworthy/safe v0664 Give them an opportunity v0671 Courage/honesty
400 Policy areas, specific platform planks		350 Policy areas, specific platform planks	
401 Abortion	34 abortion	351 abortion	
402 Euthanasia		352 euthanasia	
403 Foreigners, aliens	35 foreigners / aliens ('buitenlanders')	353 foreigners, aliens	
404 Crime, law and order, police	36 crime	354 crime, law and order, police	

Table continues on the next page

	1971-1989	1994, 1998, 2006	2002 & 2003
Party choice – reasons	var2009, var2010	1994: var284, var285 1998: v0612, v0613 2006: v516	2002: v0648 to v0673 2003: x0197 to x0222
405 Defence	37 defense (including references to: nuclear armament,	355 defense	
406 Nuclear armaments policy		356 nuclear armaments policy	
407 Economic problems	38 economic problems	357 economic problems	
408 Government budget deficits		358 government budget deficits	
409 Fighting (unemployment), stimulating employment	44 (un)employment	359 fighting (unemployment), stimulating employment	
410 Part-time jobs, reduction of working hours		360 part-time jobs, reduction of working hours	
411 Social benefits, policy		361 social benefits, policy	
412 Income policy	40 egalization of income ('inkomensnivellering')	362 income policy	
413 Social benefits; fraud	43 social security fraud	363 social benefits; fraud	
414 Decreasing income gap		364 decreasing income gap	
415 Increasing income gap		365 increasing income gap	
416 Deregulation, smaller role of government in society		366 deregulation, smaller role of government in society	
417 (Nuclear) Energy	41 nuclear energy / powerplants 39 energy	367 (nuclear) energy 368 "nuclear mess"	
419 Environmental pollution, care, problems	42 enviromental pollution (-care, -problems)	369 environmental pollution, care, problems	
420 Shortage of housing, cost of housing	45 shortage of housing ('woningnood')	370 shortage of housing, cost of housing	
421 Tax reforms		371 tax reforms	
422 Health care		372 health care	
423 Education		373 education	
424 Reform political system, reform administrative system		374 reform political system, reform administrative system	
425 Protection of property, house ownership		375 protection of property, house ownership	
426 Other specific issues	46 other specifically mentioned issues	376 (no label in 1994) 377 (no label in 1994)	v0666 Positive/neutral position against Fortuyn v0652 Internet/ 'stemwijzer'
700 Other reasons: tradition, imitation, impact of media		700 Other reasons: tradition, imitation, impact of media	
701 Tradition	47 tradition	701 tradition	
702 Imitation	48 imitation	702 imitation	v0668 Influenced by others
703 Impact of media	49 impact of media	703 impact of media	
704 Other, idiosyncratic reason	50 description inserted between categories 17 and 18 51 description inserted between categories 38 and 39 52 description inserted between categories 42 and 43	704 other, idiosyncratic reason 705 (no label in 1994) 706 (no label in 1994) 707 (no label in 1994)	v0672 Other

V23_1 Reasons for non-voting – first reason**V23_2 Reasons for non-voting – second reason****V23_3 Reasons for non-voting – third reason**

V23_1, V23_2, V23_3 Reasons for non-voting	1971-1989	1994 & 1998	2002	2003	
	var2015, var2016	1994: var297 1998: v0638, v0639, v0640		2002:v0758 to v0778 2003: x0294 to x0316	
010 Physical and personal circumstances	01 physical and personal circumstances	010 Physical and personal circumstances			
011 Respondent is handicapped or ill		011 respondent is handicapped or ill	v0758	x0294	Handicap or illness (too old to vote)
012 Family matters		012 family matters	v0759	x0295	Family matters
013 Weather		013 weather			
020 Absence	02 time and presence	020 Absence	v0760	x0296	Absence
021 No time		021 no time	v0761	x0297	No time
022 Too late		022 too late	v0762	x0298	Too late
023 Had to work		023 had to work	v0763	x0299	Had to work
024 Vacation		024 vacation	v0764	x0300	Holiday
030 No interest	03 lack of interest	030 No interest	v0765	x0301	Not interested
031 Doesn't care		031 doesn't care			
032 Forgot to vote		032 forgot to vote	v0766	x0302	Forgot to vote
033 Voting is not compulsory		033 voting is not compulsory		x0303	Voting is not compulsory
040 Beliefs	04 beliefs	040 Beliefs			
041 Religious beliefs		041 religious beliefs			
042 Does not vote on principle		042 does not vote on principle		x0304	Do not vote on principle
050 Could not make a choice		050 Could not make a choice	v0767	x0305	Could not make a choice
060 Protest	05 protest	060 Protest		x0306	Protest
061 Disagree with the parties (or government)		061 disagree with the parties (or government)	v0768		
062 Rejects the party system		062 rejects the party system			
070 Cynicism	06 political cynism, distrust	070 Cynicism			
071 No party has anything good to offer		071 no party has anything good to offer			
072 Parties (politicians) do not keep their promises		072 parties (politicians) do not keep their promises	v0769	x0307	Parties do not fulfill their promises
073 Does not trust the parties (politicians)		073 does not trust the parties (politicians)	v0770	x0308	Distrust of parties/ politicians
074 It is no use to vote		074 it is no use to vote	v0771	x0309	Voting is of no use
075 It does not yield anything to vote		075 it does not yield anything to vote		x0310	Voting brings nothing
076 Politics is a mess		076 politics is a mess	v0772	x0311	Politics is messy
077 Anti-parliamentarism	07 anti-parliamentarism				
080 Feelings of incompetence	08 feelings of incompetence	080 Feelings of incompetence		x0312	Feelings of incompetence
081 Does not know much about politics		081 does not know much about politics	v0773		
090 No summons	09 no summons	090 No summons			

Table continues on the next page

	1971-1989	1994 & 1998	2002	2003	
V23_1, V23_2, V23_3 Reasons for non-voting	var2015, var2016	1994: var297 1998: v0638, v0639, v0640		2002: v0758 to v0778 2003: x0294 to x0316	
091 Did not receive summons		091 did not receive summons	v0774	x0313	Did not receive notification
092 Lost summons		092 lost summons	v0775	x0314	Lost notification
100 Problems with proxy		100 Problems with proxy			
101 Forgot to give proxy to someone		101 forgot to give proxy to someone	v0776	x0315	Forgot to give proxy
102 Proxy did not vote		102 proxy did not vote	v0777		
110 Negative toward Europe		110 Negative toward Europe			
111 Europe too far away		111 Europe too far away			
112 Against Europe		112 Against Europe			
113 Own country first		113 Own country first			
114 Europe doesn't make sense		114 Europe doesn't make sense			
120 Other	10 (unknown content) 11 (unknown content)			x0316	Other
992 Uncodable	91 uncodable				
993 No further reasons		995 no other reason mentioned			

V30 Faith in prospective prime ministers

The following table shows the names of prospective premiers referred to in the Items V30_1 to V30_5.

	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994
V30_1 Faith in prospective premiers – Candidate 1	Dries Van Agt (CDA)	Dries Van Agt (CDA)	Ruud Lubbers (CDA)	Ruud Lubbers (CDA)	Elco Brinkman (CDA)
V30_2 Faith in prospective premiers – Candidate 2	Joop Den Uyl (PvdA)	Joop Den Uyl (PvdA)	Joop Den Uyl (PvdA)	Wim Kok (PvdA)	Wim Kok (PvdA)
V30_3 Faith in prospective premiers – Candidate 3	Hans Wiegel (VVD)	Ed Nijpels (VVD)	Ed Nijpels (VVD)	Joris Voorhoeve (VVD)	Frits Bolkestein (VVD)
V30_4 Faith in prospective premiers – Candidate 4	Ruud Lubbers (CDA)	Jan Terlouw (D66)			Hans Van Mierlo (D66)
V30_5 Faith in prospective premiers – Candidate 5	Jan Terlouw (D66)				

	1998	2002	2003	2006
V30_1 Faith in prospective premiers – Candidate 1	Jaap de Hoop Scheffer (CDA)	Jan Peter Balkenende (CDA)	Jan Peter Balkenende (CDA)	Jan Peter Balkenende (CDA)
V30_2 Faith in prospective premiers – Candidate 2	Wim Kok (PvdA)	Ad Melkert (PvdA)	Wouter Bos (PvdA)	Wouter Bos (PvdA)
V30_3 Faith in prospective premiers – Candidate 3	Frits Bolkestein (VVD)	Hans Dijkstal (VVD)	Gerrit Zalm (VVD)	Mark Rutte (VVD)
V30_4 Faith in prospective premiers – Candidate 4	Els Borst (D66)	Thom de Graaf (D66)	Job Cohen (PvdA)	Jan Marijnissen (SP)
V30_5 Faith in prospective premiers – Candidate 5		Pim Fortuyn (LPF)		Marco Pastors (Eén NL)

V32 Sympathy scores for politicians

The following table shows the names of politicians referred to in the Items V32_1 to V32_12.

		1986	1989	1994
V32_1	Sympathy score: Politician 1	Ruud Lubbers (CDA)	Ruud Lubbers (CDA)	Ruud Lubbers (CDA)
V32_2	Sympathy score: Politician 2	Joop Den Uyl (PvdA)	Wim Kok (PvdA)	Wim Kok (PvdA)
V32_3	Sympathy score: Politician 3	Ed Nijpels (VVD)	Joris Voorhoeve (VVD)	Elco Brinkman (CDA)
V32_4	Sympathy score: Politician 4	Hans Van Mierlo (D66)	Hans Van Mierlo (D66)	Frits Bolkestein (VVD)
V32_5	Sympathy score: Politician 5	Bert de Vries (CDA)	Bert de Vries (CDA)	Ina Brouwer (GL)
V32_6	Sympathy score: Politician 6	Wim Kok (PvdA)	Korthals Altes (VVD)	Mohamed Rabbae (GL)
V32_7	Sympathy score: Politician 7	Hans Wiegel (VVD)	Wim Deetman (CDA)	Gert Schutte (GPV/CU)
V32_8	Sympathy score: Politician 8		Ed Nijpels (VVD)	Hans Van Mierlo (D66)
V32_9	Sympathy score: Politician 9		Onno Ruding (CDA)	Thijs Woltgens (PvdA)
V32_10	Sympathy score: Politician 10		Rudolf de Korte (VVD)	Bas Van der Vlies (SGP)
V32_11	Sympathy score: Politician 11		Neelie Smit-Kroes (VVD)	Leen Van Dijke (RPF/CU)
V32_12	Sympathy score: Politician 12			Hans Janmaat (CD)

		1998	2002	2003
V32_1	Sympathy score: Politician 1	Wim Kok (PvdA)	Wim Kok (PvdA)	Jan Peter Balkenende (CDA)
V32_2	Sympathy score: Politician 2	Jaap de Hoop Scheffer (CDA)	Ad Melkert (PvdA)	Mat Herben (LPF)
V32_3	Sympathy score: Politician 3	Frits Bolkestein (VVD)	Jan Peter Balkenende (CDA)	Gerrit Zalm (VVD)
V32_4	Sympathy score: Politician 4	Els Borst (D66)	Hans Dijkstal (VVD)	Wouter Bos (PvdA)
V32_5	Sympathy score: Politician 5	Paul Rosenmöller (GL)	Thom de Graaf (D66)	Jan Marijnissen (SP)
V32_6	Sympathy score: Politician 6	Gert Schutte (GPV/CU)	Paul Rosenmoller (GL)	Femke Halsema (GL)
V32_7	Sympathy score: Politician 7	Jan Marijnissen (SP)	Kars Veling	André Rouvoet (CU)
V32_8	Sympathy score: Politician 8	Hans Janmaat (CD)	Jan Marijnissen (SP)	Bas Van der Vlies (SGP)
V32_9	Sympathy score: Politician 9	Thom de Graaf (D66)	Pim Fortuyn (LPF)	Thom de Graaf (D66)
V32_10	Sympathy score: Politician 10		Bas van der Vlies (SGP)	Job Cohen (PvdA)
V32_11	Sympathy score: Politician 11		Fred Teeven (VVD)	Pim Fortuyn (LPF)

V34_1 Effect government policy on economic situation

V34_2 Effect government policy on employment

V34_3 Effect government policy on respondent's finances

	1971-1989	1994	1998	2002	2006
V34_1 Effect government policy on economic situation					
V34_2 Effect government policy on employment	var2095	var032 Q12a	v0070 I/13a	v0149 I/131	v070
V34_3 Effect government policy on respondent's finances	var2096	var033 Q12b	v0071 I/13b	v0150 I/132	v071
	var2097	var034 Q12c	v0072 I/13c	v0151 I/133	v072
1 Favorably	1 positive effect		1 favorable		
2 Neither favorably nor unfavorably	2 hardly any effect		3 not (un)favorable		
3 Unfavorably	3 negative effect		2 unfavorable		

V34_5 Government policy satisfaction score

The data file contains three variables with information on respondents' evaluations of government policy. The 'positive' answers are those which indicate satisfaction with government policy, or the evaluation that government policy has been beneficial to economic development, or to employment. The Government policy satisfaction score (V34_5; range: 0 – 3) was established by counting the "positive" responses to questions V34_1, V34_2, and V34_4.

The source studies exclude the fourth relevant item (V34_3 Effect government policy on respondent's finances) because of its low scalability. This practice is followed in the present version as well.

Variable	Label	Codes of 'positive' responses
V34_1	Effect government policy on economic situation	1
V34_2	Effect government policy on employment	1
V34_4	General satisfaction with government	1,2

V36_1 Most important national problem – first answer
V36_2 Most important national problem – second answer
V36_3 Most important national problem – third answer
V36_4 Most important national problem – fourth answer
V36_5 Most important national problem – fifth answer

	National problems	2002	2003		1971-1989; 1998	1994	2006
Ethical issues							
101	Ethical problems	v0054	x0090	(Lack of) Norms and Values	25 ethical problems	2000 Ethical problems	11522 morality 11500 ethics/moral (general) 11540 ethics (other) 11520 decline traditional morals
102	Social relations	v0059	x0093	Social relations between people		43000 Social renewal thru 43010	11523 individualization 11521 change of mentality
103	Abortion	v0037	x0073	Abortion/euthanasia	66 abortion in general 67 increasing possibilities obtaining abortion 68 restriction obtaining abortion	2010 Abortion	11512 abortion
104	Euthanasia				69 euthanasia	2020 Euthanasia	11511 euthanasia
105	Church (religion)				53 religious problems	39000 Church (religion) thru 39020	11530 religious
Discrimination, Minorities, Immigrants							
151	Asylum seekers / Foreigners / Refugees	v0040	x0076	Asylum seekers/ foreigners (integration)	92 refugees / seekers of political asylum	9000 Refugees thru 10500 Guest workers (foreign) 11000 (Ethnic) minorities, immigrants thru 11630 12000 Multicultural society 45000 Immigration	11400 minorities general 11410 ethnic minorities/foreigners 11411 refugees
152	Discrimination/ Racism/Fascism	v0063	x0097	Discrimination/ racism	42 discrimination 45 discrimination 71 pro-emancipation 72 against emancipation 73 discrimination of women 82 fascism, racism, anti-Semitism 87 discrimination	12500 Racial issue thru 13500 23500 Emancipation thru 23540 52500 Fascism 53000 Nationalism	11420 discrimination (general) 11432 emancipation elderly
153	Intolerance/ Minorities	v0048	x0084	Lack of tolerance	41 favoritism towards ethnic minorities 43 ethnic minorities in general 44 favoritism Dutch minorities 46 Dutch minorities in general	43510 Political prisoners 43500 Human rights	11412 positive discrimination 11440 minorities/ discrimination (other)
Political issues							
201	Bureaucracy	v0041	x0077	Bureaucracy	49 lack of cooperation 50 red tape, bureaucracy	3000 Bureaucracy thru 3020 45500 Civil servants, public administration thru 45530	10320 bureaucracy
202	Corruption	v0042	x0078	Corruption		14000 Corruption thru 14030 14500 Fraud thru 14510	

Table continues on the next page

	National problems	2002	2003		1971-1989; 1998	1994	2006
203	Politics in general	v0067 v0057 v0058 v0038	x0101 x0074	Politics in general Rise of Pim Fortuyn Death of Pim Fortuyn Resignation government	14 democratization 48 low quality parties, politicians, system 51 a specific party politician 52 other political problems	24000 Governmental policy 24500 Cuts (Budget cuts) 38000 Politics 38020 Lack of interest thru 38610	10300 political (general) 10310 government (general) 10311 government policy 10350 government and citizen 10360 political (other)
204	Elections/electoral behavior	v0068	x0102	Elections/electoral behavior		38010 New (early) elections	10330 elections
Law and order issues							
251	Crime and violence	v0043	x0079	Crime/crime fighting	26 crime 28 other law and order problems	15000. Crime thru 15090 15500 Violence (aggression) thru 15550 17000 Child abuse thru 17010 17500 Animals: sorrow, protection, abuse 18000 Alcohol	10410 crime (general) 10460 crime (other)
252	Safety/Public order	v0061	x0095	Safety (violence on the streets, at the stadium)	27 demonstrations, riots, protest	16000 (Un)safety thru 16530 Vandalism 50500 Unrest in society thru 50510	10450 safety
253	Drugs	v0049	x0085	Tolerance policy (drugs)	65 government policy drug-addiction	18500 Drugs thru 18560	10440 drugs 10622 addiction
254	Police and Judiciary	v0072	x0106	The Police	85 riot-police behavior	19000 Judiciary thru 19070 19500 Police (force) thru 19570	10420 police and judicature
Economic issues							
301	Economy (General)	v0044	x0080	Economy	17 (lack of) economic growth	37000 Prosperity thru 37040 22000 Economy, economic problems thru 22520	10100 econ financial (general) 10121 too many retrenchments 10122 retrenchments spec sectors 10123 retrenchments (other) 10130 economy 10131 decreasing growth 10132 econ developments (other) 10140 econ financial (other)
302	Government spending				15 gvt spending too high 16 gvt spending for unjustified purposes	25000 (State) finances thru 25550	10111 wrong spending 10112 financial deficit
303	Agricultural policy				1 agricultural policy	27500 Agriculture and fishing thru 27580	
304	Inflation/Money	v0051	x0087	Inflation	18 other economical / financial problems	44500 Money	10820 prices (general) 10821 inflation
305	Poverty	v0039	x0075	Poverty	33 other references to income and prices	31500 Poverty thru 31510	10812 poverty
306	Income/Wages				32 incomes, wages, salaries 30 price and wage policy	31000 Income thru 31100	10810 income (general) 10813 income (other)
307	Living expenses				29 prices, the cost of living	44000 Living expenses thru 44010	10822 living expenses

Table continues on the next page

	National problems	2002	2003		1971-1989; 1998	1994	2006
308	Class differences	v0069	x0103	Division of poor and rich	31 differences in income	33000 Disenfranchised 33500 Division of society thru 41000 (Societal) inequality	10811 class differences
309	Taxes	v0073	x0107	Taxation system	2 taxes too high 3 taxes too low 7 other references to taxes	4000 Tax (pressure) thru 4520	10830 taxes (general) 10831 tax levy 10832 tax dodging 10833 taxes (other) 10840 income prices taxes (other)
310	Employment/unemployment	v0066	x0100	Employment/unemployment	74 unemployment general 75 shortage of certain kinds of workers 76 other references to employment 86 reduction of working hours 88 youth-unemployment 89 strikes, walk-outs, labor disputes	29000 Work thru 30551 30000 Unemployment thru 30551 42500 Labor market	10900 employment (general) 10910 unemployment groups 10930 work conditions 10931 work pressure 10932 labor relations 10920 shortage labor groups 10940 work employment (other)
International issues							
351	European issues	v0045 v0046	x0081 x0082	Euro European integration	8 common market, Europe	21500 European community thru 21530	
352	International relations				10 foreign relations, other	21000 International relations thru 21090	10340 foreign affairs
353	War/Peace/Defense		x0108	War against Iraq	13 defense in general 12 nuclear weapons	20500 Peace 20000 Defense thru 20052	10500 military (general) 10520 war and peace 10540 military (other) 10530 allies and missions 10510 military expenditure
Transport & Urban issues							
401	Traffic and transport	v0047	x0083	Mobility/traffic	62 other references to traffic	28500 Traffic thru 28550 28570 thru 28610	11000 traffic and transport 11040 infrastructure 11050 traffic transport (other)
402	Public transport	v0056	x0092	Public transport	61 public transportation	28560 public transportation thru 28562	11010 public transport 11020 traffic jams 11030 traffic safety
403	Town and country planning				55 references to town & country planning	50000 Big cities 51000 Town and country planning thru 51010	11320 city/rural planning
Health care and education issues							
451	Health care issues	v0050	x0086	Health care	36 care for other groups 37 other references to social work 64 public health, financial problems 70 public health in general	7000 Health thru 8540 6500 Physically and mentally handicapped thru 6520	10230 care services 10600 health (care) (general) 10610 healthcare 10623 other health care groups 10630 care groups 10632 disabled 10633 other groups 10650 hospitals 10660 health (care) (other)

Table continues on the next page

	National problems	2002	2003		1971-1989; 1998	1994	2006
452	Elderly care	v0065	x0099	Elderly care	35 care for the elderly	5500 Elderly people thru 5590	10631 elderly
453	Education	v0055	x0091	Education /shortage of teaching staff	47 education and science	26500 Study loans thru 26530 37500 Education thru 37610	10710 education (general) 10740 education science (other) 10720 access to education 10730 knowledge and science
Energy and environment							
501	Energy			na	19 nuclear power (general) 20 nuclr power-growth 21 nuclr power-protest 22 energy in general 23 shortage of energy 24 other references to energy	27000 Energy thru 27031	11230 energy 11231 nuclear energy
502	Environment (pollution)	v0053	x0089	Environment (pollution)	38 refuse, dumping toxic waste 39 environmental pollution 40 other references env. pollution	28000 Environment (pollution) thru 28130	11200 environment 11210 pollution 11220 nature conservation 11240 environment (other)
Welfare issues							
551	Welfare services in general	v0060	x0094	Social provisions (benefits)	56 welfare benefits are too high 57 welfare benefits are too low 58 administration of welfare services 59 welfare services in general 60 government subsidies 63 welfare state	32000 Those on welfare thru 32700 34000 Link between wages and social benefits 34500 (Shortcomings of) social system 35000 (Dependence on) welfare state 35500 Social-economic problems	10200 welfare state (general) 10210 welfare services 10211 decreasing welfare services 10212 welfare services groups 10213 misuse welfare services 10214 pensions
		v0071	x0105	Disablement Insurance Act	5 evasion social security levies employees 4 welfare fraud empls 6 evasion social security levies otherwise	36000 Social policy/problems thru 36500 52000 Child benefits 23000 Child care 51500 Absence through sickness 39500 Well-being	10215 child care 10220 welfare state (other) 10640 social services 10216 'future elderly'
552	Housing	v0064	x0098	Housing	77 housing shortage: quantitative thru 80 other references to housing 34 squatting	40000 Housing thru 40120	11100 housing (general) 11110 housing shortage 11130 housing prices 11120 living environment
553	Youth	v0052	x0088	Youth		26000 Youth thru 26050	
Population Issues							
601	Overpopulation, population policy	v0070	x0104	The Netherlands become too full (immigrants, roads, houses)	54 overpopulation, population policy	5000 Population growth thru 5040	11300 popul spat planning (general) 11310 population structure 11330 popul spat planning (other)

Table continues on the next page

	National problems	2002	2003		1971-1989; 1998	1994	2006
602	Ageing of population	v0062	x0096	Ageing of population		6000 Greying thru 6010	
700	Leisure issues						
701	Media/Culture/ Recreation				11 culture and recreation	1000. Cultural policy 1500. Media thru 1550	11630 recreation 11640 other leisure 11610 media 11620 sports
Other Issues							
801	Other	v0074	x0109	Other	9 developmental aid 81 other problems	80000. Miscellaneous 40500. Royal family 41500. Technological development 42000. Privacy protection 70000. General tendencies thru 70310 2500. Aids	
901	No problems				90 no problems	90000 no problems	99991 There are no problems

V47_4 Political cynicism score

The data file contains three variables dealing with political cynicism. The Political cynicism score (V47_4; range: 0 – 3) was established by counting the three “positive” responses to questions V47_1, V47_2, and V47_3. The source studies provide details about scalability of the scale Items. Small differences in coding may appear due to the fact that DK answers are treated as low cynicism answers in some previous studies.

Variable	Label	Codes of 'positive' responses
V47_1	Political cynicism 1: Politicians promise more than they can deliver	1,2
V47_2	Political cynicism 2: Ministers primarily self-interested	1,2
V47_3	Political cynicism 3: Friends more important than abilities to become MP	1,2

V48_6 External political efficacy score

The data file contains five variables dealing with external political efficacy. The External political efficacy score (V48_6; range: 0 – 5) was established by counting the “positive” responses to questions V48_1 to V48_5. The source studies provide details about scalability of the scale Items. Small differences in coding may appear due to the fact that DK answers are treated as low efficacy answers in some previous studies. Note that scales are based on unequal number of source Items across different election studies.

Variable	Label	Codes of 'positive' responses
V48_1	Political efficacy 1: MPs do (not) care about opinions of people like me	2
V48_2	Political efficacy 2: Parties only interested in my vote, not in my opinion	2
V48_3	Political efficacy 3: People like me have no influence on politics	2
V48_4	Political efficacy 4: So many people vote, my vote does not matter	2
V48_5	Political efficacy 5: MPs quickly lose contact with citizens	2

V49_4 Internal political efficacy score

The data file contains three variables dealing with internal political efficacy. The Internal political efficacy score (V49_4; range: 0 – 3) was established by counting the “positive” responses to questions V49_1, V49_2, and V49_3.

The source studies provide details about scalability of the scale Items. Small differences in coding may appear due to the fact that DK answers are treated as low efficacy answers in some previous studies.

Variable	Label	Codes of 'positive' responses
V49_1	Internal efficacy 1: Consider myself qualified for politics	1,2
V49_2	Internal efficacy 2: Good understanding of political problems	1,2
V49_3	Internal efficacy 3: Politics sometimes too complicated	3,4

V50_3 Party preference of father during adolescence – first answer
V50_4 Party preference of father during adolescence – second answer
V50_6 Party preference of mother during adolescence – first answer
V50_7 Party preference of mother during adolescence – second answer

		1971, 1972, 1977	1986, 1989, 1994	1998, 2002	2006		
V50_3, V50_4 V50_6, V50_7		1971: var281 1972: var305; var752 1977: v545; v547	1986: var151, var153 1989: var089, var091 1994: var120, var121, var123, var124	1998: v0390, v0391, v0392, v0393 2002: v0387, v0388, v0414, v0415	Father	Mother	
11	SDP/CPH/CPN	1 CPN 2 CPH 00. Communists (06, 06)* 04. Communistische, Arbeiders Partij 03. Sociaal Democratische Partij	5 CPN (6, 57)**	19 CPN (1998: 68 NCPN)	v371	v401	CPN
12	RSP/RSAP/RSV/OSP	22. Revolutionaire Socialistische Arbeiders Party 23. Revolutionaire Socialistische Party 24. Onafhankelyke Socialistische Party 05. Revolutionair Socialistisch Verbond	44 OSP				
13	PPR		6 PPR (7, 58)	20 PPR	v373	v403	PPR
14	PSP	21. PSP 25. Socialistische Unie	7 PSP (8, 59)	21 PSP	v372	v402	PSP
15	Socialistische partij	27. Socialistische partij					
18	GroenLinks			5 GroenLinks	v359	v389	GroenLinks
19	SP		49 SP (14, 11)	12 SP	v360	v390	SP
20	Socialists – Unspecified/Other	20. Socialists 10. Social democrats					
21	Vrije Socialistische Vereniging	26. Vrije Socialistische Vereniging					
22	SDAP	13 SDAP	34 SDAP (43, 53)	18 SDAP	v370	v400	SDAP
24	PvdA	11 PVDA	1 PVDA	1 PVDA	v357	v387	PvdA
25	DS '70	78 DS70	35 DS70 (46, 56)	(1998: 24 DS70)			
30	Catholic party – Unspecified/Other	60 Catholics 63. Algemene Bond van R.K.Kiesverenigingen in Nederland					
31	RKSP	62 Rom.Kath.Staatsp.	37 RKSP (44, 54)				
32	RKVP/KDP	66. Rooms Katholieke Volkspartij 65. Katholieke Democratische Partij					
33	KVP	61 KVP	30 KVP (40, 50)	15 KVP/RKSP	v367	v397	KVP/RKSP
34	Lijst Welter / KNP	64. Katholieke Nationale Partij					
35	RKPN						
40	Protestant party – Unspecified/Other	40. Protestant Groepings 55 Prot.Unie 51. Christen Democratische Partij 56. Christelijke Volkspartij 52. Christelijk Sociale Partij 53. Bond Van Christen- Socialisten 48. Vrij-Anti- Revolutionairen	33 AR-CHU				
41	SGP	43 SGP	8 SGP (9, 6)	6 SGP	v363	v393	SGP
42	ARP	41 ARP	31 ARP (42, 52)	17 ARP	v368	v398	ARP

Table continues on the next page

		1971, 1972, 1977	1986, 1989, 1994	1998, 2002	2006		
V50_3, V50_4 V50_6, V50_7		1971: var281 1972: var305; var752 1977: v545; v547	1986: var151, var153 1989: var089, var091 1994: var120, var121, var123, var124	1998: v0390, v0391, v0392, v0393 2002: v0387, v0388, v0414, v0415	Father	Mother	
43	CHU	42 CHU 49. Christelijk Historische Kiezersbond 47. Christelijk Historische Partij 46. (Friese)Bond Van Kiesverenigingen Op Christelijk Historische Grondslag	32 CHU (41, 51)	16 CHU	v369	v399	CHU
44	GPV	44 GPV	9 GPV (10, 7)	7 GPV	v375	v405	GPV
45	RPF		RPF (11, 8)	8 RPF	v374	v404	RPF
46	EVP		EVP (1994: 60)	14 EVP			
47	CDU	45. CDU					
48	HGSP	54. Hervormde Gereformeerde Staatsparty					
49	ChristenUnie				v362	v392	ChristenUnie
50	Liberals – unspecified	30 Liberalen	48 D66-VVD 41 Liberale Partij				
51	Vrijheidsbond/LSP/LU	33 Lib.Staatsp. 35. Bond Van Vrije Liberalen 34. Economische Bond 36 Lib.Unie	40 Vrijheidsbond (47)				
55	VDB	38 Vryz.Dem.Bond	VDB (1989: 48)				
56	VVD	31 VVD	3 VVD 39 PVDV	3 VVD	v358	v388	VVD
57	LIB.VOLKSP.	32 Lib.Volksp.					
58	D66	12 D^66	4 D66	4 D66	v361	v391	D66
61	CDA		2 CDA	2 CDA	v356	v386	CDA
71	NSB	77 NSB	38 NSB				
72	Centrumpartij			13 Centrumpartij			
73	Centrumdemocraten		(1989: 12 CD/ Centrumpartij; 1994: 9 Centrumdemocraten)	9 Centrumdemocraten			
81	BoerenPartij	71 Boerenparty	36 BoerenPartij (45, 55)	22 BoerenPartij			
82	LN				v365	v395	Leefbaar Nederland
83	LPF				v364	v394	LPF
84	PVV						
91	NMP			62 NMP			
92	Plattelandersbond	73 Plattelandersbond					
93	Unie 55+			10 Unie 55+			
94	AOV			11 AOV			
95	PvdD				v366	v396	Partij voor de Dieren

Table continues on the next page

		1971, 1972, 1977	1986, 1989, 1994	1998, 2002	2006		
V50_3, V50_4 V50_6, V50_7		1971: var281 1972: var305; var752 1977: v545; v547	1986: var151, var153 1989: var089, var091 1994: var120, var121, var123, var124	1998: v0390, v0391, v0392, v0393 2002: v0387, v0388, v0414, v0415	Father	Mother	
96	Foreign parties		50 SP-Belgium (1989: 50 VHP- Surinam, 51 MSI-Italy, 52 CDU-Germany, 53 DC-Italy) (1994: 70 Surinamese party, 71 Other foreign party)				
97	Local party/Local list			30 local party (2002: 23 Local list)			
100	Other	70. Others 72. Neutrale Partij 74. Nationale Boeren-, Tuinders-, en Middenstands- Partij 75. Middenpartij Voor Stad en Land 76. Bond Voor Nationaal Herstel 14. Sociaal-Democratische Bond 15. Socialistische Bond 37. Radicale Partij	42 VSB 43 VD 45 Indo Eur. Verbond 46 VHP 47 PDI 51 CHU-LIBERAAL 53 PVDA-KVP 52 ARP-VVD (1989: 49 Verenigde Democraten) (1994: 61 PvdA,CDA) (1994: 62 6 parties mentioned)	23 Senioren 2000 (2002: 24 Other) 61 Nederland Mobiel 63 De Groenen 64 Natuurwetpartij 65 KPP 66 VIP 67 NSOV 69 IDEALISTEN/JIJ 70 Het Kiezers Collectief	v376	v406	Other

V51_1 Should there be confessional parties?**V51_2 Should there be confessional trade unions?****V51_3 Should there be confessional schools?****V51_4 Should there be confessional broadcasting organizations?**

	1971-1989, 1994	1998	2002, 2006
	1971-1989: var2212, var2213, var2214, var2215 1994: var481, var482, var483, var484	v0860, v0861, v0862, v0863	2002: v0960, v0961, v0962, v0963 2006: v780, v781
1 Should be secular	1 Should be secular	1 secular	1 should be secular
2 Should also be interconfessional	2 Should be interconfessional	2 also religious	
3 Should also be religious		3 also confessional	
4 Should also be confessional	3 Should be confessional	4 also interconfessional	2 also confessional parties

V52_2 Confessional attitude score

The data file contains five variables dealing with confessional orientation in politics. The Confessional attitude score (V52_2; range: 0 – 5) was established by counting the “positive” responses to questions V51_1-V51_4, and V52_1.

The source studies provide details about scalability of the scale Items.

Note that in 2006, the score is based on three Items (instead of 5), so the maximum score is 3.

Suggested recode for comparative analyses:

If A1=2006 and V52_2=2 then V52_2=4.

If A1=2006 and V52_2=3 then V52_2=5.

Variable	Label	Codes of 'positive' responses
V51_1	Should there be confessional parties?	2, 3, 4
V51_2	Should there be confessional trade unions?	2, 3, 4
V51_3	Should there be confessional schools?	2, 3, 4
V51_4	Should there be confessional broadcasting organizations?	2, 3, 4
V52_1	Religion is a good guide in politics	1, 2

Note that the question wording of the source questions is not identical across different studies, so that these questions and the scale score should be compared over time with special caution. For more details consult the codebook of the source studies.

V53a Political knowledge – photo questions

The questions about name, party and function of four politicians whose photograph was shown, are summarized in two distinct scale scores, V53b_1 and V53b_2.

The Political Knowledge score 0-4 (V53b_1) was established by counting the number of times the respondent correctly answered all three questions regarding a politician in the series of questions. The Political Knowledge score 0-12 (V53b_2) was established by counting the “positive” responses to all twelve questions.

The following table shows the names of politicians referred to in the Items V53a_1 to V53a12, following the order in the original studies.

	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989
Politician 1	Ruud Lubbers (CDA)	Ruud Lubbers (CDA)	Dick Dolman (PvdA)	Hans van Mierlo (D66)	Ria Beckers (GL)
Politician 2	Joop Den Uyl (PvdA)	Joop Den Uyl (PvdA)	Til Gardeniers (CDA)	Ria Beckers (GL)	Korthals Altes (VVD)
Politician 3	Dries Van Agt (CDA)	Dries Van Agt (CDA)	Ruud Lubbers (CDA)	Erica Terpstra (VVD)	Hans Kombrink (PvdA)
Politician 4	Jan Terlouw (D66)	Jan Terlouw (D66)	Ed Nijpels (VVD)	Onno Ruding (CDA)	Bert de Vries (CDA)

	1994	1998	2002	2006
Politician 1	Ina Brouwer (GL)	Jacques Wallage (PvdA)	Tineke Netelenbos (PvdA)	Wouter Bos (PvdA)
Politician 2	Thijs Woltgens (PvdA)	Thom de Graaf (D66)	Boris Dittrich (D66)	Rita Verdonk (VVD)
Politician 3	Bert de Vries (CDA)	Annemarie Jorritsma (VVD)	Annemarie Jorritsma (VVD)	Maxime Verhagen (CDA)
Politician 4	Robin Linschoten (VVD)	Piet Bukman (CDA)	Jeltje van Nieuwenhoven (PvdA)	Agnes Kant (SP)

V58 Civic participation

Civic participation has been operationalized by somewhat different sets of Items in different election studies. As a result, there are two summary measures, V58_18 and V58_19, based on different subsets of Items.

The Civic participation score – 13 Item measure (V58_18) was established by counting the “positive” or affirmative responses to questions V58_1 to V58_13, and applies to studies from 1971 to 1998. Civic participation score – 8 Item measure (V58_19) was established by counting the “positive” or affirmative responses to questions V58_5, V58_6, V58_9, V58_10, V58_14, V58_15, V58_16, V58_17, and applies to studies from 2002 and 2006.

The following table shows the composition of the summary scales.

V58_18	Civic participation score – 13 Item measure		1971-1998
V58_19	Civic participation score – 8 Item measure	2002, 2006	
V58_1	Did (not) contact cabinet minister		x
V58_2	Did (not) contact member of parliament		x
V58_3	Did (not) sign a petition		x
V58_4	Did (not) try to activate interest group		x
V58_5	Did (not) try to activate radio or TV	x	x
V58_6	Did (not) try to activate political party	x	x
V58_7	Did (not) contact mayor or alderman		x
V58_8	Did (not) contact municipal councilor		x
V58_9	Did (not) join civic action group	x	x
V58_10	Did (not) join demonstration	x	x
V58_11	Did (not) try to activate newspaper		x
V58_12	Did (not) lodge a complaint		x
V58_13	Did (not) contact department official		x
V58_14	Did (not) participate in by government organized meeting/debate	x	
V58_15	Did (not) contact a politician or civil servant	x	
V58_16	Did (not) use the Internet, email or SMS	x	
V58_17	Did (not) perform Other activity	x	

V60_8 Legitimacy of social protest score

The questions concerning the respondents (dis)approval of various modes of social protest, as well as various forms of government reaction to such protest (V60_1 to V60_7) can be considered as operationalizations of the single construct ‘legitimacy of social protest’.

V60_8 Legitimacy of social protest score is constructed by counting “positive” responses to questions V60_1 to V60_7 (scale range is 0-7). ‘Positive’ is defined as responses approving of social protest, and disapproving of punitive government reactions, as shown in table below.

Legitimacy of social protest has been operationalized by somewhat different sets of Items in different election studies. As a result, the summary measure V60_8 is based on different subsets of Items. The source studies provide details about scalability of the scale Items. Please consult the original codebooks for more details.

Variable	Label	Codes of ‘positive’ responses
V60_1	Social protest and govt reaction-item 1	1
V60_2	Social protest and govt reaction-item 2	3
V60_3	Social protest and govt reaction-item 3	1
V60_4	Social protest and govt reaction-item 4	3
V60_5	Social protest and govt reaction-item 5	1
V60_6	Social protest and govt reaction-item 6	3
V60_7	Social protest and govt reaction-item 7	1

V61 Time at which respondent voted

V61 Time at which respondent voted	1994	1998	2002, 2003	2006
	var515	v0624	v0695 x0226	v518
1 Between 7.30 and 9.00 am	1 8.00 – 9.00 am	1 between 8 and 9 a.m.	1 between 7.30 and 8.00 a.m. 2 between 8.00 and 9.00 a.m.	1 between 7.30 and 9.00 a.m.
2 Between 9.00 and 12.00 am	2 9.00 – 12.00 am	2 between 9 and 12 a.m.	3 between 9.00 and 12.00 a.m.	2 between 9.00 and 12.00 a.m.
3 Between 12.00 and 6.00 pm	3 12.00 – 6.00 pm	3 between 12 and 2 p.m. 4 between 2 and 6 p.m.	4 between 12 and 2 p.m. 5 between 2 and 6 p.m.	3 between 12.00 and 14.00 p.m. 4 between 14.00 and 18.00 p.m.
4 Between 6.00 and 7.00 pm	4 6.00 – 7.00 pm	5 between 6 and 7 p.m.	6 between 6 and 7 p.m.	5 between 18.00 and 19.00 p.m.
5 Between 7.00 and 9.00 pm		6 between 7 and 8 p.m.	7 between 7 and 8 p.m. 8 between 8 and 9 p.m.	6 between 19.00 and 20.00 p.m. 7 between 20.00 and 21.00 p.m.

Note that the opening and closing times for voting changed over time.

4.4 National election statistics

The members of the Dutch Lower House are elected directly by the voters. The system of proportional representation has been operative in the Netherlands since 1917. Prior to 1917, the constituency voting system prevailed. Initially, the number of seats in the Lower House was 100, but in 1956 the number of seats was increased from 100 to 150.

Universal suffrage for men was introduced in 1917, universal suffrage for women in 1919. From 1919, all Dutch residents above a certain age have the right to vote. Since 1983, all Dutch citizens living abroad have also been entitled to vote. In 1972, the minimum age for suffrage was brought down to 18, after having been lowered from 25 to 23 years in 1946 and subsequently to 21 in 1963.

In 1917, compulsory voting was introduced in the constitution only to be removed in 1922. Compulsory attendance was abolished in 1970. For more information, consult The Electoral Council (Kiesraad) site (<http://www.kiesraad.nl>).

4.4.1 Election results for the Dutch Lower House 1971-2006

Table 4.4-1 Election results 1971-2006: Turnout data

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986
Number of entitled voters	8048726	8916947	9506318	10040121	10216627	10727701
Turnout (%)	79.1	83.5	88	87	81	85.8
Total votes cast	6364719	7445287	8365829	8738238	8273631	9199621
Invalid votes	46567	51242	48217	47401	37115	27462
Total number of valid votes	6318152	7394045	8317612	8690837	8236516	9172159
	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006
Number of entitled voters	11112189	11455924	11755132	12035935	12076711	12264503
Turnout (%)	80.3	78.8	73.3	79.1	80	80.4
Total votes cast	8919787	9027887	8622222	9515226	9666602	9854998
Invalid votes	26485	46331	14435	14074	12127	16315
Total number of valid votes	8893302	8981556	8607787	9501152	9654475	9838683

Source: Statistics Netherlands, Den Haag/Heerlen, 2009.

Table 4.4-2 Election results 1971-2006: Valid votes per political party (%)

Party	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006
AR	8.6	8.8										
CHU	6.3	4.8										
KVP	21.8	17.7										
CDA			31.9	30.8	29.4	34.6	35.3	22.2	18.4	27.9	28.6	26.5
GPV	1.6	1.8	1	0.8	0.8	1	1.2	1.3	1.3			
RPF			0.6	1.2	1.5	0.9	1	1.8	2			
CU										2.5	2.1	4
SGP	2.3	2.2	2.1	2	1.9	1.7	1.9	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.6
D66	6.8	4.2	5.4	11.1	4.3	6.1	7.9	15.5	9	5.1	4.1	2
DS'70	5.3	4.1	0.7	0.6	0.4							
CPN	3.9	4.5	1.7	2.1	1.8	0.6						
PSP	1.4	1.5	0.9	2.1	2.3	1.2						
PPR	1.8	4.8	1.7	2	1.7	1.3						
EVP				0.5	0.7	0.2						
GL							4.1	3.5	7.3	7	5.1	4.6
PvdA	24.6	27.3	33.8	28.3	30.4	33.3	31.9	24	29	15.1	27.3	21.2
BP	1.1	1.9	0.8	0.2	0.3							
SP			0.3	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.4	1.3	3.5	5.9	6.3	16.6
VVD	10.3	14.4	17.9	17.3	23.1	17.4	14.6	20	24.7	15.4	17.9	14.7
AOV								4.5	1			
CD			0.4	0.3	0.9	0.5	0.9	2.8	0.6			
LN										1.6	0.4	
LPF										17	5.7	
GW / PvdV												5.9
PvdD											0.5	1.8
Other	4	2	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.8	0.9	1.4	1.5	0.7	0.4	1.2

Source: Statistics Netherlands, Den Haag/Heerlen, 2009. For party acronyms, see Appendix 4.4.2.

Table 4.4-3 Election results 1971-2006: Distribution of parliamentary seats by political party

Party	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1994	1998	2002	2003	2006
AR	13	14										
CHU	10	7										
KVP	35	27										
CDA			49	48	45	54	54	34	29	43	44	41
GPV	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	2			
RPF			–	2	2	1	1	3	3			
CU										4	3	6
SGP	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	2	2	2
D66	11	6	8	17	6	9	12	24	14	7	6	3
DS'70	8	6	1	–	–							
CPN	6	7	2	3	3	–						
PSP	2	2	1	3	3	1						
PPR	2	7	3	3	2	2						
EVP				–	1	–						
GL							6	5	11	10	8	7
PvdA	39	43	53	44	47	52	49	37	45	23	42	33
BP	1	3	1	–	–							
SP			–	–	–	–	–	2	5	9	9	25
VVD	16	22	28	26	36	27	22	31	38	24	28	22
AOV								7	–			
CD			–	–	1	–	1	3	–			
LN										2	–	
LPF										26	8	
GW / PvdV												9
PvdD											–	2
Other	2	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Total no. of seats	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150

Source: Statistics Netherlands, Den Haag/Heerlen, 2009. For party acronyms, see Appendix 4.4.2.

4.4.2 Explanation of party acronyms

AR	Anti- Revolutionaire Partij	The Antirevolutionary Party merged into the CDA in 1977.
CHU	Christelijk-Historische Unie	The Christian Historical Union merged into the CDA in 1977.
KVP	Katholieke Volkspartij	Roman Catholic People's Party merged into the CDA in 1977.
CDA	Christen Democratisch Appèl	In 1977, the AR, the CHU and the KVP merged into the Christian Democratic Appeal.
GPV	Gereformeerd Politiek Verbond	In 2002, the Reformed Political Union merged into the CU.
RPF	Reformatiorische Politieke Federatie	In 2002, the Reformational Political Federation merged into the CU.
CU	ChristenUnie	In 2002, the Reformed Political Union and the Reformational Political Federation merged into the Christian union.
SGP	Staatskundig Gereformeerde Partij	The Political Reformed Party.
D66	Democraten 66	Democrats 1966.
DS'70	Democratisch Socialisten '70	Democratic Socialists 1970.
CPN	Communistische Partij Nederland	In 1989 the Communist Party of the Netherlands merged into the GL.
PSP	Pacifistisch Socialistische Partij	In 1989, the Pacifist Socialist Party merged into the GL.
PPR	Politieke Partij Radicaleen	In 1989, the Radical Political Party merged into the GL.
EVP	Evangelische Volkspartij	In 1989, the Evangelical People's Party merged into the GL.
GL	GroenLinks	In 1989, the Communist Party of the Netherlands, the Pacifist Socialist Party, the Radical Political Party and the Evangelical People's Party merged into the Green Left Party.
PvdA	Partij van de Arbeid	In 1946, the Christian Democratic Union (CDU), the Social Democratic Workers' Party (SDAP) and the Free-thinking Democratic League (VDB) merged into the Labour Party.
BP	Boerenpartij	The Farmers' Party. In 1981 and 1982, the Right-Wing People's Party.
SP	Socialistische Partij	The Socialist Party.
VVD	Volkspartij Voor Vrijheid en Democratie	The People's Party for Freedom and Democracy.
AOV	Algemeen Ouderen Verbond	General Union for Elderly People. In 1984 General Union for Elderly People and Union 55+. In 1998 General Union for Elderly People and Senior Citizens 2000.
CD	Centrumdemocraten	Central Democrats. In 1977 Dutch People's Union. In the period 1981-1982 Dutch People's Union and Centre Party (Centrumpartij). In 1986 Central Democrats and Centre Party. In 1989 Central Democrats. In 1994 Central Democrats and Centre Party '86. In 1998 Central Democrats.
LN	Leefbaar Nederland	Liveable Netherlands.
LPF	Lijst Pim Fortuyn	List Pim Fortuyn.
GW	Groep Wilders	Group Wilders.
PvdV	Partij van de Vrijheid	The Liberty Party.
PvdD	Partij voor de Dieren	Party for the Animals.

Parties that appear in Items V50_3, 4, 6 & 7

SDP/CPH	Sociaal-Democratische Partij Communistische Partij Holland	Social-Democratic Party The Communist Party of Holland
RSP/RSAP/RSV/OSP/SP	Revolutionair Socialistische Partij Revolutionair Socialistische Arbeiderspartij Revolutionair Socialistisch Verbond Onafhankelijke Socialistische Partij Socialistische Partij	Revolutionary Socialist Party The Revolutionary Socialist Workers' Party Revolutionary Socialist Union The Independent Socialist Party The Socialist Party
SDAP	Sociaal Democratische Arbeiders Partij	The Social Democratic Workers' Party
RKSP	Rooms-Katholieke Staatspartij	Roman-Catholic Political Party
RKVP/KDP	Roomsch-katholieke Volkspartij Katholieke Democratische Partij	The Roman Catholic People's Party Catholic Democratic Party
Vrijheidsbond/LSP/LU	Liberale Staatspartij "de Vrijheidsbond" Liberale Unie	The Liberal State Party Liberal Union
VDB	Vrijzinnig Democratische Bond	The Free-thinking Democratic League
NSB	Nationaal-Socialistische Beweging in Nederland	The National Socialist Movement in The Netherlands
NMP	Nieuwe Midden Partij	The New Middle Party
CDU	Christelijk-Democratische Unie	Christian-Democratic Union

General elections for the Second Chamber of Parliament constitute one of the most important elements of democracy in the Netherlands. Insight into the backgrounds, motives, goals and behaviour of the Dutch voters is therefore essential for understanding and appraising the functioning of democracy.

Since 1971, the Dutch political science community has conducted large scale, nationwide election surveys around every parliamentary election. All resulting datasets have been extensively documented and archived, and placed at other researchers' disposal through DANS – Data Archiving and Networked Services.

This Data Guide provides the documentation of an integrated dataset based on the Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies of 1971-2006. It thus provides directly accessible information on change and stability in electoral behaviour and political orientations in the Netherlands. The data are concentrated on the more frequently asked questions of these Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies.

Bojan Todosijevic is a post doctoral fellow at the Department of Political Science and Research Methods at the University of Twente. He did his undergraduate studies at the University of Novi Sad, Serbia, and received his PhD from the Political Science Department, Central European University, Budapest. Before coming to the University of Twente, he worked at the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, for two years, on the project titled Comparative Study of Electoral Systems. His recent research interests focus on comparative political behaviour.

Kees Aarts is Professor of Political Science in the School of Management and Governance at the University of Twente. He is also Scientific Director of the Institute for Innovation and Governance Studies (IGS), one of the research institutes of the University of Twente. His research focuses on democracy, elections and electoral behaviour, in the Netherlands as well as in an international comparative perspective.

Harry van der Kaap is assistant professor at the department of Political Science and Research Methods (POLMT) at the University of Twente. He studied Sociology with a major in Research methods of the social sciences and Statistics. From 2001 he is working for the School of Management and Governance at the University of Twente, where he defended his dissertation Political representation and local democracy in 2006.

DANS – Data Archiving and Networked Services, is the national organization in the Netherlands for storing and providing permanent access to research data from the humanities and social sciences. DANS comprises existing data archives but also works on further developments of the data infrastructure in new fields. DANS is an institute under the auspices of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences – KNAW, which is also supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research – NWO.

Data Archiving and Networked Services

DANS